

Div of Waste Management and Radiation Control

JUL - 6 2017

DRC-2017-004742

July 5, 2017

Arlene Lovato Utah Department Of Environmental Quality 195 North 1950 West Salt Lake City UT, 84114

Dear Ms. Lovato,

Enclosed please find the requested hard copy of the transcript from the deposition of White Mesa Public Hearing, taken June 8, 2017. Please note that no changes were made to this transcript on the witness correction page.

If we may provide additional assistance, please contact our office at (801) 691-1000.

Sincerely,

Pennie Nielson

Alpine Court Reporting

S 2 15



## WHITE MESA URANIUM MILL SITE LICENSING

PUBLIC HEARING

WHITE MESA HEARING - 6/8/17

Alpine Court Reporting Locations in Salt Lake City and Provo 801-691-1000

## WHITE MESA HEARING - 6/8/17

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	PUBLIC HEARING
8	
9	Proposed licensing action to renew the Energy Fuels
10	Resources (USA) Inc. (Licensee) 11e.(2) Byproduct
11	Radioactive Material License (RML UT1900479) and the
12	Groundwater Quality Discharge Permit (Permit
13	UGW370004) for the White Mesa Uranium Mill site near
14	Blanding, San Juan County, Utah
15	
16	
17	June 8, 2017
18	1:02 p.m 5:13 p.m.
19	
20	
21	** Bracketed words [*] are corrections provided by the Division.
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Emily A. Gibb, RPR, CSR, CCR

1	APPEARANCES
2	-000-
3	PANEL TO ANSWER QUESTIONS:
4	Gwyn Galloway
5	Tom Rushing
6	Russ Topham
7	Ryan Johnson
8	Phil Goble
9	Bret Randall, Esq.
10	Craig Anderson, Esq.
11	David Frydenlund
12	Harold Roberts
13	Michael Zody, Esq.
14	Jon Luellen
15	Gary Merrell
16	
17	* * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 3
1	INDEX		
2	DISCUSSION	PAGE	
3	Bradley Angel - Greenaction for Health and Environmental Justice	9	
4	Aaron Paul, Esq Grand Canyon Trust	17	
5	Scott Clow - Ute Mountain Ute Tribe	47	
6	Michael Keller, Esq Fabian Vancott		
7	Sarah Fields - Uranium Watch	102	
8			
9	* * *		
10			
11	PUBLIC COMMENT		
12	Ephraim Dutchie	148	
13	Sharee Tso	153	
14	Yolanda Badback	155	
15	Melisa Brady	159	
16	Thelma Whiskers	162	
17			
18	* * *		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	-000-
3	
4	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Well, let's get
5	started. My name is Craig Anderson. I'm the hearing
6	officer for this afternoon's proceedings. This is
7	the time and place scheduled for the
8	question-and-answer hearing on licensing actions
9	proposed by Utah Division of Waste Management and
10	Radiation Control.
11	The licensing actions that we'll be
12	discussing this afternoon deal with the renewal of
13	the 11e.(2) byproduct license and the ground water
14	discharge permit relating to the White Mesa Uranium
15	Mill near Blanding in San Juan County. The mill is
16	owned and operated by Energy Fuels Resources. This
17	licensing action also includes a request by Energy
18	Fuels to receive as alternative feed certain
19	byproduct materials from Sequoyah that's
20	S-e-q-u-o-y-a-h, for the court reporter Fuels.
21	The purpose of this hearing is a little bit
22	unusual and varies from most of the hearing
23	procedures that we usually follow here. This is
24	limited to a question-and-answer hearing, and it is
25	implemented to satisfy the requirements of the

1 Federal Atomic Energy Act that requires that 2 agreement states provide an opportunity for 3 cross-examination for major permitting actions. The former radiation control board adopted 4 5 procedural rules to meet this requirement, and they're found in Utah Administrative Code R313-17-4. 6 That provision of the Administrative Code sets forth 7 the procedures and the requirements and the basis for 8 this particular proceeding. 9 Under these rules, only persons who have 10 11 previously submitted written questions will be 12 recognized and allowed to ask questions during 13 today's hearing. The scope of the questions will be 14 limited to matters relevant to the licensing actions 15 that I mentioned just a moment ago. Staff from the Division and the Division's 16 17 contractor and representatives of Energy Fuels are 18 present and will be answering the questions that will 19 be propounded [proposed] this afternoon. 20 One of the major limitations that we're 21 looking at today is that under the rules, the 22 hearings are normally not to exceed three hours. 23 Today's hearing, however, has been scheduled for four hours due to the extensive number of questions that 24

have been submitted in advance. So we are hoping to

25

1 be able to conclude by 5 p.m. this afternoon. 2 number of our participants have flights to catch this 3 evening, so I'm hopeful that we'll be able to get through all of the questions and wrap things up 4 5 around 5 p.m. But that's the timetable for -- for the hearing today. 6 As I mentioned, the following parties have 7 submitted written questions as provided for the rules 8 and will be heard in the following order: 9 10 Greenaction, Grand Canyon Trust, and following 11 Grand Canyon Trust, depending upon where we are 12 question wise and time wise, we'll probably take a 13 short break. And then following the break, Ute Mountain 14 15 Utes [Ute Mountain Ute Tribe] will be recognized, and then following Ute Mountain Utes [Ute Mountain Ute 16 17 Tribe], Uranium Watch. 18 This hearing is being recorded and 19 transcribed by our court reporter. The transcript 20 will become part of the administrative record. 21 couple of ground rules regarding our reporter. 22 order to have a clear record, it's important that the

questioners and respondents not talk over each other. That allows the court reporter to get everyone's statements down and not try and sort out who's

23

24

25

talking at what time.

In addition, a number of the questions that have been submitted are compound, meaning that there are several questions contained within a question.

And for this reason, I'm going to ask the questioners to wait for a response to each discrete question before moving on to the next part of their question so there's a clear record of the question and the response.

Also, since the questions have been submitted in advance and are already in the record, it will not be necessary to make any kind of narrative statement or introductory statement before moving into the question. We would request that you go directly to the question and not include any type of an opening statement.

As previously noted, due to the number of questions, it is not anticipated that there will be sufficient time for general public comments to be received today. However, a public comment hearing [meeting] is set on June 15th at 5 p.m. in Blanding. In addition, the Division will accept written comments through July 31st of 2017. So members of the public who wish to comment can appear at the public hearing in Blanding and also may submit

1 written comments that must be received on or before 2 July 31, 2017. 3 So with all that said, I'd like to invite the parties to state their appearances for the 4 5 record, and then we'll get into the questions and 6 answers. 7 So I'm Craig Anderson. I'm with the Utah Attorney General's Office. 8 MR. RANDALL: I'm Bret Randall. I'm also 9 10 with the Utah Attorney General's Office. I'm here as 11 counsel for the Division and ... 12 MR. GOBLE: I'm Phil Goble. I am the 13 Uranium Mill's and Radon Materials section manager 14 for the Division of Waste Management and Radiation 15 Control. MR. JOHNSON: I'm Ryan Johnson. I'm with 16 17 the Division, environmental scientist and project 18 lead. 19 MR. TOPHAM: Russ Topham. I am an 20 environmental engineer with the Division. 21 MR. RUSHING: Tom Rushing, environmental 22 scientist with the Division. 23 MS. GALLOWAY: I'm Gwyn Galloway, environmental scientist for the Division. 24 25 MR. MERRELL: I'm Gary Merrell with URS.

1	I'm a contractor to the Division and participated in
2	the development of the SER.
3	MR. LUELLEN: Jon Luellen with URS as well.
4	Similar role on the project, helped develop the SER
5	and helped do the submittal documents.
6	MR. ZODY: I'm Michael Zody. I'm an
7	attorney with Parsons Behle & Latimer law firm, legal
8	counsel for Energy Fuels.
9	MR. FRYDENLUND: Dave Frydenlund, senior
10	vice president, general counsel, and corporate
11	secretary of Energy Fuels.
12	MR. ROBERTS: My name is Harold Roberts and
13	I'm a former executive and officer of Energy Fuels
14	Resources and currently a consultant for the company.
15	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. With the introductions
16	done, we're going to ask that each of our questioners
17	step to the table and submit their question at the
18	microphone so that it can be recorded. We have
19	Bradley Angel as the individual who submitted
20	questions.
21	Mr. Angel.
22	* * * *
23	MR. ANGEL: Good afternoon. My name is
24	Bradley Angel, and I submitted questions on behalf of
25	the organization for which I'm executive director of,

1 Greenaction for Health & Environmental Justice on 2 behalf of our constituents at the White Mesa Ute 3 community and the surrounding communities. And just for the record, on the agenda it 4 5 has our name as Green Action two separate words. That is not our name. And I hope there's more 6 7 attention to detail and facts in your decision-making 8 process. Question No. 1: What laws and regulations 9 10 apply to and are used by DEQ and the Division in 11 permitting and license decisions for the White Mesa 12 Uranium Mill? 13 MR. GOBLE: So for the groundwater permit, it's the Groundwater Protection Rules, which is 14 15 R317-6, and that is under the Utah Water Quality Act, Title 19, Chapter 5. 16 For the radioactive materials license it's 17 18 Radiation Control Rules R312, 14, 15-- oh, sorry, 19 R313-12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24, and 70. And the Utah Radiation Control Act is 19-3. 20 21 MR. ANGEL: And that's the complete list 22 that the permit decision is based on? 23 MR. GOBLE: Yes, sir. MR. ANGEL: And is that the complete list 24 25 that in terms of applicable laws is considered in

1 your decision? 2 MR. GOBLE: Yes, sir. Because what the 3 federal rules are referenced are actually referenced 4 in the rules, so yes. 5 MR. ANGEL: And so --MR. GOBLE: We as an agreement state are 6 7 basically the NRC for the rollover over [regulatory] 8 uranium rules for oversight. MR. ANGEL: Right. And so it's -- the 9 10 Divisions and DEO's contention that there are no 11 other laws that need to be considered are applicable 12 to this decision? 13 MR. RANDALL: I'm going to object because 14 that calls for a legal conclusion. 15 MR. ANGEL: Okay. I'll ask the question 16 differently. 17 Does your agency -- so just to confirm what 18 Mr. Goble just said, you provided the full list of 19 laws in consideration of this decision, right, to 20 make a decision? 21 MR. GOBLE: There are NRC guidance 22 documents, regulatory guides, we follow those as 23 well. MR. ANGEL: Right. And -- okay. Great. 24 25 But in terms of laws.

1	MR. GOBLE: Yes.
2	MR. ANGEL: Okay. So there was another law
3	I was wondering why it's not on the list.
4	Is the Department and Division aware of
5	Title VI in the United States Civil Rights act of
6	1964?
7	MR. RANDALL: I object because that question
8	was not submitted previously in writing as pursuant
9	to our rules.
10	MR. ANGEL: I'll reframe it.
11	Title VI of the United Stated Civil Rights
12	Act of 1964 is not one of the laws that you stated
13	was applicable to your decision; is that correct?
14	MR. RANDALL: Can we move on to the
15	questions that were presented.
16	MR. ANGEL: This was a question presented.
17	I'm just clarifying. I asked what laws and
18	regulations applied to this decision. So I'm just
19	clarifying that Title VI is not one of those ones
20	mentioned in your response.
21	MR. RANDALL: No, not directly, but the
22	question has to do with the licensing action.
23	MR. ANGEL: Absolutely.
24	MR. RANDALL: So it doesn't directly apply
25	to the licensing action.

1 MR. ANGEL: Well, it actually does, sir. With all due respect, I believe Utah is in the United 2 3 States of America, and this is not rhetoric, sir. Title VI of the United States Civil Rights Act 4 5 applies to all states. And so --MR. RANDALL: So we can list the United 6 7 States Constitution and Utah has a constitution. MR. ANGEL: That's right. Let's move on. 8 What is -- 2: What is the closest community 9 to the White Mesa Uranium Mill? 10 11 MR. GOBLE: The White Mesa Ute Mountain Ute 12 Tribe community is 4.5 miles away. 13 MR. ANGEL: And then is there a reason that in the opening when the June 15th hearing was 14 15 announced that the reference was to Blanding and not to the White Mesa concerned community, which is 16 17 clearly the closest community? 18 MR. ZODY: Object. That's not a -- question 19 seems to be argumentative to me. 20 MR. ANDERSON: Sustained. 21 MR. ANGEL: Well, I believe you answered 22 Question No. 3, how close is it to the boundary. 23 thank you. 24 Is that correct, Mr. Goble? 25 MR. GOBLE: To the boundary, yeah.

1	MR. ANGEL: Okay. Thanks.
2	Question No. 4: Do air emissions, including
3	from the stack, piles, or any other source leave the
4	mill boundary?
5	MR. JOHNSON: Yes. However, the
6	environmental monitoring indicates that it's below
7	regulatory limits.
8	MR. ANGEL: That wasn't my question. But it
9	leaves the boundary.
10	MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
11	MR. ANGEL: Thank you.
12	And have any contaminants whatsoever left
13	the boundary?
14	MR. JOHNSON: Same as before
15	MR. ANGEL: Yes.
16	MR. JOHNSON: yes, but they are below
17	regulatory limits.
18	MR. ANGEL: Question No. 6: Does DEQ
19	acknowledge the presence of a large number of
20	archaeological and culturally significant sites
21	within and adjacent to the mill boundary?
22	MR. GOBLE: Yes.
23	MR. ANGEL: Question No. 7, I got a letter a
24	couple of days ago, an email with a letter attached
25	from your agency saying you would not answer the

1 my question: Does the DEQ receive any federal 2 funding, as though it wasn't relevant. And I was 3 wondering if that could be explained. Seems directly relevant. 4 5 MR. RANDALL: It's not relevant to this licensing action. 6 7 MR. ANGEL: It is if Title VI of the Civil Rights Act applies, which it does. But we can leave 8 9 it at that. Number 8: Please describe the outreach and 10 11 public notice conducted by DEQ, if any, to tribal 12 members at the White Mesa Ute community for this 13 permitting process. MR. GOBLE: So on April 11th of 2017, the 14 15 Division sent the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe all the documents associated with the licensing action. 16 17 allowed the tribe to present any questions or 18 concerns they had regarding the licensing action 19 before the documents were sent out for public 20 comment, and we received no such question or comment 21 from the tribe before the period began. 22 MR. ANGEL: And -- thanks for that 23 information. However, that's not the question I asked. 24 25 What public -- outreach and public notice

1	was conducted by the agency to tribal members at the
2	White Mesa Ute community?
3	MR. GOBLE: Our point of contact is Scott
4	Clow and Colin Larrick and their attorney Leland
5	Begay. That's who we sent it to.
6	After we sent it to them, I don't know how
7	they disseminated the information to the tribal
8	members.
9	MR. ANGEL: So your agency did not directly
10	disseminate information and notice to tribal members
11	at White Mesa directly. You used your outreach to
12	MR. GOBLE: I explained what we did.
13	MR. ANGEL: Okay. Thank you.
14	And I guess that's the answer to No. 9: How
15	many tribal members, if any, were sent notice of
16	opportunities for public comment on this
17	permit/license renewal process? And I guess the
18	answer is other than your point of contact.
19	MR. GOBLE: Yes, sir. Same answer.
20	MR. ANGEL: All right. Well, thank you very
21	much.
22	MR. GOBLE: Yep.
23	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Angel.
24	* * * *
25	In the order that we've set out, as I

1 explained before, the next is Grand Canyon Trust. 2 Would you please state your name for the 3 reporter. MR. PAUL: My name's Aaron Paul. I'm the 4 5 staff attorney with Grand Canyon Trust. Thank you for taking the time today to 6 7 answer the questions that we posed. We appreciate it. 8 MR. ANDERSON: Thanks for coming. 9 10 MR. PAUL: First question on our list was 11 the following: In its discussion of Reclamation Plan 12 Revision 5.1, the Division's Technical Evaluation and 13 environmental Assessment observes Energy Fuels "could not resolve all of the staff's concerns" with the 14 15 proposed evapotranspirative cover system. wondering what the Divisions unresolved concerns are. 16 17 MR. TOPHAM: The licensee is required to 18 provide a plan that has a high probability of 19 success. Quoting from 10 CFR 40, Appendix A Criterion 4(d), which is referenced in our state 20 21 rules, "A full self-sustaining vegetative cover must 22 be established or rock cover employed to reduce wind 23 and water erosion to negligible levels. Where a full vegetative cover is not likely to be self-sustaining 24 25 due to climatic or other conditions, such as in

1 semi-arid and arid regions, rock cover must be employed on slopes of the impoundment system. 2 The 3 NRC" -- or I might add parenthetically in this case, the state -- "will consider relaxing this requirement 4 5 for extremely gentle slopes such as those which may exist on the top of the pile." 6 The -- federal government has provided 7 quidance documents including NUREG/CR 7028 to provide 8 guidance on designing and constructing 9 10 evapotranspirative covers such as has been proposed 11 in Reclamation plan 5.1. Too little data existed in 12 the public record or in sources that the licensee 13 provided to the state to convince us that the evapotranspirative cover would meet these 14 15 requirements. Just to make sure that I have fully covered 16 17 your question there. 18 MR. PAUL: So if I understood correctly, the primary concern is that not enough vegetation will be 19 20 established on the evapotranspirative cover to 21 adequately promote evapotranspiration? 22 MR. TOPHAM: Correct. We were not convinced 23 that the diversity and density of vegetation could be achieved. 24 25 MR. PAUL: Okay. So it wasn't under --

1 MR. TOPHAM: We're open to other data, to 2 additional data. 3 MR. PAUL: Understood. So it wasn't other issues with, say, the layers and the cover and lack 4 5 of a capillary break, things of that nature? It was basically the vegetation issue? 6 7 MR. TOPHAM: I believe the questions on capillary break and so forth come up a little bit 8 later. Your general concern here had to do with 9 10 diversity and density on vegetation as well as 11 biointrusion. 12 MR. PAUL: Okay. MR. TOPHAM: And I believe biointrusion also 13 14 comes up later. 15 MR. PAUL: So let me move on, then. So my second question was: Why the Division 16 17 is proposing to require the company to revert to the 18 1996 cover design if the test section for the 19 evapotranspirative cover fails to meet the performance criteria that is set out in the 20 21 Stipulation and Consent Agreement. 22 MR. TOPHAM: As we view it, it's not really 23 a reversion to the rock cover because the rock armor cover is fully approved. In 1996 the Nuclear 24 25 Regulatory Commission approved that cover. And we

1 need to have something in the reclamation plan that can be used should the -- should closure proceed at 2 3 this point. MR. PAUL: So if I understood the consent 4 5 agreement correctly, it seems with some conditions to provide for a fallback, basically, an automatic 6 fallback to the 1996 cover design if the Division is 7 ultimately unsatisfied with ET -- ET meaning 8 evapotranspirative -- ET cover or any adjustments 9 10 that are made to the cover. 11 Am I understanding the consent agreement 12 correctly? 13 MR. TOPHAM: Our intention was to make clear that there was an approved system that could be 14 15 employed and that entering into the agreement, the Stipulation and Consent Agreement, would not extend 16 17 an automatic approval of the new system, that it was 18 a demonstration project, and it's a way to hold the 19 licensee accountable for the terms that were 20 negotiated in that process. 21 MR. PAUL: Okay. I'll move on to my third 22 question and perhaps ask for follow-up in that 23 context. So why isn't the Division requiring the 1996 24 25 cover design to meet the same performance standards

1 as the ET cover design is being required to meet? I would answer that in two 2 MR. TOPHAM: 3 ways. The first is that the technical standards for the two systems are different. Therefore, the review 4 5 process would have to be different. And the second is that the rock armor system 6 7 had already been fully vetted. It had been through a review process and had been approved. So there was 8 9 no reason since it wasn't being submitted as a new 10 element to review it again. 11 MR. PAUL: I guess to get at the heart of my 12 confusion, I mean, is there any expectation that the 13 1996 cover design will perform better than the ET cover? Does the Division think that the 1996 cover 14 15 design may be better than the ET cover? That is why we are doing the 16 MR. TOPHAM: 17 data collection and analysis and demonstration 18 project. We don't know which will be better in this 19 particular climate. We're hopeful that we find a 20 solution, and then we would use the superior 21 solution. 22 MR. PAUL: But if the 1996 cover isn't being 23 tested, how are you going to determine which solution is superior? 24 25 MR. TOPHAM: I believe if you read the

1 Stipulation and Consent Agreement carefully, you'll 2 see a lot of what we're looking for, trying to 3 determine which parameters -- trying to determine that the parameters that are outlined in 10 CFR 40 --4 5 if I go back to my notes here, the cited section in Appendix A, if -- if -- we're trying to see that the 6 7 new cover system meets those criteria. MR. PAUL: Uh-huh. 8 MR. TOPHAM: If it does, then the licensee 9 10 has -- has made a proposal that we could consider 11 fully vetted. MR. PAUL: And if it doesn't? And if it 12 13 doesn't, my understanding is they can -- the licensee 14 can submit some changes to the ET cover, which the Division will consider and perhaps implement, but 15 ultimately the Division is unsatisfied. I think that 16 17 the consent agreement says the 1996 cover will be 18 built. 19 Am I -- I just -- I'm not trying to -- this 20 isn't a gotcha question. I'm just trying to 21 understand that that's the system that's --22 MR. TOPHAM: If the data cannot support the 23 new cover design, we have a fully approved cover that we could implement. That's the extent to which I'm 24 25 willing to speculate at this point.

1 MR. PAUL: Okay. I'll move on. 2 So why did the Division enter into the 3 Stipulation and Consent Agreement to govern reclamation of Cell 2? 4 MR. TOPHAM: I began to mention earlier that 5 the Stipulation and Consent Agreement was a mechanism 6 7 to hold the licensee accountable for the agreements that we had negotiated in terms of collecting 8 additional data and assessing the performance of the 9 10 new system. 11 MR. RANDALL: Let me explain it this way: 12 The 1996 cover is -- has -- was approved by the NRC. 13 It was deemed to meet all applicable performance 14 The NRC's approved that. We're not going criteria. 15 to revisit that. There's no reason to. The licensee applied for us to consider the 16 17 evapotranspirative cover. The staff reviewed the 18 application and determined that there was 19 insufficient data to decide whether or not the new 20 proposed cover, the alternate cover, would meet 21 applicable criteria. 22 The purpose of that consent agreement is to 23 set out the parameters for testing of the evaporative -- the ET cover so that the Division has 24 25 sufficient data to make a final decision up to seven

1 years from now to decide whether the ET cover meets 2 applicable criteria. 3 That's the only reason for the consent agreement was to set the parameters under which the 4 5 data would be collected upon which a decision could be made in the future. 6 MR. PAUL: Was there a concern that the 7 company might not meet its obligations without a 8 consent agreement to -- to carry out the testing as 9 10 proposed? I mean, it just seemed unusual to me that 11 there was a consent agreement on this. And so I'm 12 just curious what motivated that. Sounds like you 13 guys just wanted to have a better enforcement 14 mechanism in place. 15 Am I understanding that correctly? MR. RANDALL: I think that's correct. 16 17 mean, the proposal was to put -- you know, Cell 2 has 18 been covered -- and we'll get into this later, but 19 Cell 2 is completely covered with a radon barrier, 20 and we just want the formal mechanism -- enforcement 21 mechanism to describe the basis upon which the 22 testing would occur and what would happen. 23 If it fails, they have to use the approved 24 cover. 25 MR. PAUL: So one of the subparts to my

1 question was whether the Division concluded that the 2 company violated any regulatory requirements before 3 entering in the Stipulation and Consent Agreement? MR. RANDALL: No, none whatsoever. 4 MR. PAUL: This is a pretty technical 5 question, No. 5. Or at least for me it's technical, 6 perhaps not for other folks out there. But the test 7 section, the primary test section, as I understand 8 it, of the evapotranspirative cover is supposed to be 9 installed in the southeast corner of Cell 2 in an 10 11 area that's sloped at a grade of 1 percent, meaning 12 that the top layer is going to have a topsoil gravel 13 mixture rather than all topsoil. And so I'm curious whether in light of that 14 15 difference and what's going to happen on the vast majority of the cover, the Division thinks the test 16 17 section is going to be representative of the rest of 18 the evapotranspirative cover. MR. TOPHAM: I realize it's going to take a 19 20 little bit longer than a yes or no answer here. 21 Appendix A to the reclamation plan included 22 test data on all of the stockpiles of material that 23 were intended for use on the Cell 2 cover. included all of the topsoil. That material, the 24

topsoil material, included a gravel fraction and, in

25

1 fact, it's the same material as specified for the 2 test section. 3 So with that in mind, using the same materials we would expect the same performance, yes. 4 MR. PAUL: And even though there's -- if I 5 understood correctly, even though there's going to be 6 some gravel, which I would assume would inhibit plant 7 growth to some degree in a 1 percent sloped area, is 8 what you're saying is you think the -- when you say 9 10 performance, the plant growth on the -- in the all 11 topsoil section on the cover that's at a slope of 12 less than 1 percent is going to be the same -- the 13 same performance? The purpose of the gravel --14 MR. TOPHAM: 15 and I hope this helps answer the question -- is to provide some erosion protection while the plants are 16 17 having an opportunity to take and establish their 18 root systems. 19 MR. PAUL: Okay. 20 MR. TOPHAM: And that will be required so 21 that the licensee doesn't have to go in and mess up 22 the plants, so to speak, to repair areas that may 23 have eroded. It is a small amount of erosion protection that's there for a temporary protection 24

until the root system takes.

25

So the -- the answer is we do expect the same performance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAUL: Okay. My sixth question was whether the Division evaluated an off-site disposal option for the uranium byproduct material of the White Mesa Mill?

MR. GOBLE: So the answer is no. And the reason why is because in 10 CFR 40, Appendix A -sorry. In 10 CFR 40, Appendix A, the intention for 11e.(2) byproduct material, whether that be tailings or other 11e.(2) waste, its intention is for it to be disposed of on-site tailing cells in accordance with Criterion 6. Criterion 2 describes it is federal policy that in order to avoid small proliferation of small waste -- small waste disposal sites, byproduct material from the In-Situ leach operations, such as residues from solution extraction and contaminated control processes and wastes from small remote above ground extraction operations must be disposed of in existing large mill tailings disposal site. White Mesa Uranium Mill is allowed by their license to receive byproduct material from In-Situ lease extraction operations.

So it's federal policy it's disposed of on site.

MR. PAUL: Well, I won't try to quibble with 1 2 your interpretation of Appendix A right now, but are 3 you basically saying that it's the Division's view that Appendix A prohibits off-site disposal of the 4 5 tailings of the White Mesa Mill? MR. GOBLE: It doesn't prohibit, but it's 6 7 pretty clearly the intention that it will be disposed on site. 8 9 MR. PAUL: Okay. My seventh question was whether the -- well, let me start over. 10 11 So the company developed a model of 12 infiltration and contaminant transport, and one of 13 the types of covers the company modeled in that report was an evapotranspirative design that had a 14 capillary break in -- I think it was the third cover 15 design model in the ICTM, which means Infiltration 16 17 and Contaminant Transport Model reports. The third 18 cover in the ICTM had a capillary break. I'd like to know why it was not included in 19 20 the final cover design. 21 MR. RUSHING: Yeah, the proposed cover 22 design never included a capillary break. One of the 23 Division's comments when reviewing the Infiltration and Contaminant Transport Model was to provide 24 25 sensitivity showing that a capillary break in fact

1 was not needed. So the model was conducted with that layer and was shown that it wasn't needed. 2 3 MR. PAUL: If I understood the ICTM report correctly, it looked to me like the predicted, the 4 model infiltration into the tailings through the 5 cover design that included a capillary break would be 6 lower as in it would -- at least on that metric would 7 perform better than the model with the ET cover. 8 Did I understand that right? 9 10 MR. RUSHING: Whether or not it was lower, 11 the cover without the capillary break met the design 12 requirements. 13 MR. PAUL: I see. So assuming I'm right that it was lower, 14 15 what you're basically saying is that the model with the cover was good enough that the difference between 16 17 those two covers wasn't significant enough to mean 18 that the capillary break would be of -- I guess of 19 use or would be a better cover system? 20 MR. RUSHING: Correct. 21 MR. PAUL: Okay. My eighth question was why the proposed cover design doesn't include -- I'm 22 23 sorry. Why doesn't the proposed cover design include a geosynthetic root barrier to deter biointrusion 24

25

into the tailings?

1 MR. TOPHAM: In this case, the licensee is 2 relying on a combination of plant diversity, thick 3 layers of soil cover, and a highly compacted layer at depth to resist biointrusion. And this was covered 4 5 in Reclamation Plan Revision 5.1, Appendix A, 6 page 17. While the design shows promise, part of the 7 demonstration -- demonstration study includes looking 8 at this biointrusion element. There is more than one 9 10 way to prevent biointrusion. A geosynthetic mat is a 11 good way, but there are other ways that work as well, 12 and they have elected to go a different direction. 13 MR. PAUL: Okay. If I understood the design of the primary test section for the ET cover 14 15 correctly, and I think this is also in Appendix A, the test section does include a -- some sort of 16 17 geosynthetic root barrier in it; is that right? MR. TOPHAM: 18 The only geosynthetic barrier that I am aware of in the test section is laterally 19 20 to keep any edge effects from getting in and 21 influencing the test itself. MR. PAUL: Yeah, it was called the Reemay 22 23 [sic] Biobarrier. Is that what you're talking about? That is only on the edges. 24 MR. TOPHAM: 25 is not -- it is not meant to prevent vertical

1 penetration of roots. 2 MR. PAUL: Got it. 3 So it's to protect the test section from outside intrusion to make sure that what's 4 5 represented in the test section is going to look like the final cover that's ultimately to be --6 7 MR. TOPHAM: Yes. MR. PAUL: Makes sense. 8 If I could just try to move on by 9 10 summarizing my ninth question quickly, it's my 11 understanding that there's a new version of MILDOS 12 available as of about a year ago, March 2016, and it 13 looks like the Division didn't use that new version 14 of MILDOS in its modeling and I'm curious why. 15 MS. GALLOWAY: MILDOS is, as you indicated, a modeling program. We began the process of 16 17 following or doing our model back in 2011. It took a 18 number of years to collect all the information, to 19 input into the program, and to compile the results 20 once the program was run. 21 We felt that when it -- when the new version 22 came out in March 2016, it wasn't worth delaying 23 putting the renewal out another three or four years in order to redo what we had done to this point. 24 25 At the next amendment or modification to the

1 license where they will be doing anything affect -that may affect those, they'll be required to submit 2 3 a new model using the newer version. MR. PAUL: Do you have any idea whether the 4 5 newer model, how much it might affect the results of the modeling that was done? 6 MS. GALLOWAY: Given that the results were 7 substantially below the -- the amounts or the limits 8 9 produced, or the standards I should say, we don't 10 anticipate that the results would have been affected 11 with the new model, no. 12 MR. PAUL: Would you be able to describe for 13 me just the key changes in the modeling? And I realize that's pretty specific and narrow. And if 14 15 you can't, I understand. MS. GALLOWAY: Well, and to be perfectly 16 honest with you, I did a little of the beta testing 17 18 on the new model. However, I haven't looked at the 19 new model since it came out, so I don't know exactly 20 what came out. So I -- I'd kind of be speculating as 21 to what those changes actually end up being. I apologize that I haven't had a chance to 22 23 do that as of yet, but ... MR. PAUL: It wasn't the question I wrote up 24 25 specifically, so I understand.

1	My tenth question asks about variability
2	that shows up in Table 1 of the technical evaluation,
3	and I just didn't understand why that variability
4	exists. And the figure I pulled out was for whole
5	body or single organ doses through annual exposure
6	varying from 2 mrem in 2007 to 16.2 in 2010.
7	I was curious if you could describe what the
8	main reasons for that variability are?
9	MS. GALLOWAY: Yeah. The Table 1 that
10	you're pointing to, there's two potential things you
11	may be observing.
12	One is there's three columns in that table.
13	Each of those columns relates to a different
14	standard, and the different standards require
15	different things be taken into account. Two of the
16	columns would have included radon and one would not
17	have. So that's going to be a difference in the
18	results right there.
19	If you're considering the year-to-year
20	difference within the column itself
21	MR. PAUL: Mm-hmm.
22	MS. GALLOWAY: we tried to run the model
23	as realistically as possible and took into account
24	what what the licensee received each year and what
25	they processed. And because there were times when

1	the mill was not running, you know, and there were a
2	lot of downtimes, some years they received more, some
3	years they didn't receive as much.
4	So that's going to cause a pretty wide
5	variability.
6	MR. PAUL: Did the type of feed the mill was
7	processing contribute much to the variability in the
8	year to year?
9	MS. GALLOWAY: That was taken into account
10	for each year. We as I indicated, we developed
11	the model based on what they received and what they
12	processed. So based on what they received, what they
13	processed, you know, the ores, whether it be Arizona
14	strip, Colorado plateau, alternate feed, whatever the
15	case may be, that was all taken into account.
16	So yeah, that would have been included in
17	the model, so
18	MR. PAUL: Is there a document where that
19	would be available for me to study as we go to
20	comment on the is that printed out anywhere?
21	MS. GALLOWAY: I'm not certain what document
22	you're trying or what
23	MR. PAUL: The modeling results and input or
24	output.
25	I mean, is there a way that I could see?

```
1
              MS. GALLOWAY: This is one year
 2
     (indicating).
 3
              MR. PAUL: Got it.
             MS. GALLOWAY: And there are eight years of
 4
 5
     it.
              MR. PAUL: Is it available electronically as
 6
7
     something the public could have access to?
              MS. GALLOWAY: I imagine we could get it if
 8
     we received a GRAMA request --
9
10
              MR. GOBLE: If you wanted to request it, we
11
     can provide it.
12
              MR. PAUL: Okay.
                                Thank you.
13
              My 11th question asked about a specific
     condition in the revisions to the radioactive
14
15
     materials license, Condition 9.5, which says, "On or
     before March 4, 2018, the annual surety estimate
16
     shall also include all costs necessary to remediate
17
18
     any groundwater contamination required by License
19
     Condition 10.21, after facility closure, to be
     determined by the director.
20
21
              And I just was confused about that phrasing.
22
     And I was hoping you-all could clarify that what
23
     means.
              MR. TOPHAM: Well, thank you for pointing
24
25
     that out. That was a clerical error. The clause in
```

1	the license to which you referred had reference to
2	work that had already been completed and it was left
3	in inadvertently. And then in our and somebody's
4	insert was they changed the date due on it even
5	though the work was already done.
6	So that is being totally stricken from the
7	license.
8	MR. PAUL: The language "after facility
9	closure, to be determined by the Director"?
10	MR. TOPHAM: Yes.
11	MR. PAUL: Yeah.
12	MR. TOPHAM: That related to one of the
13	contaminant clauses that is already under
14	abbreviation, and the work that was contemplated with
15	that particular clause is already complete.
16	MR. PAUL: That makes sense. Thank you.
17	Number 12, why is the Division proposing to
18	give Energy Fuels until March of next year to update
19	the surety to include groundwater remediation costs
20	rather than doing that right now?
21	MR. TOPHAM: We asked that the we require
22	that the licensee update their surety annually.
23	March 2018 would be the next recurring
24	would be the next regular due date of a surety if
25	that license were to be renewed before then. So

1	that's why that date appears in there.
2	It's just clear that we're not leapfrogging
3	a cycle. We receive what comes out on a submittal
4	every year to update the surety.
5	MR. PAUL: So are you saying that the
6	current reclamation cost estimate that was submitted
7	last year and is approved now already includes costs
8	for remediating groundwater?
9	MR. TOPHAM: We require that upon discovery
10	of a plume, the plume be characterized and an
11	estimate put together of what it would take to
12	perform the remediation, and that money is
13	immediately added to the surety.
14	So the answer to your question is yes.
15	MR. PAUL: Okay. I've seen those
16	provisions.
17	Is there anything that's going to be
18	different about what they do next year as a result of
19	this requirement?
20	MR. TOPHAM: Our procedure is not going to
21	change.
22	MR. PAUL: Got it.
23	MR. TOPHAM: Unless we get some data that
24	indicates we need to make an improvement there.
25	MR. PAUL: Question 13, the company plans to

1 complete a lot of reclamation tasks at some point in 2 the future. 3 Do the cost estimates that are in the current backup for the surety, Attachment C to the 4 5 Reclamation Plan Revision 5.1, do they account for inflation? 6 7 The simple answer is yes. And MR. TOPHAM: the way that is accomplished is by asking for a new 8 estimate every year. That way, we're using current 9 costs rather than costs that need to be inflation 10 11 adjusted. MR. PAUL: And what about costs within the 12 13 plan that won't occur for a long time? Are those 14 escalated in some way to account for inflation? 15 So, for example, dewatering takes a while, so all the tasks that will happen after dewatering is 16 17 complete presumably will be anywhere from 7 to 10 to 18 15 years out in the future. Are those already -- do they already include 19 20 inflation? 21 MR. TOPHAM: I think I can clear that up 22 fairly easily. 23 The assumption behind the surety is not that we will exercise the surety in 7, 10, or 15 years. 24 25 The assumption behind the surety is that in the event

1 something happens and we need to close the facility 2 this year, this is the money that would be required. 3 So 15, 20 years out, we would have -- we would have reviewed an additional submittal 15, 20 times, and it 4 5 would have been updated that many times. This is to cover what happens between now 6 7 and the next submittal. MR. PAUL: Right. And I think I understand 8 that element of my question, but I'm going to try 9 10 again with a slightly separate question. 11 If, say, the mill were to shut down tomorrow 12 and you-all were to exercise your rights of that 13 surety, does that amount that was calculated into the surety already include inflation for all the tasks 14 15 that will happen ten years from now? Because it's going to take a long time before the cover is placed 16 17 on Cells 3, 4A and 4B; right? A lot of tasks in the 18 estimate itself would occur a long time from now even 19 if the company defaulted tomorrow. 20 So my question is, do those estimates 21 include inflation? 22 MR. TOPHAM: The work plan that a company's 23 surety contemplates closing and reclaiming the facility within two years. 24 25 So the only things that might be remaining

1 might be a small amount of remedial work and the 2 long-term care that will be done when this is turned 3 over to the Department of Energy. And there is -there is a provision for some of that. 4 MR. PAUL: Is that a question the company 5 I mean, I literally can't tell with the 6 cost estimates whether inflation for tasks that will 7 happen far into the future is included in that 8 attachment. 9 10 MR. ROBERTS: The estimate, as Mr. Topham said, is an estimate about what the costs are. 11 12 the company would turn that money over to the State 13 and the State would take that money, then use it for 14 the costs going forward. 15 So it may not directly include a factor for inflation, but it also doesn't include any factor for 16 the -- the investment value or the increase in value 17 18 of the surety money that the State would inherit 19 should that occur. 20 MR. PAUL: Question 14, in short, is why was 21 a contingency of 25 percent selected for the 22 contingency in the surety estimate? 23 MR. TOPHAM: Beginning about five years ago, we took a long hard look at the current state of 24 25 industry practice. We consulted a number of sources,

including RSMeans, American Society for Testing and 1 Materials. We looked at all of the literature that 2 3 the NRC had put out, and it was determined that at the stage of planning that was possible at this --4 5 with the plant remaining in operation, it was most appropriate to move to 25 percent from the previous 6 7 value of 15 percent. MR. PAUL: And was that adopted directly 8 9 from NUREG-1757? 10 MR. TOPHAM: If you look in our rules, it's 11 currently located in R313-22, 35(3)(h). It refers to Volume 3 of NUREG-1757. 12 MR. PAUL: Impressive memory of the 13 14 recitation. MR. TOPHAM: I've had to deal with it once 15 or twice. 16 17 MR. PAUL: Got it. And if I understand Volume 3 of NUREG-1757 18 correctly, it basically says a 25 percent is the --19 20 the minimum contingency factor that the NRC 21 recommends for surety requirements. 22 Is my understanding; right? 23 MR. TOPHAM: Yes. That also comported along with the other literature I cited. The exception to 24 25 that is NUREG-1620, and that seemed to be the only

1 outlier. 2 MR. PAUL: My 15th question, I'll skip all 3 the introductory stuff. I'm just curious, one, whether I'm reading 4 5 the groundwater discharge permit correctly when I understand it to be saying that the slimes-drain 6 7 pumps in each of the cells aren't going to be turned on until closure of each cell begins; is that right? 8 MR. TOPHAM: That is essentially correct. 9 10 During operation prior to placing cover, 11 fluid is being placed on top of the tailings. So it 12 makes more sense to recirculate fluids from the top 13 rather than the bottom of the pile. You're not 14 dewatering if you're replenishing the water as fast 15 as it is pulled out. MR. PAUL: And why can't you do both? 16 there a technical reason? 17 18 MR. TOPHAM: It's -- I suppose if the 19 licensee wanted to, they could. But there's no -that's no benefit to it. 20 21 MR. PAUL: So your expectation is that the 22 impoundments could not be watered -- dewatered any 23 faster if you were pumping both from the slimes-drain and from the surface water on top of the mound. 24 25 MR. TOPHAM: While you're regenerating the

1 slimes -- the process fluids, but you're still 2 introducing process fluids while the tailing cell is 3 still open and precipitation can get in there, dewatering is not going to occur. It will just 4 5 recharge. There's just too much -- too 6 MR. PAUL: small amount they pump out of the slimes-drain, 7 basically, that it's irrelevant in the grand scheme 8 9 of things? Is that --MR. TOPHAM: Well, there's only -- the fluid 10 11 can only move through the tailings mass so fast. 12 It's going to be constantly recharged as fast as we 13 can pull -- as fast as the licensee can pull the --14 pull the fluid out. 15 So it really doesn't give any benefit to be pulling water from the bottom if you're already 16 17 pulling it from the top. 18 Question 16 had to do with MR. PAUL: Okay. 19 the license amendment for the Sequoyah Fuels 20 material. And to summarize, it looks to me like the 21 Division, through its consultant, URS, reached the 22 conclusion that the Sequoyah Fuels material is not 23 hazardous waste under an exemption that I understand is commonly called the Bevell Amendment, but 24 25 basically an exemption from hazardous waste rules for

```
1
     mining wastes, yet the Sequoyah Fuels material is
 2
     sort of, as I understand it, a waste that was
 3
     produced by processing yellowcake.
              And the Bevell Amendment applies to waste
 4
 5
     produced by processing ore, and so I didn't
     understand how that conclusion was reached that the
 6
 7
     Sequoyah Fuels material is a waste from processing
 8
     ore.
              MR. JOHNSON: So the NRC actually determined
9
10
     that the Sequoyah Fuels material is already 11e.(2)
11
     material based on the fact that they were just --
12
     they were taking yellowcake and making it to spec
13
     versus before the enrichment process.
              So in their document, SECY -- it's
14
     S-E-C-Y -- -02-0095, the commission ruled that this
15
     material was 11e.(2).
16
17
              MR. PAUL: And so if I'm understanding
18
     correctly, there's an assumption that the EPA's
19
     definition of ore under its hazardous waste rules is
     the same as the commission's definition of what ore
20
21
     is?
22
              MR. JOHNSON: So in this case where it's
23
     been ruled 11e.(2) material, the EPA regulations
24
     don't even apply.
25
              MR. PAUL: And is that because it could be
```

```
1
     disposed of directly into the impoundment's
 2
     developing process at the mill?
 3
              MR. JOHNSON: If that was the choice, yes.
              MR. PAUL: Is there any possibility that
 4
 5
     will happen with the Sequoyah Fuels material?
              MR. ZODY: Objection. Calls for
 6
7
     speculation.
              MR. JOHNSON: Right now, it's -- the plan is
 8
     that the Sequoyah Fuels material would be processed
9
10
     first for its uranium content.
11
              MR. PAUL: Due to time constraints, I'll
12
     just skip Question 17.
13
              My last question is, you know, in reading
     the report about the Sequoyah Fuels material, there
14
     is a handful of circumstances under which the
15
     licensee will be required to apply water to the
16
17
     material while it sits on the ore pad. And one of
18
     those circumstances is if a Super Sack is leaking.
19
     And so I'm just wondering if there's any risk that
20
     the practice of applying the water into the material
21
     while it's in the ore pad could cause groundwater
22
     contamination.
23
              MR. GOBLE: So the answer is no.
              So as you described, the material is
24
25
     received in Super Sacks, and the only time the Energy
```

```
1
    Fuels would be required to apply water will be if
 2
    there's a damaged sack or it's leaking. And the
 3
    amount of water we're talking about is more like a
    water spray from a water truck. It's kind of
 4
 5
    de minimus. It's not going to be enough water to
    actually cause infiltration on the ore pad.
 6
 7
              MR. PAUL: So it would just contaminate the
    soil beneath the ore pad and not groundwater?
 8
                                                    Is
9
    that --
              MR. GOBLE: Well, it would just basically
10
11
    keep the material damp so it's not dispersible for --
12
    under the wind conditions. And if, let's say, it
13
    contaminated the ore storage pad anyways, that
    actually all gets cleaned up and then put in the
14
15
    tailing cell in the reclamation anyways, so ...
16
             MR. PAUL: Okay. That's all I have.
17
              Thank you.
18
             MR. GOBLE: All right. Thank you, Aaron.
              MR. ANDERSON: We did have a break built
19
20
     into the schedule, but since we're moving along, I
21
    think we might as well stay on schedule.
22
              MR. GOBLE: Sure.
                                 That's fine.
23
             MR. ANDERSON: So next is Ute Mountain Utes.
                           * * * *
24
25
             MR. CLOW:
                         Thank you. Can you hear me?
```

1	MR. RANDALL: Could you state your name for
2	the reporter, please.
3	MR. CLOW: I'm Scott Clow. I'm the
4	environmental programs director for the tribe. And
5	this is Michael Keller. He represents us in the
6	state of Utah.
7	Looks like we're well ahead of what we
8	thought we would be timewise, so thank you for for
9	having us here today.
10	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
11	MR. CLOW: Our first question is what is the
12	expected remaining operational and pre-reclamation
13	life of the White Mesa Mill?
14	MR. ROBERTS: That the mill has no
15	predetermined operational life. The mill operational
16	schedule is to determine or is dependent on the
17	availability of both the ore from conventional mines
18	which is dependent on the price of uranium which
19	could fluctuate wildly as other commodities do.
20	Each of the tailing cells is reclaimed as it
21	is filled and according to milestones set out in the
22	reclamation plan.
23	Since there's no set schedule for filling
24	any one of the ponds, there's no set schedule for
25	actual final closure of the mill.

1 MR. CLOW: Thank you.

Our second question: Has an environmental assessment been conducted that assesses the impacts of the mill on surrounding communities over the mill's entire past 37 plus years and projected remaining operational and pre-reclamation life?

MR. GOBLE: So the -- an environmental impact statement was conducted by the NRC and was completed before the mill existed to assess the impacts of social impacts of the mill. There isn't currently a requirement for one to be performed again; however, there is a rigorous environmental monitoring program at the mill for all types of media including air, soil, water, surface water, and vegetation. And there are regulatory standards that the mill is required to meet.

As long as the standards are met, the effects on the public should be minimal. According to the sample results, the licensee hasn't exceeded any regulatory limits at their property boundary. Therefore, no individual member of the public has received a dose greater than the public dose limit of 100 millirem per calendar year.

This dose limit and the dose limit for radiation workers were established after several

```
1
     multiyear international health studies.
 2
              MR. CLOW:
                         Thank you.
 3
              Question 3: How has the Division determined
     the projected cumulative radiologic impacts of the
 4
 5
     mill on people living in White Mesa and other nearby
     communities over the period of time the mill has
 6
 7
     operated since 1979 and will continue to be in
     operation or pre-reclamation?
 8
                          So as I stated before, the
9
              MR. GOBLE:
10
     analytical results show that the mill has not
11
     exceeded the regulatory limits of their property
12
     boundary. So, therefore, no individual member of the
13
     public have received a dose greater than 100 millirem
14
     in a calendar year.
15
              The regulatory limits is 5,000 millirem a
     year per calendar year for a radiation worker.
16
17
     Therefore, no individual -- sorry. I'm reading the
18
                 I apologize.
     wrong one.
19
              So over this last ten years, the total
20
     effective dose equivalent, average dose, so that's
21
     basically a dose for the public for everything
22
     they're exposed to. That's internal, outernal
23
     [external], what they might inhale, ingest, et
24
     cetera.
25
              Over the last ten years for our work [a
```

1 workerl at the White Mesa Mill has averaged 80 2 millirem to 180 millirem versus the standard of 5,000 3 millirem, and it's been well below the regulatory limit. 4 5 Again, as I stated, there hasn't been a -an exceedance of the property boundary levels, so 6 7 it's not expected based off the calculations of the limit that anyone has received a dose greater than 8 9 that what's allowed. 10 MR. CLOW: Thank you. 11 Our fourth question: Has the Division 12 assessed the cumulative radiologic impacts of the 13 mill to people living in White Mesa and other nearby communities in light of the much higher radioactive 14 material content over that of local uranium ores of 15 the alternative feed materials approved and being 16 17 approved for processing and disposal at the mill? I 18 think that was partially answered earlier. MR. GOBLE: We have -- we've got another 19 20 part to that that might help provide some information 21 for you, Scott. 22 So as we said, there's not a requirement for 23 one to be performed. But the Sequoyah Fuels alternate feed is similar to actually what's already 24

been accepted at the mill. So the impact for what

25

```
1
     will be received is pretty much the same of what's
 2
     already been received.
 3
              And Gwyn had some more she wanted to add to
     that.
 4
 5
              MS. GALLOWAY: As indicated before, we took
     the alternate feeds into account when we did the
 6
 7
     MILDOS modeling, and it showed that no limits were
     exceeded.
 8
              MR. CLOW: In the techno -- technical
9
10
     evaluation document for the license, the license,
11
     there's a table that has the MILDOS calculations from
     2007 to 2015, I believe it was.
12
13
              Do you have those calculations in the -- did
14
     the Division perform those prior to 2007?
15
              MS. GALLOWAY: No.
16
              MR. CLOW:
                         Thank you.
17
              Our fifth question: What measures have been
18
     and will be taken by the Division and EFR to ensure
19
     that off-site impacts from the White Mesa Mill
20
     identified in the 2012 USGS report, Scientific
21
     Investigations Report 2011-5231, have been remediated
22
     and do not pose a hazard to the public or the
23
     environment?
24
              MR. GOBLE: So the majority of the impacts
25
     that were identified with the USGS report were
```

1 actually located on the Energy Fuels' property. Therefore, they will be addressed at closure as 2 3 applicable. For off-site areas, the value of the uranium 4 5 samples ranged from 2.6 parts per million to 6.6 ppm. These samples are below backgrounds -- now, these 6 7 included samples that are below background that the USGS determined were as a result of natural 8 weathering rather than ore migration. 9 10 The value of vanadium samples ranged from 11 56 parts per million to 79 parts per million. EPA level at which the EPA immediate action would be 12 13 triggered is 207 parts per million and 390 parts per 14 million, respectively for a residential scenario. 15 The off-site samples were all significantly lower than the immediate action level. Plus the 16 17 areas where the samples were collected is not a 18 residential area. Therefore, no remediation has been 19 performed at this time. 20 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 21 Similarly, Question 6: What measures are 22 the Division taking or requiring EFR to take to 23 ensure that off-site impacts from the White Mesa Mill of the type and nature identified in the 2012 USGS 24

report are no longer occurring and will not occur in

25

1 the future? 2 MR. GOBLE: So the license was last revised 3 on July 10, 2014, and that revised license added License Condition 11.9 which was in direct response 4 to the results observed in the USGS report. 5 required the licensee to submit a revised 6 7 environmental protection manual that included two additional air monitoring stations and a revised soil 8 9 and vegetative sampling program. 10 The licensee was also required to begin 11 sampling for thorium 232 and radium 222 -- sorry, 12 radon 222 at each air monitoring station. The 13 revised environmental manual was approved by the 14 director on December 10, 2014. Since then, the 15 licensee has implemented the required changes in 16 their environmental management plan, and it is 17 expected that any potential for off-site release will 18 be identified by the enhanced environmental 19 monitoring plan. 20 MR. CLOW: Thanks. We appreciate that. 21 Question 7: How long will it take until 22 Cell 2 is permanently capped and no longer poses a 23 source of radon or other radionuclides to the 24 atmosphere? 25 MR. TOPHAM: I'll answer the second part

first.

Beginning last summer and including early
May of this year, radon barrier was installed on
Cell 2. That installation is complete.

The latest round of testing for radon resulted in levels of .5 picocuries per square meter per second, which is well below the limits established in 10 CFR 40, Appendix A, Criterion 6, at 20 picocuries per square meter per second. So with that in mind, the radon issue for that particular cell appears to be resolved. Ongoing monitoring will -- will take place to confirm that.

As far as final closure of that -- that tailing cell, what that would require is the -- the construction of the remaining layers of the cover system. And there is nothing that -- that would indicate that has to be done immediately. We have a licensee on site who can make repairs to the radon barriers should erosion occur.

And the thing we would gain by placing remaining layers would be the wind and water erosion protection. What we might lose is the ability -- with the additional material that's been placed compressing the tailings, is the ability to wait until settlement is complete. If we wait until

1 settlement is complete, we'll have less chance of the 2 cover system being compromised due to differential 3 settling. So it's better to wait for the remainder of the construction. 4 5 As far as a timetable, that's really up to the licensee. But we're monitoring their reports of 6 7 settlement. MR. CLOW: Thanks. 8 Similarly, how long will it take until 9 10 Cell 3 is permanently capped and no longer poses a 11 source of radon or other radionuclides to the 12 atmosphere? 13 MR. FRYDENLUND: I'll answer that, and this answer applies to all the cells. So it addresses 14 15 your Questions 8, 9, and 10. We -- milestones for the closure of each 16 17 tailings impoundment commence once it is filled with 18 tailings. The time it takes to fully reclaim an 19 impoundment depends on a number of factors, including the time it takes to dewater the tailings 20 21 sufficiently out of the cell and prior to placing the final layers of the reclamation cover. 22 23 So we first have to fill the cells. milestones kick in and we've got to go through a 24 25 reclamation timetable. But that could vary depending on each cell depending on how long it takes to dewater.

As Mr. Topham said, we're finding the cell, two, that the -- the radon amount has been reduced significantly by partial reclamation. But I want to remind you that the standard for a cell that is fully reclaimed is not zero radon. It's 20 picocuries per meter squared per second.

MR. CLOW: So just relative to that time frame, once Cell 3 is -- is full, you would place the radon barrier or would you wait until more settling occurs before placing the radon barrier?

MR. FRYDENLUND: The reclamation plan sets out a number of milestones, including, you know, eliminating freestanding water, contouring, things like that. Maybe Harold can address that.

MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. Once the cell is officially declared closed and is full, then the interim layer would be the first one to be placed on the cell, which is basically the bottom layer, the platform layer for construction of the remaining portions of the CAP. There's a significant portion of that actual layer already placed on Cell 3, but there's still a portion of the cell that is in active operation. So until that -- until the cell is fully

utilized and declared closed, then it won't start the final sequencing of reclamation.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But part of the reclamation is placement of the interim layer which puts a surcharge on the tailings and helps to consolidate those, and then we continually monitor the settlement monitors to see how the sands are consolidating and what kind of stability we're seeing in the tailing cell itself.

MR. CLOW: About how long did it take for the majority of the settling to occur on Cell 2 after the first base layer was put on there?

MR. ROBERTS: I think that information is contained in the records. The annual evaluation is done on the tailings, so those records and graphs are contained in that report.

MR. CLOW: Thanks.

MR. TOPHAM: I think it's worth noting that on placement of the radon barrier, the amount of water that was being withdrawn increased due to the consolidation that was induced, but with the additional material. It also kicked off a new round of settlement. So we -- we're seeing beneficial effects from the placement of the radon barrier on Cell 2.

I would anticipate that with the placement

```
1
     of the platform fill that Mr. Roberts has indicated
 2
    on Cell 3, we would begin that process to start
 3
     seeing the beneficial effects immediately.
              MR. CLOW: Okay. Well, in the interest of
 4
 5
    time, as Mr. Frydenlund explained, Questions 8 and 9
    are similar in nature, so we'll just go ahead and
 6
 7
    move on to Question 11. That's relative to Cells 4A
    and 4B.
 8
              How long will it take until Cell 1 is
9
10
    permanently capped and no longer poses a source of
11
    radon or other radionuclides to the atmosphere?
              MR. ROBERTS: Well, point of clarification.
12
13
    Cell 1 is not a tailings disposal cell. So the
    reclamation plan calls for any materials liners and
14
    any materials in Cell 1 to be removed upon final
15
    reclamation. And the entire area will be
16
17
    decontaminated according to the regulations, and then
18
    they will become a catchment basin that will further
19
     enhance the stability of the entire tailings disposal
20
     system by preventing and aiding in preventing any
21
    runoff or precipitation from getting back in the
22
    existing tailings cells.
23
              MR. CLOW: So at final reclamation is when
    that will be closed?
24
25
              MR. ROBERTS:
                            That is correct.
```

```
1
              MR. CLOW: And does it receive 11e.(2)
 2
    byproduct material? Cell 1?
 3
              MR. ROBERTS: No.
              MR. CLOW: Thanks.
 4
 5
              MR. ROBERTS: It's an evaporation pond.
              MR. CLOW: Question 12: What, if any, plans
 6
 7
    are there to approve additional cells at -- at the
    White Mesa Mill, and under what conditions and
 8
    circumstances would the Division approve construction
9
    of additional cells?
10
11
              MR. GOBLE:
                          So at this current time, we do
12
    not have an application for any additional tailings
13
    cells from the licensee. And the procedures we would
    use are the same procedures we used when we approved
14
15
    Tailing Cell 4B.
16
              MR. CLOW:
                         Thank you.
17
              Our 13th question: How long is corrective
18
    action pumping of groundwater at the mill expected to
19
     continue?
20
              MR. RUSHING:
                            The corrective action will
21
     continue until the cleanup standards are met. And
22
     those are listed in the corrective action plans as
23
    the groundwater action limits basically returning the
     contaminants back to the groundwater quality
24
25
     standard. The -- the removal of the contamination,
```

1 one of the elements of a CAP, a corrective action 2 plan, is to maximize that pump performance and 3 contaminant removal. So we're trying to do it as fast as possible. I mean, the exact timeline would 4 5 be speculation. MR. CLOW: Thank you. 6 Question 14: Will corrective action pumping 7 of groundwater continue during and after reclamation? 8 MR. RUSHING: Similar, the corrective 9 actions will -- may continue during and after 10 reclamation. As before, the company will continue 11 12 the cleanup standards from that. 13 MR. CLOW: Thanks. Question 15: Where and how will pumped 14 15 groundwater be managed during and after reclamation? MR. TOPHAM: Well, this question calls for a 16 17 certain level of speculation, so I can't answer 18 firmly right now. There are a number different 19 options for how contaminated water could be disposed. 20 I can list some of those options. 21 Contaminated water must be either cleaned up 22 to a discharge standard where it can be released to 23 the environment or disposed in some kind of acceptable manner. One of the ways that cleanup 24 25 water can be used, if you will, is to put it back in

1 the plant process. It could be used as compaction 2 water for any of the layers of the CAP for any of 3 these tailing cells. Because the contaminants would be still contained above the final liner, and as long 4 5 as we can minimize infiltration, it won't be going 6 anywhere. 7 So that's a couple of options. So no, which of the many options will be used in the future would 8 9 require some speculation, and I don't think it's --10 it would be wise to do that at this point. 11 MR. CLOW: (Nods head.) 12 MR. TOPHAM: Just be assured that 13 appropriate standards will be followed. MR. CLOW: Our 16th question: What period 14 15 of time and relative costs will EFR and the Division use in calculating the appropriate amount of 16 17 reclamation surety for corrective action pumping of 18 groundwater? MR. TOPHAM: First, a statement of 19 20 principle. A surety must be sufficient at all times 21 to complete the required work. 22 Each plume is evaluated as it's discovered, 23 and I think we started talking about this earlier, and a cost estimate is assembled based upon the 24 25 plume's size, location, and other appropriate

parameters. What contaminants are we dealing with?

How can we remove them, things of that nature.

Typically the Division has the surety

amended to include the remediation costs within about

amended to include the remediation costs within about two months of discovery of the plume, just because that's generally how long it takes to do a full characterization completely understood. But the cleanup begins as soon as it's practical.

On -- bonds are looked at annually.

Typically, we don't reduce any of the cleanup money until the cleanup is complete.

MR. CLOW: Thanks.

Okay. Question 17 was kind of a multipart question. So we're -- if it pleases the board, we're going to simplify that and shorten it for you instead of asking multiple related questions.

So what is the technical basis for the decisions [Division's] continued reliance on the summary of work completed, data results, interpretations and recommendations for the July -- July 2007 sampling event at the Denison Mines, USA, White Mesa Uranium Mill near Blanding, Utah, prepared by T. Grant Hurst and D. Kip Solomon, Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Utah, submitted May 2008, otherwise known as the University of Utah

1	Study as evidence of no leakage from tailing cells
2	despite current evidence excuse me, as current
3	evidence, not despite, but as a means of evidence to
4	support the leakage from the tailing cells?
5	MR. RUSHING: The University of Utah report
6	confirmed that leakage and transported tailings
7	wastewater to the water table had not occurred to
8	that date. The report confirmed that observed
9	monitoring concentrations up to that date were due to
10	background or other preexisting sources.
11	Verification of the pre-identified
12	background, concentrations in the monitoring wells
13	assist us in evaluation of current and future
14	monitoring concentrations and trends and regardless
15	of changes on site.
16	One of the examples in the original question
17	was, say, the removal of the wildlife ponds. The
18	study findings and conclusions are still technically
19	valid.
20	MR. CLOW: Have conditions changed all of a
21	sudden?
22	MR. RUSHING: Conditions at the site, you
23	know, without the specifics, there are conditions at
24	the site that are changing continually. The response
25	to that question is that regardless of those changes,

the conclusions made by the University of Utah study are still valid, that contamination had not occurred at the date of the study.

MR. CLOW: Okay. Thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Question 18: What measures are the Division taking or requiring EFR to take to confirm that groundwater is not flowing preferentially in a southeasterly trend from the mill towards the White Mesa community?

MR. RUSHING: There's no evidence of a preferred southeasterly flow path. Energy Fuels Resources is required to measure groundwater elevations at all of the wells on site. That includes the point of compliance wells, the piezometers, background wells, the general monitoring wells as well as all of the CAPs [corrective action plans], the new wells, quarterly. They do that on a quarterly basis. Based on that data, groundwater contour maps showing groundwater flow direction is prepared by Energy Fuels Resources which depict groundwater flow directions. Groundwater mounding from the wildlife ponds has created a more southerly direction on the east side of the mill. However, these flow directions have been stabilizing since the discontinuation of use of the wildlife ponds in

1 December 2011. Lateral monitoring wells and 2 chloroform cap wells are present on the 3 east/southeast margin of the tailing cells to monitor groundwater flow directions east and southeast from 4 5 the mill and tailing cells. MR. CLOW: In the stipulated consent 6 agreement, it was for chloroform monitoring? There's 7 a series of projected wells towards the east if the 8 9 plume continues moving in that direction. Has that receded? 10 11 MR. RUSHING: Well, the -- so that is due to 12 the impacts of groundwater mounding from the wildlife That mounding has altered flow directions in 13 ponds. 14 that area of the site. And those are --15 MR. CLOW: So it is moving in that direction or it's receding from that with the pumping? 16 MR. RUSHING: The flow directions are 17 18 restabilizing towards what would be pre-wildlife 19 ponds, which are more of the south -- southern and 20 southwesterly direction. 21 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 22 Question 19: What measures are the Division 23 taking or requiring EFR to take to prevent the increasingly degraded quality of shallow groundwater 24 25 beneath the mill from adversely affecting the quality

1 of the shallow aguifer downgradient of the mill? 2 MR. RUSHING: There's no indication that the 3 tailing cells have leaked or are degrading water quality. 4 The historical groundwater contamination 5 from the mill processed areas which caused 6 7 groundwater contamination, that's the chloroform and the nitrate chloride plume, are being cleaned up 8 according to corrective action plans and consent 9 10 orders, and all contamination is within the mill 11 property boundary. 12 The shallow aquifer continues to meet 13 identify groundwater class standards listed in Utah 14 Administrative Code R317-6-3 per the monitoring 15 results at the permitted groundwater wells. An evaluation of the monitoring wells was conducted for 16 17 the groundwater permit renewal and found that all of 18 the monitoring wells meet their previously identified 19 classes and beneficial uses. 20 MR. CLOW: So the Utah groundwater code is 21 protecting the downgradient shallow groundwater? MR. RUSHING: That would be an indicator 22 23 that the groundwater has not degraded. MR. CLOW: Thank you. 24 25 Ouestion 20: What measures are the Division

1	taking or requiring EFR to take to prevent the
2	increasingly graded quality of the shallow
3	groundwater beneath the mill from adversely affecting
4	the deep Navajo aquifer?
5	MR. RUSHING: There's no hydraulic
6	connection between the shallow and the deep aquifer.
7	I'm using the Ute Mountain Ute terminology. The
8	shallow Burro Canyon Aquifer is separated from the
9	deep Navajo Aquifer by approximately 1100 feet of
10	Morrison and Summerville Formation materials which
11	have low average vertical permeability. More than
12	200 feet of the Brushy Basin Member, which is a
13	bentonitic clay, is directly beneath the shallow
14	aquifer which is actually perching the aquifer, and
15	isolates the aquifer from the underlying materials.
16	In addition, another source of communication
17	could be from deep water well drilling that
18	penetrates that Brushy Basin Member. Any drilling
19	conducted that penetrates the Brushy Basin is done
20	according to the Division of Water Rights'
21	requirements and requires seals to prevent any kind
22	of communication between those aquifers.
23	MR. CLOW: Thanks.
24	Question 21: What measures are the Division
25	taking or requiring EFR to take to confirm that

1	unusual levels of metals and other constituents and
2	parameters in monitoring well MW-22 are not due to
3	the impacts from the mill operations and from
4	preferential southeasterly flow of groundwater?
5	MR. RUSHING: Monitoring well MW-22 is an
6	anomaly in the mill groundwater monitoring well
7	network.
8	MW-22 is located hydraulically cross
9	gradient from the tailing cells and is approximately
10	1 mile from the closest possible mill discharge.
11	Monitoring wells which do not show the same anomalies
12	as MW-22 are located upgradient from MW-22 to detect
13	potential mill contamination.
14	The University of Utah study included MW-22
15	specifically and concluded that tailings wastewater
16	and processed water from the mill was not present in
17	the monitored well. Based on those findings, the
18	mill is not the cause of those anomalies at MW-22,
19	and really no additional required measures are
20	warranted.
21	MR. CLOW: Was MW-22 cross gradient of the
22	tailings before, during, or after the groundwater
23	mounding from the wildlife ponds?
24	MR. RUSHING: To my knowledge, it's always
25	been cross gradient from the tailing cells.

1 MR. CLOW: Okay. Thanks. 2 Question 22: How does the Division explain 3 the unusually elevated, unnatural and increasing levels of fluoride, a constituent distinctly 4 5 associated with tailings being detected in MW-22? MR. RUSHING: Per the previous question answer, monitoring Well MW-22 is an anomaly, it is 7 not being impacted by tailing solution or mill 8 activities. Monitoring the wells closer to the 9 10 facility on the east side of the tailing cells, for 11 example, monitoring Well MW-17, do not show the same anomalies, including fluoride. 12 13 Fluoride concentrations in monitoring Well MW-22 are required to be collected semiannually, 14 15 recorded, but no additional explanation of parameter 16 concentrations in the well is required or warranted. 17 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 18 Question 23: How does the Division explain the fact that the quality of the shallow groundwater 19 20 beneath and immediately downgradient of the mill is 21 continually changing and degrading while the quality 22 of the same shallow aquifer detected in the Ute 23 Mountain Ute Tribes monitoring wells shows virtually no variability over the same period of time? 24 25 MR. RUSHING: Well, per Division review of

well data that was submitted a couple years ago by
the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe for the east and west
wells -- wells, it appeared per our review that there
is actually substantial variability in the data.

The Ute Mountain Ute Tribe needs to provide examples and evidence supporting the statements in the question so that we can understand what's meant by the term "virtually no variability" in comparison with the mill monitoring wells, well data. And we would need that information to be able to -- to fully respond to the question.

MR. CLOW: Thanks. We'll provide that.

Question 24: Why hasn't the Division required monitoring wells to be located between MW-17 and MW-22 to confirm the source of the unusual chemistry in groundwater at MW-22?

MR. RUSHING: There's no regulatory basis to require monitoring wells at those locations. As previously discussed, MW-22 is an anomaly and was confirmed not to have been impacted by tailings wastewater or mill activities.

Compliance monitoring wells are located upgradient close to the mill to detect potential groundwater contamination. The wells upgradient do not show the same anomalous characteristics as MW-22.

1 MR. CLOW: Thanks.

In the interest of simplifying Question

No. 25, I'm going to split it into two parts because

it's really two questions that we put together.

Has the Division required an annual water balance to be calculated for the White Mesa Mill?

MR. RUSHING: No. There is no technical basis or need to calculate the type of water balance proposed in the question. That type of calculation would contain a large amount of assumptions and would be of little practical use.

MR. CLOW: The second part of the question:
How is the Division determining how much water is
used by the mill whether and what amount of processed
water is being lost annually through the impoundments
into the groundwater.

MR. RUSING: Well, the volume of water that's lost through the bottom liners of the tailing cells is measured by the leak detection systems for each cell. And the groundwater permit, those would be the best available technology and the discharge minimization technology standards. So they're regulated through that process, and that gives us physical information of the amount of water that's lost through the -- the liners of the tailing cells.

1	MR. CLOW: So if there aren't monitor leak
2	detection systems on Cells 1, 2, and 3, how are those
3	calculated?
4	MR. RUSHING: So those would fall into the
5	discharge minimization technology requirements of the
6	permit. Those cells do have leak detections
7	installed. They're not the same type of leak
8	detection as best available technology, but those
9	systems have been fairly effective in capturing leaks
10	from liner tears that have occurred in the older
11	cells.
12	Another element of the discharge
13	minimization technology is to use well data from
14	monitoring wells that are located in very close
15	proximity to the edges of the tailing cells.
16	MR. CLOW: So the standpipes are kind of the
17	first line of this defense on those older cells?
18	MR. RUSHING: That's a good way to put it.
19	The older cells have a first line of defense, which
20	is not as rigorous or as good as the newer cells.
21	However, in the event those don't work,
22	there's the backup of using the monitoring well data.
23	MR. CLOW: In the groundwater that you're
24	protecting?
25	MR. RUSHING: Right.

1	MR. CLOW: Question 26: What measure is the
2	Division taking or requiring EFR to take to
3	investigate mounding of groundwater near MW-27 and
4	Cell 1?
5	MR. RUSHING: Groundwater mounding at MW-27
6	was caused by infiltration from the wildlife ponds
7	and that was verified by the University of Utah
8	study. Since the discontinuation of use of the
9	wildlife ponds in December 2011, the water elevations
10	at Monitoring Well 27 have dropped over 3 feet.
11	The University of Utah study confirmed that
12	water in the MW-27 was being charged by the wildlife
13	ponds and also verified that no tailings or
14	wastewater was in the well. Based on that, no
15	additional measures are warranted.
16	MR. CLOW: Thanks.
17	Question 27: What factors justify the
18	recent reduction in the amount of reclamation surety
19	for the White Mesa Mill by over \$1.7 million from
20	22.58 million to 20.82 million.
21	MR. TOPHAM: Again, our our principle is
22	it's the surety should be sufficient at all times.
23	The licensee has placed primary and
24	secondary radon barrier material on Cell 2. With
25	that material placement was previously covered in the

surety. Since that work is already done, we have given fair credit to the licensee for the completion of that work.

MR. CLOW: Thank you.

Question 28: What level of confidence does the Division have that the three sample values reported in the Safety Evaluation Report for the Sequoyah Fuels alternative feed material are representative of the entire sample size of 485,000 cubic feet which is assumed to have a volume of over 87,800 square feet? The size of a football field is 57,000.

MR. LUELLEN: Well, several samples of the Sequoyah uranium materials were collected and tested in accordance with the License Amendment Request. Sections 1.4 and 4.1 of the Safety Evaluation Report detail these samples which included several -- a composite of several grab of the dewatered sludge in 2005. The samples of the material collected in 2012 tested for total metals and eight RCRA metals, toxicity characteristic leaching testing procedure of leachate extracts from the dewatered selection in 2012. Samples of the water selection in 2005 tested for total uranium and thorium isotopes. Samples of the sludge prior to dewatering in the mid-2000s as

1 part of the initial custom feasibility for dewatering 2 processes at the ore facility. Samples of the 3 dewatered sludge from different basins and clarifiers at the Oklahoma facility. 4 Based on the reported concentrations that 5 were listed in the License Application Request and 6 impact testing of the same material also available to 7 the Division concluded that the characterization of 8 the materials appear to be reasonable, 9 10 representative, and bounding. 11 MR. CLOW: In the -- in the Safety 12 Evaluation Report, it describes testing results for 13 the filtrate, the actual liquid that was removed 14 during the -- the dewatering process. 15 Is that in there? MR. LUELLEN: I'd have to check the detail 16 to receive the answer to that question. I think that 17 18 was also included. Everything that was available from the application and available in the published 19 realm was included in the SER that I'm aware of. 20 21 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 22 Relative to the Sequoyah Fuels, Question 29: 23 What limits are there for the amount of thorium-230 and thorium-232 allowed in the Sequoyah Fuels 24 25 alternative feed material received at the White Mesa

1	Mill?
2	MR. MERRELL: There are no specific
3	regulatory limits as to the maximum allowed
4	concentrations of either thorium-230 or thorium-232.
5	However, those concentrations aren't limited
6	indirectly by the requirement that the operation be
7	protective of human health and the environment. And
8	that is done by several analyses. Those analyses
9	were conducted by the licensee and reviewed by the
LO	Division and found to meet all of the applicable
L1	regulatory requirements for human health and
L2	protection of the environment.
L3	And then an extra layer of safety is
L <b>4</b>	provided by verifying this through the environmental
L5	monitoring program.
L6	MR. CLOW: Thank you.
L7	Question 30: Are thorium isotopes being
L8	individually monitored in the tailing cells' leak
L9	detection systems?
20	MR. JOHNSON: No, they're not, and they're
21	not required.
22	MR. CLOW: Thanks.
23	Question 31: What is the current Emergency
24	Response Plan for the White Mesa Mill and what
25	procedures does it provide for addressing and

1 handling uncontrolled liquid releases from the mill now that Roberts Pond is no longer in existence? 2 3 MR. ROBERTS: Since the closure of Roberts Pond, the mill has operated without the need for an 4 5 emergency containment. In the event the mill should experience a situation that a emergency release is 6 7 necessary, the liquids will follow the existing contours and drainage into Cell 1. Any contaminated 8 soils resulting from that liquid release would be 9 10 cleaned up and placed into one of the active tailing 11 cells. 12 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 13 Question 32: Why is the White Mesa Ute community not on any list or communication tree for 14 15 any emergency involving potential off-site or public 16 releases of hazardous or radiological substances? 17 MR. ROBERTS: Energy Fuels is not required 18 to make direct notification to the Ute Mountain Ute 19 Tribe as a part of the Emergency Response Plan. 20 Notifications are given to the local authorities who 21 then coordinate efforts as needed with members of the 22 public. 23 MR. CLOW: So similar to Question 32, Question 33 was a multitiered question. So we're 24 25 going to just shorten it down in the interest of time

1 and simplify it. 2 Why didn't the Division promptly notify or 3 require EFR to promptly notify the White Mesa community and other neighboring communities of recent 4 5 radiological incidents involving transportation of radioactive materials on public highways regularly 6 7 traveled by school buses and residents of White Mesa and surrounding communities? 8 MR. JOHNSON: EFR followed the requirements 9 to notify the Division, and the Division also 10 11 followed our requirements to notify the NRC and 12 others. So those -- as far as notifying the White 13 Mesa Mill -- I mean, White Mesa community, sorry, 14 that was not required. 15 MR. CLOW: Besides the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the NRC, who are the others you're 16 17 referring to? 18 MR. JOHNSON: So we have a database that 19 when we have an incident, we fill that into. I only 20 know them by the acronyms. Maybe Gwyn could help me 21 out. 22 MS. GALLOWAY: The National Operation Center 23 for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission must be If it involves transportation, the U.S. 24 notified. 25 Department of Transportation has to be notified for

1 hazardous materials. A number of them also, in addition to what we call the NRC, the Nuclear 2 3 Regulatory Commission, the National Reporting Center, NRC, has to be notified. 4 So there are various agencies that must be 5 notified through regulations. 6 7 MR. CLOW: Did you notify the National Response Center of this incident? 8 MS. GALLOWAY: The National Response Center 9 was notified. 10 11 MR. JOHNSON: And those spills were below 12 reportable quantities as well. 13 MR. CLOW: Does the reporter need a break? 14 COURT REPORTER: I'm okay. Thank you. 15 MR. CLOW: Okay. Question 34, then: What measures are being taken to prevent radiological 16 incidents involving leaking shipments of radioactive 17 18 waste and other radioactive materials to the White 19 Mesa Mill? MR. ROBERTS: Control of radioactive waste 20 21 shipments is the responsibility of the shipper by not 22 Energy Fuels prior to reaching the White Mesa Mill. 23 So we are not controlling those. But shipments are regulated by, again, as 24 25 said, the U.S. Department of Transportation.

1	MR. CLOW: Thanks.
2	What measures are being taken
3	Question 35: What measures are being taken
4	to ensure prompt notification to the White Mesa
5	community and other nearby communities of
6	radiological incidents at or in connection with the
7	White Mesa Mill or along the public thoroughfares?
8	MR. ROBERTS: Again, this is the same
9	response as the earlier question along that line.
LO	We're not required to give direct notification to the
L1	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe. The Emergency Response Plan
L2	requires that we notify the local authorities who
L3	then take responsibility for notifying and
L <b>4</b>	coordinating with members of the public as needed.
L5	MR. CLOW: So San Juan County emergency
L6	response is who you call?
L <b>7</b>	MR. ROBERTS: San Juan County, the City of
L8	Blanding. The Sheriff's office basically.
L9	MR. CLOW: Would it be acceptable for you to
20	call the BIA police who patrols on the reservation?
21	MR. ROBERTS: I think there's merit to
22	discussing with Energy Fuels management some type of
23	coordination with the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe,
24	depending on what kind of arrangements could be
25	arranged along that line, what kind of procedure

1	could be established.
2	But that's something that I'm going to have
3	to have Energy Fuels management evaluate.
4	MR. CLOW: We'll reach out to David
5	Frydenlund on that. Thank you.
6	Question 36: What is the most current Spill
7	Prevention, Controls and Countermeasures Plan, SPCC
8	Plan, and when was it updated for the mill?
9	MR. RUSHING: The most current and updated
LO	SPCC Plan is dated December 12th of 2016.
L1	MR. CLOW: Relative to that, Question 37:
L2	Since Cell 2 is in interim closure and accepting no
L3	more material from the mill operations, where will
L <b>4</b>	contaminated soils and residues from spills be
L5	disposed and where is this information reflected in
L6	the current SPCC Plan or other plan?
L7	MR. RUSHING: Part 7 of the SPCC specifies
L8	that on-site personnel will assess a spill and direct
L9	corrective actions, including potential disposal of
20	contaminated soils or residues. So the mill is
21	determining that, per previous comment, that material
22	will go into an active tailing cell.
23	MR. CLOW: Thanks.
24	Question 38: What protections from
25	radiologic or other impacts from the mill are in

```
1
     place for wildlife such as deer, coyotes, rodents,
 2
     and birds?
 3
              MR. JOHNSON: So right now the mill uses
     physical barriers such as fences. They also use
 4
     statues of large eagles and loud noise-making
 5
     machines to deter wildlife from being within the
 6
 7
     restricted area.
              And then also, one of the benefits of
 8
     draining the wildlife ponds, that there's no water
9
     source close to the -- to the mill site.
10
11
              MR. CLOW: Cell 1 isn't an evaporative large
12
     body of water?
13
              MR. JOHNSON: It's a solution. It's not
14
     really monitored.
15
              MR. CLOW: Okay. So are you using -- do you
     know, are the CO2 cannons firing again there, as far
16
17
     as loud noise making?
18
              MR. JOHNSON:
                           They're available.
                                                So I was
19
     told they have bangers, screamers, propane cannons.
20
     All of those are available.
21
              MR. CLOW: Okay. They're available.
22
     Thanks.
23
              Do you think the -- the fencing is adequate
     to keep deer away from the tailing cells?
24
25
              MR. JOHNSON: Around Cell 4A and Cell 4B,
```

1 yes. MR. CLOW: And Cell 3? 2 3 MR. JOHNSON: Cell 3 does not have the same fencing, no. 4 5 MR. CLOW: Thank you. This was alluded to earlier, but 6 7 Question 39: How many water wells were drilled historically on the White Mesa Mill property into the 8 9 Navajo aquifer? 10 MR. GOBLE: There's historically been six 11 deep water supply wells. Three have been abandoned, 12 so there's three currently on site. They were all 13 constructed in accordance with the requirements of 14 the Division of Water Rights. 15 For the wells particularly, because you asked where they're located, Well WW-4 is located 16 17 upgradient to the far north of the White Mesa Mill 18 nonrestricted boundary. WW-2 is located a quarter 19 mile upgradient of the mill building. WW-5 is 20 located along the eastern boundary near the air 21 monitoring station BHV-6 along Interstate 191. And 22 all of these deep water supply wells are drilled to 23 approximately 2,000 feet below the ground surface, and they are cased with steel tubing to a depth of 24 25 approximately 1250 feet below the ground surface, and

1 the casing is grouted from the surface to the top of the Brushy Basin Member. And the Navajo aquifer is 2 3 an artisan pressure in the region which would be unlikely for any contamination to reach the aquifer. 4 MR. CLOW: Where were the other three wells 5 drilled? 6 7 MR. GOBLE: Do you remember, Harold? MR. ROBERTS: One of them was drilled 8 directly to the east of the mill ore storage pad 9 10 which was the original well on site. One of them was 11 drilled directly to the east of Cell 1. And the 12 other one was actually drilled very close to the most 13 northern well. Is that No. 3? And that was 14 abandoned several years ago because of a casing 15 collapse. 16 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 17 I guess the third part of that, which Phil 18 described as far as the structural engineering of the 19 well. What has been done to protect the Navajo 20 aquifer from potential migration of contaminants from 21 the mill and tailings via the historic wells? MR. GOBLE: So it was built in accordance 22 23 with water volume [driller requirements] -- Division of Water Rights. And like I said, there is actually 24 25 a seal at the Brushy Basin Member which prevents

```
1
     migration to the lower aguifer.
                         Thanks.
 2
              MR. CLOW:
 3
              Question 40 --
              MR. RANDALL: Can I just interject here?
 4
                                                         Ιt
 5
     looks like we're making really good time, but I'd
     like to make a motion to maybe have a break.
 6
7
     Looks like we're a good halfway through the hearing.
              MR. ANDERSON: Ten-minute break?
8
              MR. RANDALL: Ten-minute break. Looks like
9
10
     we're making good time.
11
              MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
                                    Yeah.
12
                   (Short recess taken.)
13
              MR. ANDERSON: Scott, do you want to
14
     continue?
15
              MR. CLOW: Sure.
                                Thank you.
              Question 40: Does the proposed phased test
16
     plot approach for reclamation of Cell 2 give Energy
17
18
     Fuels another seven years to implement final closure
19
     on the cell?
20
              MR. TOPHAM:
                           I'm not sure really how to
21
     answer that question. I don't think the two are
22
     really connected. The licensee could use the
23
     currently approved rock armor cover to close today.
     As we discussed earlier, there's benefit to leaving
24
25
     the last two layers off until the settlement is
```

```
1
     complete. So it's totally driven by physical
 2
     processes rather than by a calendar timeline.
 3
                   (Clarification by court reporter.)
              MR. RANDALL: It's driven by physical
 4
 5
     processes not a calendar timeline is what he said.
              MR. CLOW: How long has Cell 2 been drying
 6
 7
     and settling? I think Harold has mentioned that
 8
     earlier, but ...
9
              MR. ROBERTS: I think we determined --
10
     figured Cell 2 was actually closed officially in
11
     2008.
12
              MR. CLOW:
                         Thanks.
13
              Question 41: When URS -- I think the
     follow-up question, A, on that was already answered
14
15
     by Mr. Topham.
              Question 41: When URS reviews documents
16
17
     submitted by Energy Fuels, is URS acting as a
18
     contractor for the State of Utah or as a contractor
19
     for Energy Fuels?
20
              MR. GOBLE:
                          So as Gary Merrell introduced
     himself in the introduction, basically URS is here as
21
     a contractor for the State of Utah --
22
23
              MR. CLOW:
                         Thanks.
24
              MR. GOBLE: They have reviewed it on behalf
25
     of the Division.
```

1 Okay. Question 42, I'm going to MR. CLOW: reword it a little. It was a little bit of leading 2 3 into the way it was phrased. So under Subpart W, Rule 40 CFR Part 61 4 5 Subpart W, of the Clean Air Act, as a phased disposal facility, why is Energy Fuels allowed to fill Cell 4A 6 7 and 4B with tailings and liquid 11e.(2) wastes before completely filling Cell 3 with such tailings and 8 9 wastes bringing it closer to immediate drying and final closure? 10 11 MR. ZODY: Object to the extent it calls for 12 a legal conclusion, and it's not directly relevant to 13 the licensing action. I'm just preserving the record 14 on that. 15 MR. ANDERSON: Go ahead. 16 MR. CLOW: Okay. 17 MR. GOBLE: Oh, the answer? Okay. 18 Well, Tailing Cell 3 is the tailing cell 19 that's currently for ISL disposal. It is the most 20 suitable because it's a -- you can actually drive on 21 it safely. To require ISL disposal into 4A at this 22 moment wouldn't actually be safe because it would go 23 into the damaged -- it would actually damage the liner as you try to go down there for disposal. 24 25 With the new Subpart W rule, Tailing Cell 4B

1 is currently used as a nonconventional impoundment. 2 So there are no tailings that have been placed in 3 Tailing Cell 4B. So Energy Fuels, their plan is not to move 4 5 in the Tailing Cell 4A -- I mean, sorry, Tailing Cell 4B until they are ready to close Tailing Cell 3. 6 7 Those are the reasons for a safety factor. MR. CLOW: But they're not putting tailings 8 per se into it? They're just putting ISL 11e.(2) 9 10 byproduct material? 11 MR. GOBLE: It's process solution. 12 According to Subpart W, it's considered a 13 nonconventional impoundment. It doesn't count 14 against the tailing cells and operation. 15 MR. CLOW: Right. I meant into Tailing Cell 3, they're not putting tailings in there. 16 17 They're putting liquid ISL waste? 18 MR. GOBLE: They are not putting any 19 tailings currently into Tailing Cell 3. It is currently used for mill waste, and also they use that 20 21 cell for ISL disposal. There's no tailings being 22 placed in Tailing Cell 3 right now. All tailings are 23 going to Tailing Cell 4A. MR. CLOW: Is that going to happen forever, 24 25 for the foreseeable future? Is it going to ever

1 receive any more tailing cells and, you know, get 2 into closure sometime? 3 MR. GOBLE: That's speculation, but Energy Fuels, if they want to, they can answer when they 4 5 think they might close Cell 3. MR. ROBERTS: Let me clarify that there is 6 7 still some remaining capacity in Cell 3 for tailings material, for tailing sands. And we're preserving 8 that capacity right now. But it -- as Mr. Goble 9 10 said, it is primarily used for ISL waste and some of our mill site debris. But there is capacity still 11 12 for tailing sands. 13 MR. CLOW: Thanks. Question 43: Why has Cell 3 been designated 14 15 as the most appropriate disposal location for ISL 16 wastes? 17 MR. TOPHAM: I am presuming that the 18 question centers on why is this the most appropriate 19 place on the facility; is that correct? 20 MR. CLOW: Yes, at the White Mesa Mill for 21 the waste they're approved to receive. 22 MR. TOPHAM: Okay. A quick cataloging of 23 the potential uses of all of the five cells would be a -- in order at this point. Cell 1 and Cell 4A are 24 25 used for process fluid control only -- 4B. I mean.

1 4B, I'm sorry. One -- Cell 1 and 4B are being used 2 for processed fluid control only and are not 3 authorized for receipt of tailings at this point. Cell 2 is closed and, therefore, cannot 4 5 receive anything at all further, other than the remaining layers of the cover system. 6 Cells 3 and 4A are available for tailings 7 and for RSR and plant -- plant debris. 8 Now, why is No. 3 the most appropriate? 9 10 Right now, the tailings beach in Cell 4A is too thin 11 and unstable to drive on. So they couldn't get a 12 truck down there to place ISR waste in Cell 4A 13 without damaging the liner. That leaves Cell 3. There is sufficient 14 15 protection of the liner to make Cell 3 safe. MR. CLOW: 16 Thanks. 17 Is Cell 3 safer for the protection of public 18 health and environment than Cell 4A or 4B for the 19 disposal of ISL wastes? 20 MR. TOPHAM: At the current -- at present, 21 yes, for the reasons stated previously. You'd 22 perforate the liner on either Cell 4A or 4B if you 23 tried to drive your trucks into deposit ISR decommissioned debris. 24 25 MR. CLOW: For Question 45, we're going to

1 shorten it and simplify it. 2 Why has the division not required a 3 hydrologic investigation of shallow groundwater to be conducted in a southeasterly direction from the mill 4 facilities in the area of MW-22? I believe we 5 touched on this, but ... 6 MR. RUSHING: Yeah, so it sounds like you 7 removed the element of the recent recharge from the 8 9 question? 10 MR. CLOW: (Nods head.) 11 MR. RUSHING: As discussed in -- in previous 12 questions, the University of Utah study confirmed 13 that mill activities were not impacting Monitoring Well, MW-22. The University of Utah report does 14 15 state that an extremely localized area of recharge is occurring near Monitoring Well MW-22. The University 16 17 of Utah report also states that because of its 18 location, it is unlikely that MW-22 is being 19 influenced by similar aspects of the groundwater 20 system as the other monitoring wells. 21 Again, since MW-22 has been verified not to 22 be impacted by mill activities, requirements for EFR, 23 Energy Fuels Resources, to identify the localized source of recharge is not warranted. 24 25 MR. CLOW: So it wouldn't have been

1 influenced by the mounding at all? 2 MR. RUSHING: The University of Utah study 3 confirmed that it was a standalone source of recharge located in the immediate vicinity of Monitoring Well 4 5 MW-22. MR. CLOW: Thanks. 6 Ouestion 46: What was the Division's 7 rationale for requiring a hydrologic investigation 8 9 southwest of the tailings cells, and why doesn't this 10 rationale support a need for a similar or expanded 11 investigation to the southeast? 12 MR. RUSHING: There's no rationale to 13 require a southeast study. The southwest study was conducted to define 14 15 unsaturated portions of the shallow aquifer in the 16 southwest area as identified during the construction 17 of Tailing Cell 4B monitoring that work. And also to 18 confirm that Cottonwood seep was connected to the 19 shallow aquifer. There is really no technical basis 20 to require a study in the southeast. 21 There's also no technical basis to locate a 22 monitoring well specified in the question. I don't 23 know if you removed that portion of the question. MR. CLOW: No, I was going to ask it. 24 25 Go ahead.

1	MR. RUSHING: Well, if you want to go ahead
2	and ask that portion.
3	MR. CLOW: Sure. The second part: Will the
4	Division consider requiring a monitoring well located
5	approximately 350 meters or 1200 feet east of Well
6	MW-17 to verify that potential contaminants are not
7	migrating in this direction?
8	MR. RUSHING: And there's no technical basis
9	to locate a monitoring well in the location that you
10	specified. Cross gradient monitoring wells currently
11	exist in that same area.
12	MR. CLOW: So how many wells were drilled in
13	the southwest investigation, the DW series?
14	MR. GOBLE: The DR series, the Dry Ridge
15	series? Approximately, I think 15.
16	MR. CLOW: But you're not seeing any
17	rationale for drilling one in the southeast?
18	MR. RUSHING: Again, the reason that the
19	southwest study was conducted was because dry areas
20	were noted in the southwest area when installing the
21	monitoring network for Cell 4B, and the intention of
22	that was to delineate those dry areas and investigate
23	the impacts of them.
24	That same that same situation does not
25	occur in the southwest area or has not been

1 determined to occur in the southwest area -- in the 2 southeast area. Sorry. 3 MR. CLOW: If there aren't any wells, how do you know it's wet or dry down in that area? 4 MR. RUSHING: Well, you don't. But 5 currently, there are monitoring wells located around 6 7 the tailing cells and mill operations in the east and southeast of the mill activities, and they were not 8 shown to be impacted by dry areas. That's what began 9 10 the southwest study. 11 MR. CLOW: Thank you. We'll shorten 47. Has the Division notified other divisions 12 13 within Utah Department of Environmental Quality about the potential risks to human health so private 14 15 individuals using the Burro Canyon aguifer as a domestic source, who would be pumping much more 16 17 frequently than the mill monitoring wells, are 18 protected? 19 MR. RUSHING: So I answered the -- the 20 element of that question. No other DEQ divisions 21 have not been notified and explain them in order. 22 Pyrite oxidation is accepted as a possible 23 explanation for sitewide pH decreases. I would note that we're seeing those pH decreases in wells 24 25 upgradient from the mill facility and also far

1 downgradient from the mill facility. It has been confirmed -- identified and 2 3 confirmed, that tailings wastewater is not causing those pH decreases. And in order to make that 4 5 determination, we needed to use multiple lines of evidence. 6 Increased pumping of the aquifer, and it's a 7 low permeability shallow aguifer, was offered as one 8 of several potential ways that oxygen could 9 10 potentially be introduced around the well screens 11 aiding in pyrite dissolution. 12 MR. CLOW: You said far downgradient of 13 the -- of the mill facility. How far downgradient are we seeing the pH 14 decline? 15 MR. RUSHING: It's on a well-by-well basis. 16 I would have to have data in front of me. I don't 17 18 have that right offhand. MR. CLOW: So MW-22 is approximately a mile 19 20 south, give or take. Further south than that, the 21 tribe has monitoring wells. The pH is stable. We've 22 been pumping those things dry with sampling events, 23 which would theoretically introduce oxygen the same way as the pyrite theory and the pH is stable. 24 25 How about the people who are pumping their

wells every day in Blanding?

MR. RUSHING: Well, again, so it's a low permeability aquifer. When that particular mechanism was discussed in the pyrite investigation report and the pH report, it was noted that the mill was conducting a lot more groundwater monitoring at the facility for -- a lot of wells were conducting quarterly groundwater sampling. They're pumping frequently.

In addition, we have the corrective action monitoring wells that are being pumped continually, and just based on a -- an increased number of wells and increased monitoring frequency, in addition, the addition of the corrective action plan wells, that's creating more of a condition where you have that -- again, that pump down and recharge in the well screens around that well screen area.

MR. CLOW: Thanks.

Question 48: Why are increases in levels of the Division's groundwater indicator parameters, i.e., chloride, fluoride, sulfate, and uranium, concentrations in MW-31 from 2009 to 2016 not considered potentially from the tailing cells?

MR. RUSHING: So I went back and looked at the indicator parameters for Monitoring Well MW-31,

again, those parameters are chloride, fluoride, sulfate, and uranium.

In MW-31, the fluoride is showing a decreasing trend. The uranium concentrations, although they're showing a slight increasing trend, the concentrations themselves are very low for monitoring wells in the facility comparing ranges 6 to 9 micrograms per liter.

The sulfate is showing a moderately increasing trend, but it appears to be stabilizing towards the most recent monitoring data.

And chloride, there's a complication with using chloride at that monitoring well because it's right on the margin of the nitrate and chloride plume. So typically in source assessments, we don't use chloride as the indicator parameter. Therefore, indicator parameters as a line of evidence alone do not support a mill-related source for any of the parameters currently and have a combined status at that well.

And I would note that indicator parameters are only one of multiple lines of evidence that are used to support findings whether or not mill activities are the source for those out-of-compliance parameters.

1 MR. CLOW: So three out of four are showing 2 some signs of increase but not fluoride. 3 MR. RUSHING: Fluoride is showing decrease. The uranium -- you know, per my review, I 4 5 would say that uranium is essentially a flat trend. And in addition to that, the uranium concentrations 6 7 are extremely low, not what you would expect in the case of a release from the tailing cells. 8 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 9 Ouestion 49: What were the coefficients of 10 11 retardation and mobility determined for the selection 12 of key groundwater indicator parameters? 13 MR. RUSHING: So, again, those are the chloride, fluoride, sulfate, and uranium. 14 And the 15 indicator parameters used in source assessments were selected based on literature values, use parameters 16 with literature values with low retardation and 17 18 higher mobility. Or alternately, in the case of 19 uranium, we're using that due to its high concentrations in the tailing cells. 20 21 MR. CLOW: Thanks. 22 Question 50: What, if any, laboratory 23 testing was performed to assess the absorption capacity of the Burro Canyon formation at the mill? 24 25 MR. RUSHING: That's a rather broad

1 The only study that I'm aware of where question. 2 laboratory testing was conducted was testing that was 3 conducted to support work done for the Infiltration and Contaminant Transport Modeling. Part of that 4 5 modeling was to predict masses of -- of hydrous ferric oxide and calcite in the Burro Canyon aquifer, 6 7 the unsaturated portions of that. For that testing, bedrock -- a bedrock extracted solution was analyzed 8 for several metals and ions. 9 I would note that based on that -- that 10 11 analysis and the geochemical modeling that was done based on that analysis, it was found that chloride, 12 13 sulfate, and fluoride, three of the indicator parameters, were predicted to migrate with little or 14 15 no absorption. MR. CLOW: But uranium would be absorbed in 16 17 the -- in Burro Canyon? 18 MR. RUSHING: You know, if you look at 19 the -- and I'm referring to the first Infiltration 20 and Contaminant Transport Modeling. Uranium is 21 not -- is definitely not predicted to be a 22 conservative tracer by any means. 23 But with the metals, it's -- it's more complicated to say whether or not one is going to 24 25 have more absorption or less. And even the study,

1 when they look at the metals, they will state per the 2 modeling results, these metals are predicted to be 3 more mobile. However -- they always put in the "however" statement -- based on other information, 4 5 these metals may not be as mobile as reflected in the modeling results. 6 7 Again, we used uranium as a tracer because it has high concentrations in the tailing cells. 8 9 MR. CLOW: Thanks. What -- which transport model was that, 10 11 approximately? 12 MR. RUSHING: I think that was the -- in 2009. 13 MR. CLOW: Question 51, we actually had a 14 15 typo in there when we submitted it. So I'm going to reword that one and simplify it. 16 17 Was the acidity of the tailing solutions 18 taken into account in assessing the absorption 19 capacity of the Burro Canyon formation? 20 MR. RUSHING: Yes. The -- the tailings 21 wastewater source was measured and characterized with 22 a geochemical modeling in the Infiltration and 23 Contaminant Transport Modeling. MR. CLOW: Thanks. 24 25 And the last one. Question 52: Does the

1 Division's implementation of the groundwater 2 discharge permit and related regulatory actions 3 comply with the methodology for assessment and remediation of groundwater contamination described in 4 5 EPA 530-R-04-030, Handbook of Groundwater Protection and Cleanup Policies for RCRA Corrective Actions, for 6 7 facilities subject to corrective action under -excuse me, subtitle C of the Resource Conservation 8 and Recovery Act? 9 10 MR. GOBLE: So the White Mesa Mill property 11 is actually an 11e.(2) site not a RCRA site. 12 therefore, it's not applicable. 13 MR. CLOW: So it's not applicable, but does your discharge permit comply with it? 14 15 MR. GOBLE: Does the groundwater discharge permit comply with it? The groundwater discharge 16 17 permit complies with the rules of R317-6. Again, 18 this is not a RCRA site. MR. CLOW: Right. I guess where we're going 19 with that is we've been informed that that's the 20 21 guidance document for the implementation of the 22 groundwater permit. So if it's not applicable, then, 23 you know, perhaps it shouldn't be implemented that 24 way. 25 Is there another guidance document, a State

1 guidance document that you use to guide the 2 implementation of the groundwater permit to protect 3 groundwater? MR. GOBLE: We actually use the rules 4 5 defined in R317-6, groundwater protection rules. If you have evidence that you'd like to 6 7 present in your final, you know, formal comments, I will take a look at it. 8 MR. CLOW: Thanks. We appreciate the 9 10 opportunity to be here today. 11 MR. GOBLE: All right. Thank you, Scott. 12 Thank you, Michael. 13 14 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. The next commenter is 15 Uranium Watch, Sarah Fields. MS. FIELDS: My name is Sarah, with an "h," 16 17 Fields, and I'm with Uranium Watch in Moab, Utah. 18 Thank you for the opportunity to come here and ask 19 questions. 20 I'm sorry. I'm reading from my computer. 21 Okay. My first question: Does Energy Fuels 22 US -- Resources USA, Incorporated, own the roadway 23 between State Highway 191 and the mill entrance gate and pay property taxes on the road and land 24 25 between -- and the land between the road and the

fenced mill area?

MR. ROBERTS: The mill access road is a private road on property owned by Energy Fuels Resources. Energy Fuels pays property taxes on all the property that the company owns in San Juan County.

MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you.

What epidemiological or other health studies are being or have been conducted to evaluate the impacts of the operation of the mill on the health of the community and mill workers? I mean, specific to White Mesa.

MR. GOBLE: So as I stated in response to one of Scott Clow's comments, there was an environmental impact statement conducted by --completed by the NRC before the mill was actually put into operation. Since then, there hasn't been an epidemiological study being done, nor is there one to be required to be done.

As I stated before, the standards that are put in place for the public and also workers are -- were done with the international multiyear studies to determine what would be the best suited for the public and the mill workers. There hasn't been any exceedances of the limits at the boundary.

1 Also, as I stated, the -- the limit for a Rad worker at the White Mesa Mill is actually 5,000 2 3 millirem, and the average we've seen over the last ten years ranges anywhere from 80 to 180 [millirem], 4 so well below the limit. 5 MS. FIELDS: Thank you. 6 Ouestions 2.1: How has the Division 7 fulfilled requirements with respect an environmental 8 analysis of, one, the White Mesa Mill license 9 10 renewal; and two, the mill reclamation plan? 11 And I was referring to the requirement for 12 an environmental analysis in 42 U.S.C. Section 2021(3)(C) and I wanted to know how that 13 14 was -- how that requirement was fulfilled. 15 MR. RANDALL: So I'm going to start answering this question because it's a legal question 16 17 in part. 18 During the time that the NRC managed this 19 mill, NEPA applied and was followed until 2004. Under federal law, under the law that you just cited, 20 21 agreement states are not required to follow NEPA, per 22 Instead, the Atomic Energy Act requires that 23 agreement states like Utah undertake written environmental analysis. The Utah rule is codified in 24 25 UAC R313-24-3.

There's a detail legal memorandum that the attorney general's office has prepared on this topic dated February 22, 2017, that was provided to Uranium Watch. And I want to go ahead and make sure it gets incorporated into the record here because it's a more detailed analysis of the legal issues.

The other thing I want to say is that virtually everything that the Division does in connection with the licensing action is in substance a form of environmental assessment within the meaning of the rule and within the meaning of the Atomic Energy Act regardless of whether the document or analysis is formally entitled an environmental assessment. So I would say on virtually everything that we've done, this qualifies as an environmental assessment generally.

And, Phil, you have more details as far as specifics on what you've done.

MR. GOBLE: Sure. So for the specific licensing action that Sarah is talking about, as you'll remember, we actually started the public comment period -- the initial public comment period for the license renewal actually goes back in October of 2011. And part of that package was a Safety Evaluation Report put together.

1 We received public comments from the public, 2 and what we did is we -- after looking at those, DRC 3 management at the time -- they did a radiation control management at the time, they decided that, 4 5 you know, more work should be done in addition to the comments received from the public. And so we decided 6 7 to do our own independent MILDOS evaluation. And so when we went back out to public 8 comment, there was a bunch of other documents you 9 10 guys have seen. So there was a technical 11 evaluation -- technical evaluation and environmental 12 assessment. There was a statement basis for the groundwater permits. There was a Safety Evaluation 13 Report for Sequoyah Fuels. 14 15 So in addition to what we actually held [sent] out for public comment now, what we did back 16 17 in 2011 for the public comment period then is also 18 part of the record for this action. MS. FIELDS: But in 2011 that didn't include 19 20 the reclamation plan. And as part of your review of 21 the reclamation plan, I really didn't see any kind of 22 environmental analysis associated with the 23 reclamation and long-term care of the tailings. MR. GOBLE: So the reclamation plan that's 24 25 out for public comment right now is identical to the

one that was approved by the NRC back in 1996, with
the exception when Tailing Cells 4A and 4B were
constructed, we basically added the design drawings
for the cover to be the same. So that's why the
previous was actually tailing -- it was actually
Reclamation Plan 3.2B.

On our website, and as part of the record for actually what was done for Reclamation 5.1, you can see the different rounds of submittals. You can actually see our interrogatories going back with them, formalizing ways to protect, you know, the environment, and make sure things were done appropriately were actually incorporated into the stipulated consent agreement we talked about earlier of the test area that Russ described.

So it's included in -- in the technical evaluation of our -- technical evaluation of our annual assessment, in addition to what's referenced with the stipulated consent order. And those are actually available on our website.

MS. FIELDS: Okay. Do you know if in 1996 the NRC issued a public notice and opportunity for public comment on their approval of the reclamation plan? I'm sorry. I wasn't involved in this in 1996, so I really don't know myself.

```
1
              MR. GOBLE: I was in -- I was a senior in
 2
    high school in 1996, so I honestly couldn't tell you
 3
    that either. So I'm sorry, Sarah. That was the NRC.
              MS. FIELDS: Okay. Well, I'll look into
 4
 5
     that.
              MR. GOBLE:
 6
                          Thank you.
 7
              MS. FIELDS: Thank you. Okay.
    answered those questions.
8
              Oh, as a -- I hope that the transcript will
9
10
    be made available as soon as possible and before the
11
     end of the comment period so anybody can look at your
12
    answers, because it's hard to listen and take notes
13
    and get a full understanding of your responses
14
    without actually seeing a transcript of it --
15
              MR. GOBLE:
                          Sure.
16
              MS. FIELDS: -- your responses.
17
              MR. GOBLE: I don't have a problem with
18
    that, but that really depends on whether she can
19
    provide -- because this has, you know, been almost a
    four-hour meeting. I guess that's -- depends on when
20
21
     she can get it to us.
22
              If she can get it to us before the close,
23
    I'll be happy to share that with you, but I can't
24
    speculate when we get that.
25
              MS. FIELDS: Okay.
                                  Thank you.
```

1 I know I got a memo regarding my questions about the mine water from the Canyon Mine. But I do 2 3 want to know, have an understanding of when Energy Fuels commenced shipping the mine water to the mill 4 and when did it cease? That's assuming that it has 5 ceased, and if it, in fact, has solely been used 6 to -- for -- in the processing circuit rather than 7 direct disposal. 8 And I wanted to know how long they -- and if 9 10 they intend to continue shipping mine water from the 11 Canyon Mine to the White Mesa Mill. 12 MR. RANDALL: That's a question -- I mean, 13 technically under our procedural rules, I mean, the question-and-answer hearing is supposed to be for the 14 15 Division. We've taken the position that all of these 16 17 questions about the -- the water from Arizona are not 18 relevant. I suppose if -- since we're all here, if 19 you-all want to volunteer to answer. 20 Or what's your position, Mr. Zody? 21 MR. ZODY: It's up to you guys. 22 MR. FRYDENLUND: The water has been used as 23 processed water at the mill, it has not been directly disposed of. 24 25 What was your other question?

1	MS. FIELDS: How long are you I wanted
2	to know when shipments started and when shipment has
3	ceased. I'm assuming that you're no longer shipping
4	that trucking the water.
5	MR. FRYDENLUND: I do not believe there's
6	any water being shipped at this time.
7	MS. FIELDS: Do you intend to continue maybe
8	next year
9	MR. FRYDENLUND: I don't know.
10	MS. FIELDS: You don't know.
11	MR. FRYDENLUND: No.
12	MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you.
13	This has to do with the shipment of the
14	Cameco resources and ISL waste. Question 4
15	Questions 4.1: Is the Division satisfied with the
16	NRC's inspection and findings of the factors that led
17	to the spill of barium-radium sludge at the mill?
18	MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
19	MS. FIELDS: Have you evaluated the problems
20	associated with Energy Fuels' actions when leaking
21	shipments were discovered by mill staff and informed
22	Energy Fuels of what actions mill staff must take in
23	the future when leaks and spills are discovered, for
24	example, documenting the spills and leaks before any
25	remedial action commences?

1 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. So we evaluated each of those incidents with the mill, and we identified ways 2 3 that they can improve their notifications. And they've made changes to their SOPs. 4 5 MS. FIELDS: Okay. So these changes will occur in -- have they already occurred in new SOPs or 6 7 they will in the future? MR. JOHNSON: They are -- they have been 8 9 implemented, yes. 10 MS. FIELDS: Have you determined any changes 11 in Division practice for inspections of the mill when 12 they're receiving waste? Because I know there have 13 been instances where you actually are there on site 14 when they receive waste for disposal. 15 MR. GOBLE: So this is your Question 4.1.4? MS. FIELDS: Yeah. 16 17 MR. GOBLE: Yeah. The answer is no, we 18 don't plan on a change or inspection [for 19 inspections] based on the March 29, 2016, leak you're 20 referring to. 21 So currently, what the Division does is we 22 conduct four quarterly inspections related to ISL 23 disposal. And actually, since the March 29, 2006 --16 leak, there hasn't been any shipments from Cameco 24 25 that have gone to the White Mesa Mill.

And in our normal frequency of quarterly inspections, we've actually inspected shipments from Cameco before for the same type of material. So what Energy Fuels is required to do when a shipment's coming in, they're required to notify us, and then we go down on a quarterly basis.

In addition to that, as part of one of our quarterly inspections, we do kind of an overview for the entire year to make sure that Energy Fuels was doing what was required according to their license or standard operation procedures.

So it's expected that if they were to start again, we would see them in our normal routine inspection frequency.

MS. FIELDS: Also, I -- thank you.

I wondered if the Division has developed an environmental analysis of the potential impacts from spills of ISL and other materials shipped to White Mesa. As it happened, that material apparently spilled right at the entrance of the mill, but the truck went through -- went through Moab. It went through Monticello. It went through Blanding. And if that had spilled along the roadway, it would have been dispersed over a wide area and people wouldn't really have known about it until after the fact.

1 And I've just wondered what kind of analysis 2 you've done of the potential impacts, if there are 3 spills in the future. MR. JOHNSON: So we haven't performed an 4 5 environmental analysis. However, when we are -- we are notified of 6 an incident like that, we do have procedures that we 7 follow, which include sitting down and discussing 8 what the incident is and what proper -- what kind of 9 10 responses that we need to do at the time. 11 MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you. 12 I'll go on to the draft License Condition 9.7 which has to do with the cultural 13 resources at the site. And in License Condition 9.7, 14 there are three referenced documents from the 1980s. 15 And I wonder, are the three referenced 16 17 documents readily available to the Division staff and 18 the public? I really haven't been able to locate 19 those documents. And I find it difficult, if you 20 reference a document in the license, if that document 21 isn't avail -- readily available to me, it's not 22 readily available to the staff. And it -- that makes 23 it difficult for both the staff and the public and also the licensee to know exactly what the 24 25 requirements are, particularly you're going back to

the 1980s, so ...

MR. GOBLE: So the documents are available to Division staff, but they're not available to the public on the DEQ's Easy Search that I think you're referring to. So when we started having electronic data -- the document database available to us as a department, the Division director of the Division of Radiation Control at the time made the decision that rather than going and scanning in everything that had ever come before, they drew a line -- he drew a line in the sand and we scanned everything after that.

And so those documents are available. If you want to put in a GRAMA request, Sarah, we'll be happy to send it to you.

MS. FIELDS: Yeah, I really feel that anything referenced in the license is -- has a very special status because it's part of the license and that those documents, any document historical, current, should be readily available because it's part of the license.

Also wondered if the memorandums of 1979 and '83 have been superseded by more current letters or MOUs. I guess that's between the State Historical Society, the NRC. I just wondered if any of these have been updated, if you're aware of any updates in

1 those agreements. 2 MR. GOBLE: No, they have not. 3 MS. FIELDS: Has the Division determined whether the July 1988 list of archaeological sites 4 5 related to the White Mesa project submitted by the licensee is complete and accurate? 6 MR. GOBLE: So the July 1988 list that 7 you're referring to for archeological sites, it's 8 used as a guide as a survey of an area potentially 9 10 impacted, but the potential sites are not limited to 11 that original list. 12 So that was what they had observed at the 13 time. There's been things that have been identified 14 since then when construction commences. 15 What happens at that time as required by License Condition 9.7, they're required to retain an 16 17 archaeologist to do an assessment of the work. That 18 information gets sent to the state office of -- State 19 Historical Preservation Office, and then we have 20 to -- then basically, that letter -- the --21 basically, they approve it. They send it to our 22 division director, and we basically say, okay, you've 23 got permission from SHPO to even proceed. And then we give the authorization for Energy Fuels to 24 25 proceed. So that's what happens now.

1	MS. FIELDS: But do you think that that list
2	needs to be updated, made more complete and updated?
3	MR. GOBLE: That was the list for what was
4	going on at the time.
5	MS. FIELDS: Well, that's
6	MR. GOBLE: Basically, we're talking about
7	realtime. When there's something that needs to be
8	done at the time, it's done on a realtime. So it's
9	been updated several times since then based on what's
10	been completed at the site. That's used as a guide
11	for what was done at the time. There's not a reason
12	to update a list of a guide that was presented in
13	1988 when we're already proactively and
14	proactively responding to what is observed on site.
15	MS. FIELDS: That license condition
16	referenced the April 13, 1981, letter regarding a
17	research design.
18	Do you believe that that research design is
19	up to date or should be there should be a
20	reference to a more recent research design?
21	MR. GOBLE: So the my response to this
22	question is the same as the previous question.
23	So when there's going to be a commencement
24	of activities on the site that hasn't been previously
25	evaluated, they're required to do this assessment

1 using an archaeologist. 2 For example, when they constructed -- when 3 they planned the construction of Tailing Cell 4B, there was a survey that was done. 4 MS. FIELDS: So maybe in that license 5 condition, it needs to be updated with more -- a 6 7 little more accurate to reflect some of the comments that you've made. 8 But I'll put those in my reading comments. 9 10 Thank you. 11 MR. GOBLE: All right. 12 MS. FIELDS: Okay. Under operational 13 controls, limits, and restrictions, does the Division require the licensee to document the shipments of 14 15 waste that are received at the mill to be processed? For example, the number of shipments, the number of 16 17 containers in each shipment, type of container, 18 source, weight, and other pertinent information? Is the licensee required to test one or more 19 20 containers to document and verify the radiological 21 and non-radiological constituents of the materials? MR. JOHNSON: So the answer to the question 22 23 is no, they are not required to do it, but the mill does do it for their own purposes. 24 25 MS. FIELDS: So in some situation -- many of

1 the situations, you really don't know how many shipments, let's say, of waste from Metropolis, 2 3 Illinois, come to the mill for processing and disposal. 4 5 MR. JOHNSON: We don't require the information, but if we need to know the information, 6 7 it is available at the mill for us to inspect. MS. FIELDS: Okay. And License Condition 8 10.5. I'll go to just the -- the third question 9 10 there. 11 Does the current SOP for ISL disposal take 12 into consideration the problems encountered with the 13 shipments of ISL waste? I think you've already 14 answered that question. Thank you. 15 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. They updated it. So it tells personnel what to do when a leak is 16 17 encountered. And it talks about how to notify the 18 Division and what type of information we would need 19 from them. 20 MS. FIELDS: So was that on the easy docs? 21 Is that part of -- had that been posted, the new SOP? 22 MR. JOHNSON: I -- yeah. But it was mailed 23 to us, so --MR. GOBLE: It should be. 24 25 MR. JOHNSON: So it should be. If you can't

```
find it, let me know, and I'll -- I'll find it later,
1
 2
    too.
 3
              MS. FIELDS: Okay.
                                  Thank you.
              Do you require any detailed engineering
 4
 5
    drawings for the placement of each ISL waste
    disposal, and are they submitted? I know they're
 6
 7
    required to have the tail engineering drawings. I
     just wondered if they're submitted to the Division.
 8
              MR. TOPHAM: Well, as you stated, Sarah, in
9
10
     the question, the licensee is required to document
     the location of disposal of each load of ISL
11
    decommissioned debris that it receives. These data
12
13
    are available at the mill for our inspection, and we
    do look at it every time we do this kind of
14
15
     inspection. It's not routinely submitted to the
    Division. As such, we don't house that information
16
17
    here. And it is not -- therefore, not available on
18
    the Easy Search or -- or such.
              The licensee can make that information
19
20
    available, if they choose to, to the public.
21
              MS. FIELDS: Do you -- do you feel that that
22
     information is relevant to the final reclamation plan
23
     for Cell 3, where exactly the waste is disposed of,
    how much, where it's located?
24
25
              MR. TOPHAM: I don't see how it would affect
```

1 the performance of the cell as far as protection 2 beyond knowing that it's there. 3 MS. FIELDS: Okay. The licensee is required to monitor -- here I am seeing my misspellings -- the 4 5 radon in the vicinity of the mill on a quarterly basis. 6 7 Why is there not a requirement to monitor the radon continually and report the data? And 8 I'm -- I think we're all aware that they do have 9 monitoring devices that continually monitor radon. 10 11 And I wondered why it's not continual because four 12 times a year may not exactly reflect the --13 accurately the radon emissions at the site. MR. JOHNSON: So the devices that they 14 15 deploy actually record all of the radon that it comes in contact with while it's deployed. So what you see 16 17 is the average over that period of time. 18 Do you understand? MS. FIELDS: So what's the period of time? 19 20 I got the impression that it's a very short period of 21 time. 22 MR. JOHNSON: It's a quarterly. So they put 23 them out once a quarter. MS. FIELDS: Oh, so it's -- so I just 24 25 misunderstood some of the information.

1	They they put the devices out quarterly
2	so it measures the radon over that quarter.
3	MR. JOHNSON: Over that quarter, yes.
4	MS. FIELDS: Okay. Gotcha. Thank you.
5	Is there any requirement the licensee clean
6	up any on-site and off-site radionuclide
7	contamination above the EPA's would be Part 192
8	cleanup standard for uranium mills during the
9	operation of the mill rather than waiting until mill
10	closure? So when, let's say, there's a spill, when
11	you're aware there's an area of uranium contamination
12	that is possibly higher than the final cleanup
13	levels, are you going to wait until the mill closure
14	or are you going to require cleanup as you go, you
15	might say?
16	MR. GOBLE: So the answer is yes, if they've
17	exceeded the cleanup standard, they'll be required to
18	address it. However, your question, you say on site
19	and off site.
20	For off site, it would be something you
21	would have to address, you know, immediately. If it
22	was on site, it would be basically a case-by-case
23	basis whether it needed to be taken care of
24	immediately or not.
25	MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you.

1 I'm going to go to Cell 2. Question is: Will the licensee continue to 2 3 monitor and report the radon emissions from Cell 2? They're currently required to measure those emissions 4 5 twice yearly and report that, and I wondered if that's going to continue. 6 MR. GOBLE: Yes. 7 As you stated, the radon flux sampling is done at Tailing Cell 2 on a 8 semiannual basis, and this will continue until the 9 licensee can demonstrate to the director's 10 11 satisfaction the cover construction of Tailing Cell 2 12 is successful in meeting the radon flux standard. 13 MS. FIELDS: Okay. Do you have any estimate of the -- of when that final measurement is going to 14 15 take place? MR. GOBLE: I can't speculate. With, you 16 17 know, the most recent sampling that showed it was a 18 0.5, and that appears to be working. But we'll have 19 to watch it over time to determine whether that's 20 lasting or not, but ... 21 MS. FIELDS: One -- the next question is 22 when Cell 3 ceases operation and no longer falls 23 under the Subpart W requirement, does the Division intend to require monitoring, reporting, and 24 25 compliance with the 20 picocuries per meter squared

```
with radon emission standard and -- and mitigative
1
    measures if Cell 3 exceeds the standard? In other
 2
 3
    words, are you going to treat Cell 3 when it enters
    closure the way that you treat Cell 1?
 4
 5
              MR. GOBLE: Cell 2?
              MS. FIELDS: I mean Cell 2.
 6
 7
              MR. GOBLE: Yes.
                                The answer is yes.
                                                    The
    requirement is the same thing that's required for
 8
    Cell 2 in accordance with 10 CFR 40, Appendix A,
9
10
    Criterion 6.
11
              MS. FIELDS: Okay. And then there was a
12
    discussion of the July 3rd -- hope I got that date
13
    right -- letter which required the monitoring of
14
    Cell 2. And the licensee was supposed to submit
15
     information in their Environmental Monitoring Plan
     for procedures for radon flux from Cell 2, but it
16
17
    doesn't appear that they did so, because I -- I
18
     checked the Environmental Monitoring Plan from
19
     September and December 2014, and there didn't appear
20
    to be any procedures for Cell 2.
21
              MR. GOBLE: So yes, that's correct. It is
22
    not there.
23
              If you look at the letter where we suspended
     them and had to start sampling for Subpart W, but
24
25
    they had to start sampling under 10 CFR 40,
```

1 Appendix A, Criterion 6, we said the Division 2 requests they put this in their, you know, 3 procedures. Well, we didn't get it, but they're still doing what they're supposed to do. They're 4 5 collecting the semiannual samples and they've been submitting reports as necessary. 6 So you could say it was an oversight, but 7 the end result's the same. They're doing the 8 9 required sampling. 10 MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you. 11 Now I'll move on to some of the issues 12 related to the License Amendment Request to process 13 the Sequoyah Fuels waste. And as already discussed, 14 the NRC has determined that the Sequoyah Fuels waste 15 is 11e.(2) byproduct material. So the question in my mind is when does it 16 become ore? Because for the waste from the 17 18 processing of this 11e.(2) byproduct material to also 19 be defined as 11e.(2) byproduct material, the 20 material has to somehow become ore, and I just wonder 21 at what point in time and place is that 11e.(2) 22 byproduct material going to revert to ore so that 23 after it's processed, the waste can also be defined as 11e.(2) byproduct material. 24 25 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. When the license

```
1
     condition for the Sequoyah Fuels material is
 2
    approved, it would be considered an alternate feed.
 3
    It can be processed as ore.
              MS. FIELDS: But is it ore?
 4
              MR. JOHNSON: It is ore as defined by
 5
    alternate feed, yes.
 6
 7
              MS. FIELDS: As defined -- is this a
    regulation or a statute?
 8
              MR. JOHNSON: No, it's not.
9
10
              MS. FIELDS: Okay. So my next question was
11
    which reg -- federal and state statutes and
12
    regulation, that is definitions and requirements that
13
    enforce and effect, apply to the process whereby
14
    material is ore and is processed to become 11e.(2)
15
    byproduct material and then comes to a mill and then
     is processed again and then somehow reverts to ore,
16
    what I've discussed. So what -- what statutes and
17
18
    regulations apply to that convoluted definitional
19
    process?
20
              MR. ZODY: I'm going to just object to the
21
    question. It calls for legal conclusion. And the
22
     issue's been resolved in the Fansteel case ruled upon
23
    by the board, and the rules were established at that
    time.
24
25
              MS. FIELDS: What right do you have in this
```

1 hearing to -- to object to my question? MR. ZODY: I'll let the hearing officer 2 3 address that. MR. ANDERSON: It's provided for in the 4 5 administrative rules that have been adopted by the board that objections can be interposed during the 6 7 hearing. MS. FIELDS: Well, do you agree with that 8 9 objection? 10 MR. RANDALL: Well, let me speak to that. 11 I think part of the answer, the State of 12 Utah did appeal this issue to the NRC in the past. I'm not personally that familiar with the case. I 13 14 think it's the Fansteel case. 15 MR. ZODY: The Fansteel case was adopted when the state became an agreement state. It was the 16 first case when the State had issued an amendment for 17 18 the alternate feed program. So it confirmed it at state level, the prior --19 20 MR. RANDALL: Well, I'm referring to the 21 cases involving the State's position previously about 22 CHAN disposal that the NRC also ruled upon and 23 determined that that was an invalid argument. So we can -- we can get into some of the 24 25 legal issues. But I think you can go ahead and

1 answer the question as best you can as you understand 2 it. 3 MR. JOHNSON: So the definitions that are used for alternate feeds comes from the Federal 4 5 Registers that the NRC published in 1992 and 1995. And those Federal Registers, they talk about how 6 7 alternate feeds are applicable to the Atomic Energy Act, and UMTRCA, and also to EPA regulations. 8 MS. FIELDS: I don't think the NRC has the 9 10 authority to make determinations regarding EPA 11 regulations and those FRNs were not rule makings. 12 I'm making a comment here. 13 Okay. I'll just move on with Question 6.2, and, as you know, that the EP -- it's the EPA 14 15 standards in 40 CFR, Part 192, Subpart C that reg -are the standards for uranium byproduct materials 16 17 under the Atomic Energy Act. 18 And I wanted to know if the Division has 19 made a determination regarding EPA -- whether EPA 20 standards apply to the waste from the processing of 21 the materials than -- other than natural ore? I 22 mean, have you made a determination or do you have 23 any evidence EPA has made a determination that their standards apply to the waste from alternate feed? 24 25 MR. JOHNSON: So we would consider the waste

1 from alternate feed as it goes out to the tailing 2 cells as 11e.(2) material. Therefore, the standards 3 that the EPA developed for uranium mills cleanups would apply. 4 5 MS. FIELDS: But has the EPA ever made that determination? Do you know that the EPA has made 6 7 that determination? MR. JOHNSON: Not that I'm aware of. 8 MS. FIELDS: Okay. Okay. And to process 9 10 the Sequoyah Fuels, you're using an NRC guidance 11 which provides a new definition of ore. And I wanted to know if that definition of ore is found in the 12 13 Atomic Energy Act or in anywhere in any -- in NRC 14 regulation. 15 MR. RANDALL: Well, I'm going to object because it calls -- this is not a fact question. 16 17 It's a legal question. 18 But he can explain his understanding of the 19 law. MR. JOHNSON: So I have not found the 20 21 definition of ore in the Atomic Energy Act, as you 22 stated. 23 MS. FIELDS: So you have not found that the -- any indication the Atomic Energy Act that the 24 25 term "ore" means other than anything than the common

1 meaning of the term ore? 2 MR. JOHNSON: There was no definition of ore 3 at all in the Atomic Energy Act. MS. FIELDS: Is there a definition of water 4 5 in the Atomic Energy Act? MR. JOHNSON: No. 6 MS. FIELDS: Why do you think there is no 7 definition of ore in the Atomic Energy Act? 8 MR. ZODY: Object. Calls for a legal 9 10 conclusion and speculation. 11 MR. RANDALL: Well, I'm going to have the 12 same objection. Let's move on. 13 14 MS. FIELDS: Okay. I am going to skip the 15 next question since, you know, you're -- I will go to 16 Section 6.5. 17 What is the route that the Sequoyah Fuels 18 waste will take between I-40 in Arizona and State 19 Highway 262 in Utah? It wasn't included in the SER. 20 Also, there was no mention of the fact that the route 21 that they would have to go along between I-70 and 22 State Highway 262 would go through the Navajo Nation 23 and the Ute Mountain Ute Nation. I wondered why there was no mention of that. 24 25 MR. ROBERTS: The Sequoyah materials will be

1 transported along I-40, Highway 491, 262, and then The materials will be transported 2 191 to the mill. 3 on state and federal highways in accordance with the Department of Transportation requirements. The same 4 5 precautions will be followed over the entire route. MS. FIELDS: Are you making any -- what 6 accommodations will be made for the fact that the 7 haul route between Gallup and the mill will pass 8 through tribal lands? Will the Navajo and Ute 9 10 Mountain tribal governments be notified of the 11 transport of those materials through tribal lands? 12 MR. ROBERTS: Again, the materials will be 13 transported on state and federal highways in accordance with all applicable Department of 14 15 Transportation requirements. MS. FIELDS: How many other ore trucks or 16 17 other trucks, like trucks shipping chemicals to the 18 mill associated with the operation of the White Mesa 19 Mill travel on that haul route between Gallup and 20 White Mesa? I mean, are there any other trucks 21 associated with the mill operation besides this ore 22 Oklahoma waste that go on that route? 23 MR. FRYDENLUND: Sarah, the transportation considerations are dealt with the details in 24 25 Section 4.2 of the license amendment application.

And that goes through -- you know, it talks about the number of trucks associated with the mill at full operations being about 68 ore trucks a day for full operations.

What we're talking about here with the Sequoyah material is approximately 5 trucks a day for a short time period, 22 to 33 weeks. It's a very small percentage of full mill capacity. So the total amount of trucks associated with full capacity on those routes is going to be insignificant compared to normal trucking. And there will be an insignificant number of other trucks associated with mill operations over and above the Sequoyah trucks on those routes.

MS. FIELDS: I was wondering about the number of trucks because in the SER, it states from 555 to 835 trucks over 22 to 33 weeks. And that's a big gap, a gap of 300 different -- 300 truckloads. And I'm wondering why the estimate was so broad.

MR. FRYDENLUND: Well, what we found in our experience with alternate feed materials is that there has been some variability in the ability to estimate the quantities. So we typically assume about 50 percent more than maybe the initial amount. And that's why you're seeing a range of about

50 percent of 500 to about 800.

But all of our analysis of impacts to the mill assume a larger number. So we conservatively assume the larger number for impacts on the mill and any environmental impacts and -- which is a broader range because it's impossible to quantify with precision the exact numbers.

MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you.

The next thing goes for the Sequoyah Fuels SER Table 11, page 28. And I've noticed that in the table, the data and information referred to Cell 3 rather than Cell 4A. In looking the table, one would assume that any of the waste from the processing of the Sequoyah Fuels material would go into Cell 3 when it apparently will go into Cell 4A, possibly 4B. But -- and there's a mention of any future tailings impoundments.

So I wondered why the data regarding the radiological and non-radiological constituents in 4A before and after processing is not used. All -- all the data seems to deal with Cell 3, which seems to -- I don't understand why that's relevant and why data from Cell 4A isn't being used.

MR. LUELLEN: Data from Cell 3 would incorporate in the table. That was data available at

1 the time the SER was prepared, and that was 2 considered a general representative of tailings and 3 alternate feel materials disposed within the tailings impoundments which include Cells 2, 3, and 4A. 4 MS. FIELDS: Okay. On Table 3, Footnote 11, 5 it refers to Column J, concentration in ores and 6 7 other alternate feed material, and then also refers to Maywood, New Jersey, alternate feed material. 8 That material was never received at the mill, and now 9 10 it's going to be deleted from the license. 11 So, again, I wondered why the constituents 12 of the Maywood waste are relevant to the proposed 13 license amendment. The Maywood material is 14 MR. LUELLEN: 15 included in the Safety Evaluation Report because it 16 is included in the current radioactive materials license. 17 18 The material was approved as an alternate 19 feed for processing at the White Mesa Mill but has not been processed at the mill. These materials were 20 21 included in the SER because they show the 22 non-radiological and radiological characteristics of 23 the materials that have been evaluated and approved as alternate feed materials for processing at the 24 25 mill.

1 As such, they provide an example of the 2 non-radiological and radiological characteristics of 3 byproduct materials that are within the envelope of approved materials that might be processed and the 4 5 residues therefrom disposed in the impoundments without endangering human health or the environment. 6 MS. FIELDS: Was any -- was there any effort 7 to look at the cumulative impacts of all those 8 different materials? 9 10 MR. LUELLEN: This License Amendment Request 11 was reviewed for the materials on a specific case 12 basis and for its impacts on the impoundments as --13 as -- as an episode. MS. FIELDS: Yeah, but not looking at any 14 15 cumulative impacts from all the other alternate feed material that has been or might be disposed of? 16 17 MR. ZODY: Object to compound question. 18 Calls for speculation. MS. FIELDS: Well, I just wondered if you 19 20 looked at -- I guess you haven't looked at any 21 cumulative impacts from all -- all the alternate feed 22 material. 23 MR. LUELLEN: The analysis looked at the quantities and concentrations of this alternate feed 24 25 material in relation to the volumes and tonnages and

1 concentrations of existing tailings and alternate 2 feed materials. 3 In that context, they were incorporated into what kind of impact they would have on the 4 5 concentration overall within the impoundments. MS. FIELDS: I wonder which waste materials or non-ore materials that have been processed are 7 being used by the Division for comparison in 8 Column J. And unfortunately, I don't have it right 9 10 up in front of me. It doesn't -- it mentions some of 11 the non-ore materials, but it doesn't list all of 12 them. 13 And previously today, the Division indicated that they don't keep track of all the shipments of 14 15 the non-ore materials that have come to the mill for processing. So it's hard to know exactly what you're 16 17 talking about when it comes to the amounts of 18 materials and their radiological constituents that 19 have gone into Cell 3 or would go into Cell 4A. 20 MR. ZODY: That, to me, seems in the nature 21 of a comment as opposed to a question. 22 MR. RANDALL: Well, and I object because I 23 think it misstates the testimony. The testimony was that the Division doesn't 24 25 receive actual shipments of waste, but I think the

1 quantities of materials that are shipment process are So I think that misstates the testimony. 2 3 But -- but if you can comment on -- the question relates to Column J in the SER. Can we get 4 5 back to that question, maybe answer it. MS. FIELDS: I guess the question was, does 6 7 the data in Column J refer to the concentration of radiological and non-radiological constituents of 8 9 other ways that have not or will not be received at the mill? 10 11 MR. LUELLEN: The data in Column J refer to the total concentrations, and that is in terms of 12 13 ranges of previous alternate feed materials that have been approved or -- and/or processed at the mill. 14 15 they're representing general ranges of those concentrations. 16 17 For comparative purposes, the SER can be 18 clarified. The footnote can be clarified to indicate 19 which of those considered in Column J that were 20 approved and which were not processed and those which 21 were approved and processed for clarity. That can be 22 done. 23 MS. FIELDS: Were you also looking at concentrations of ways that were disposed of in 24 25 Cells 2 -- 2 and 4A? I think most of the data refers

1 to Cell 3. So are -- or were you looking at 2 everything that had ever been disposed of in the 3 tailings impoundments that was considered alternate feed? 4 5 MR. LUELLEN: It was the general consideration of everything that was either processed 6 7 and disposed or approved. It was all comprehensive. MS. FIELDS: So you were looking at cement 8 and asphalt and boards and things like that? 9 MR. LUELLEN: Well, the bound --10 11 MS. FIELDS: -- is alternate feed material 12 for processing. 13 MR. LUELLEN: The bounding alternate feeds would be those, Sarah. I think some of them are 14 15 referred to in the footnotes already that were approved but not processed. In order to get the 16 17 range, upper and lower concentrations that were 18 previously considered. 19 MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you. 6.7: Does Division have data on the total 20 21 amount of each radiological and non-radiological 22 constituent that has been disposed of from the 23 processing of waste or what you call alternate feed material at the mill? 24 25 MR. GOBLE: So the mill doesn't process

1 They process alternate feed material. waste. 2 MS. FIELDS: But they are waste. They're 3 waste from the processing of -- of other -- other They are -- there are wastes. They're 4 materials. 5 defined as waste. They -- they don't become ore until after they've been processed. So I call them 6 7 waste. It's a matter of semantics, I guess. MR. GOBLE: So do you want me to answer your 8 9 question? 10 MS. FIELDS: I want to know if you have data 11 on the total amount of each radiological and 12 non-radiological constituent that has been disposed 13 of in the processing of alternate feed material at 14 the mill. 15 MR. GOBLE: The answer is no, nor is the -nor is the licensee required to provide that 16 information. 17 18 What we do have is on an annual basis, the tailings solution is actually sampled for 38 19 constituents. That information is submitted to an 20 21 annual wastewater sampling report. 22 MS. FIELDS: Do you include radium in that 23 sample on an annual basis? MR. GOBLE: No. What's actually sampled is 24 25 the same thing which is the same constituents which

are required to be sampled in the -- one of the 1 compliance wells. It's the same 38 constituents. 2 3 Radium is not one of them. MS. FIELDS: Okay. The SER draws 4 conclusions regarding the acceptability of the 5 Sequoyah waste for processing or the Sequoyah 6 7 alternate feed, but it is for processing based on the assumption that this 11e.(2) byproduct material 8 contains similar or greater -- or that waste, other 9 10 materials containing similar or greater levels of 11 radiological or non-radiological constituents have 12 been placed -- already been placed in the tailings. 13 And I believe you've already asked -answered this question of whether Division has looked 14 15 at the cumulative impacts of the disposal of these constituents from alternate feed material in the 16 17 impoundments. 18 MR. GOBLE: Yeah, Jon answered that 19 question. 20 MS. FIELDS: Okay. What information does 21 the Division have regarding the environmental impacts 22 of the processing and disposal of alternate feed that 23 have been accepted at the mill? MR. GOBLE: So as Jon said, we don't have 24 25 the cumulative radiological and non-radiological

1 constituents of what's been received. As -- what we 2 do, actually, for an environmental analysis for the 3 site is we review the wastewater reports that come 4 in. 5 You also want to know -- well, did you ask your second question, Please refer to dates. You 6 7 haven't asked that yet. So we basically, like I said, the -- let me 8 start over. I apologize. 9 10 The regulatory standards even though -there is regulatory standards and those are required 11 12 to emit -- sorry, to meet. As long as the standards 13 are met, the public should be -- the public -- the 14 effects to the public should be minimum. 15 And there actually is an environmental review each time the Division reviews an 16 17 environmental report. 18 MS. FIELDS: There's an environmental review 19 for each of the alternate feed? 20 MR. GOBLE: Not for each alternate feed. 21 When the wastewater sampling report comes in, it's 22 reviewed, and the Division does, then, a review of 23 that. 24 MS. FIELDS: Okay. So you -- thank you. 25 MR. GOBLE: Yeah. Sorry if I was a little

```
1
              I apologize, Sarah.
    blurry.
 2
              MS. FIELDS: It's getting late. Okay.
 3
              MR. GOBLE: It's getting late.
              MS. FIELDS: Does the Division -- this is
 4
     6.8: Does the Division have data on the total amount
 5
     of materials disposed of and the total amount of
 6
 7
     constituents from these other sources? These are the
    other sources of alternate feed material. I mean, do
 8
    you have an overall picture of the total amounts of
9
10
    materials disposed of and the total amount of
     constituents from these sources?
11
12
              MR. GOBLE: We have the total amount of
13
    volume that's been disposed of at the tailings cells.
    I don't have a constituent by constituent, no.
14
15
              MS. FIELDS: Okay. I'll go on to 6.9.
              In the SER, it talked about the alternative
16
17
    and for -- for dealing with the ore material.
18
              And I wondered why the SER did not consider
     the alternative of direct disposal of the 11e.(2)
19
20
    byproduct material at the Clive Disposal Facility,
21
    which has an 11e.(2) byproduct material disposal
22
    cell, or why the SER did not consider the alternate
23
    of direct disposal, which is allowed at the White
    Mesa Mill because the material is 11e.(2) byproduct
24
25
    material just as ISL waste is 11e.(2) byproduct
```

1 material. 2 MR. GOBLE: So there is no requirement for 3 the Division to consider alternatives of direct disposal for the material. That decision you -- that 4 5 decision actually lies with Sequoyah Fuels and what they want to do with their material. 6 7 What was presented to us was a request to receive it for alternate feed from Energy Fuels. 8 That's a question for Sequoyah Fuels. 9 10 MS. FIELDS: Okay. Thank you. 11 And I wondered why the radiological constituents -- this is 6.10 -- of the waste -- of 12 13 waste that has not and will not be received at the 14 White Mesa Mill relevant to the proposed license 15 amendment. I mean, alternate feed, over time, there 16 were a number of license amendments to receive and 17 18 process alternate feed, but much of that feed never 19 arrived at the mill. Such as Maywood, they're 20 dumping waste material. 21 So I wonder why it's really relevant to this 22 license amendment, why these waste materials that 23 have never been processed and never will be processed 24 are relevant today. 25 MR. MERRELL: The alternate feed material

1 from W.R. Grace and from Maywood were included in the 2 SER because they are included in the current license. 3 And as Jon mentioned earlier, those materials, even though they were never received or processed, serve 4 5 the purpose of showing a radiological profile of materials that would be acceptable were they to be 6 7 processed. So they were included for those two 8 reasons. MS. FIELDS: But is -- is there a cutoff 9 point? Is -- has the State ever made a determination 10 11 that there's some radiological profile that wouldn't 12 be acceptable for alternate feed? Is there any 13 cutoff point? Is there any standard? Is there any 14 limit? Is there any ... MR. MERRELL: Some of this we -- we talked 15 about earlier. We do not have regulatory limits for 16 17 specific radionuclide concentrations, but we do have 18 a requirement for protecting human health and the 19 environment. 20 So in an indirect way, that requirement 21 places limits on the maximum radionuclide 22 concentrations that are acceptable. But to make that 23 connection between a radionuclide concentration and

whether it's protective of human health in the

environment requires an analysis. And that analysis

24

25

```
1
     was done by the applicant, reviewed by the Division,
 2
     and was found to satisfy all of the applicable
 3
     regulatory requirements.
              MS. FIELDS: I get into a lot of very deep,
 4
 5
     detailed questions about the radiological analysis
     which will probably take a lot of time.
                                              I think one
 6
 7
     of the important things is, I wonder whether the
     Division will require a limit on the time that the
 8
9
     Sequoyah Fuels waste can be stored prior to
     processing. I think there are concerns about the
10
11
     possible breakdown of the sacks, about keeping it in
12
     safe storage.
13
              MR. GOBLE: So this is your question in
14
     6.10.7?
15
              MS. FIELDS: Yes.
              MR. GOBLE:
                                 So there isn't,
16
                          Okay.
17
     apparently, a requirement that limits the storage
18
     time of the alternate feed material. However, the
19
     licensee would be required to follow what's specified
     in License Condition 10.8 such as if the Super Sacks
20
21
     are damaged or if they're leaking, then they'll be
22
     required, to, you know, put water on that, like I
23
     said, causing a water spray to help prevent
24
     migration.
25
              But there's no limit for storage time.
```

1	MS. FIELDS: I think my questions, and I'll
2	submit them as comments, in my comments, and then you
3	can give me your responses to comments responses
4	to my questions that way.
5	MR. GOBLE: All right.
6	MS. FIELDS: I think that would be a little
7	more productive.
8	But just the final question: The SER
9	describes a number of protective actions that the
10	licensee will take during the handling and processing
11	of the waste, and I wondered how the Division will
12	assure that such procedures are followed.
13	Will there be specific inspections? Will
14	there be special training for the workers?
15	MR. JOHNSON: I do inspections for alternate
16	feeds.
17	MS. FIELDS: Do you know if there's going to
18	be specific training for the workers?
19	MR. GOBLE: Energy Fuel's?
20	MR. ROBERTS: Yeah. The Sequoyah material
21	would be processed under our Radiation Work Permit
22	system, and that utilizes an SOP that is specifically
23	for alternate feed materials that have a higher than
24	typical level of thorium.

```
1
    part rely on that SOP for high thorium content
 2
    material --
 3
              MR. ROBERTS: Yes, ma'am.
              MS. FIELDS: -- that was developed?
 4
              Okay. Thank you. Thank you for your
 5
    patience.
 6
 7
              MR. GOBLE: All right. Thank you, Sarah.
              MR. ANDERSON: Well, that concludes the
 8
    question-and-answer period for the hearing today.
9
                                                       ₩e
10
    do have additional time. As I mentioned, we had
11
     scheduled this for four hours from 1 to 5. The panel
12
    has indicated that they're willing to accept comments
13
    from the audience since we do have additional time.
14
    We finished a little early.
15
              So if anyone from the audience would like to
     comment, you're invited to come up to the table.
16
17
    Please state your name. The time will be -- oh,
18
    good. We have a list of people who want to submit
19
     comments.
20
              So we'll start with --
21
              MR. RANDALL: Can I just make a motion for
22
     the Division? I think we're interested in opening
23
    this up for comments.
              We have -- we have a public comment hearing
24
25
     scheduled for next week, next Thursday, down in
```

1 Blanding. So given -- even though there is some time available, it's still limited. And so I think we 2 3 want to preferentially provide an opportunity for people who are not going to be able to attend the 4 5 hearing in Blanding. And then if we could put some reasonable time -- can we show by hand how many 6 7 people want to provide comments today? Three, four? Four or five? So maybe up to five? 8 9 MR. GOBLE: Let's start with five minutes. MR. RANDALL: Five minutes each? 10 11 MR. ZODY: A point of clarification. 12 is just for public comment. 13 MR. GOBLE: Right. 14 MR. ZODY: The question-and-answer session 15 is over. 16 MR. GOBLE: Is over. 17 MR. ZODY: Thank you. 18 MR. RANDALL: Correct, yeah. Yeah, members 19 of the panel will be excused. There's no response to 20 the public comments. The record is open for public 21 comments. 22 MR. ANDERSON: So based on the -- the sheet 23 that we have made available regarding individuals who wish to make a comment, first individual that I have 24 25 on this particular record that's been made available

1 is Ivan Weber. 2 Is Mr. Weber here? 3 Let's see. Okay. We'll just -- those who raised their hands, we'll just call you up. 4 5 And sir, would you please state your name for the reporter and the record. 6 7 MR. DUTCHIE: Yes, sir. My name is Ephraim 8 9 Dutchie. I'm a White Mesa resident. 10 And my question is, you know, you guys are 11 talking about -- there was something that happened at the mill. How much time, and what is the safety 12 13 perimeter, you know, to be in a safe zone, so to speak? What is a safe zone to be when there is a --14 15 when you guys are -- when there's something going on at the mill? Like a alarm, something happens, you 16 17 know, what's the safe perimeter around the whole area? Can you guys answer that for me? 18 19 MR. ANDERSON: Anyone want to take that one 20 on? MR. RANDALL: Well, I think this -- I think 21 22 this portion of the hearing is just for public 23 comment. So you make your comment. We'll take that under advisement, and we'll issue a formal written 24 25 response to your question.

```
1
              MR. DUTCHIE: So you can't, you know, answer
 2
     it off the top of your head? You guys can't just
 3
    answer one little --
              MR. GOBLE: It would be basically a
 4
 5
    case-by-case basis depending on what the incident
           So it's hard for me to speculate what would be
 6
 7
    safe zone for anything that happens at the mill.
              What we can do is, like Bret Randall said,
 8
     is this: You know, we will submit a formal response
9
10
    to your comment, and we'll take it into consideration
11
    maybe with some different scenarios on what we'll
12
    need to do for different scenarios. That, I think,
13
    would be better for actually addressing your concern
    rather than to speculate. Because it depends on what
14
15
    the issue is.
16
              MS. GALLOWAY: It does.
17
              MR. DUTCHIE: Well, I mean, with any -- with
18
    any serious situation, you know, I mean, you're
    working with some toxic stuff here, you know. I
19
20
    mean, what -- that's what I mean. That's -- the
21
    perimeter, the safety perimeter around the whole
22
    area, you know.
23
              Is it within White Mesa range or is it out
    of White Mesa range is what I'm asking?
24
25
              MR. GOBLE: Yeah, I -- honestly, I can't
```

1 speculate on what would be -- because I don't know 2 what different incidents could possibly be. 3 Right now, there is quite the buffer property between where the mill building is at versus 4 5 where the property boundaries begins. For the potential place where you would have 7 the tailings cell leak or if there was an emission, you know, let's say from some of the processes for 8 the mill, I can't speculate. It would have to depend 9 10 on the concentrations, the extent, the duration. 11 Perhaps Gwyn can add more to that. 12 MS. GALLOWAY: There's so many variables 13 involved. You know, the weather could be taken into account, the material that's involved. 14 15 MR. DUTCHIE: Yes, very -- exactly weather. MS. GALLOWAY: Well, the weather, the 16 17 materials, the -- the concentration of the materials, 18 the incident itself. You know, there are some things you can pretty much stand right next to it. 19 20 some things you have to be a little further away 21 from. 22 It -- it's so specific to the incident that 23 we would be best to pose some potential scenarios that could happen, perhaps, and -- and then address 24 25 your issues based on those than try and speculate

1 just a general statement saying X amount. Because for some situations, you know, X would be okay. 2 3 some situations it wouldn't. MR. DUTCHIE: Well, I don't know what, you 4 5 know, the mill's working with. You know, they're working with all kinds of different, all the --6 7 uranium level different kind of sorts that they're bringing into the mill. You know, I don't know all 8 9 of them on top of my head. 10 But, you know, you -- you said weather. 11 know, 75 percent of the time, the wind comes from the north south -- south. And when all that stuff --12 13 when you guys are moving the piles out there outside 14 of the mill, sometimes it's wind blowing. Sometimes that wind carries that down to White Mesa. 15 MS. GALLOWAY: But it would probably be best 16 17 for us to develop a scenario to account for those 18 types of things and answer your -- and address your 19 question more -- more completely --20 MR. DUTCHIE: Yeah, that's where we're 21 basically going is like a scenario. I mean, like the safe zone, you know, like bring back to my question, 22 23 you know. MS. GALLOWAY: Yes, sir. And what Phil --24 25 MR. DUTCHIE: How far would that reach?

```
1
    whole -- basically the whole perimeter throughout --
 2
     from -- from the mill outward, you know, how many
 3
    miles is that? How many miles is a safe zone?
             MS. GALLOWAY: What Phil was trying to say
 4
 5
    was what we can do is propose some scenarios, and for
     each of those scenarios, then state what an
 6
 7
     appropriate amount would be for -- to address your
    question more completely. Because if we try and
 8
    address it here, we're not going to be able to
9
    address it very well. But we can address it in
10
11
    writing at a later date and address better
12
    scenarios --
13
             MR. DUTCHIE: Please tell me --
14
             MR. ANDERSON: Sir, your time has run, and
15
     it's an open-ended question. And I think that the
    proposal to respond and develop some scenarios will
16
17
    probably be the best way to address your comment.
18
              MR. DUTCHIE: All right. All right.
19
             MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
20
             MR. DUTCHIE: Yep, thank you guys.
21
    know, you guys all have a good evening. May the
22
    Creator bless each and every one of you. And sure
23
    hope you guys know, you know, White Mesa we do care
    about our land and we do care about people, you know.
24
25
              And can you guys please, you know, listen to
```

1	what, you know, I'm trying to say. You know, I'm
2	here as a White Mesa resident, you know. Obviously
3	you guys maybe never really seen a Ute Mountain Ute
4	or Ute from White Mesa speak on a community's behalf.
5	MR. ANDERSON: Well, thank you. We
6	appreciate your time.
7	MR. DUTCHIE: Thank you guys for opening
8	your doors here and letting me speak and listen to
9	what you guys got going on here. Thank you.
10	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
11	Anyone else?
12	Yes, ma am.
13	And remember, this is for public comments
14	and not questions. So if you have a comment you'd
15	like to make before
16	* * * *
17	MS. TSO: I have several comments. My name
18	is Sharee Tso. I'm originally from Arizona, a small
19	community. Probably a lot bigger than White Mesa,
20	but it's Greasewood Springs, Arizona.
21	My first comment is for Harold Roberts and
22	Jon Luellen [Mike Zody] and Phil Goble, Tom Rushing,
23	Russ Topham, and Gwyn Galloway. I'm really, really
24	disappointed in you guys. Bret Reynolds
25	Randalls Randall.

1 As I sat here listening to how heartless, 2 insensitive, and basically evil and criminal how 3 your -- how you responded to an event of a catastrophe at the mill, how you would handle an 4 5 emergency. Oh, well, call Blanding first. White Mesa community is 5 miles away, less than 10 miles. 6 7 And you had the gall to say, well, we'll have to coordinate with the BIA and we'll have to coordinate 8 that, and we'll have to think about how we're going 9 10 to address an emergency. 11 And this is what my brother was trying to 12 say. What is the safe zone? How are you going to 13 handle an emergency? My comment is if this was -- if this mill 14 15 was here in Salt Lake, man, you guys would be on it. You guys would be on the TV, on the radio, you'd have 16 17 sirens going on, you'd have police knocking at doors 18 and everything. 19 But in the small community of White Mesa, 20 you guys wouldn't even give a care. That's how 21 heartless and criminal your corporation to get this 22 license for a uranium mill to process uranium. I'm 23 not stupid. And, you know, what you're doing and what you're saying up there is criminal. 24 25 You guys are just doing it for the money.

1 You're just doing it so you can go through the 2 reservation. You want to go through several 3 reservations to bring it -- bring this contaminated stuff for money, for money. 4 You can't eat money. You can put it in the 5 bank. You can put it in the stock market, but you 6 7 can't eat it. You can't drink it. You're contaminating the waters there. 8 You're contaminating the waters on the White 9 10 Mountain -- White Mesa Reservation. You're going to 11 contaminate the waters on the Navajo Reservation, on 12 the Havasupai Reservation. And you're bringing it 13 all over the country for money. You guys are all sitting up there in suits, 14 15 all nicely groomed and everything, shoes shined, you know, but who gives a care about the damn Indians? 16 17 Nobody. You think we're all uneducated? I have a 18 master's degree in education. I may not look like 19 I'm not dressed in a suit or a dress or 20 anything, but I'm smart. I can see right through 21 I can see right through your heart. I can see 22 right through your soul. I can see right through 23 your pocketbook. 24 That's my comment. Thank you. 25 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.

1	(Clapping from people in audience.)
2	* * * *
3	MS. BADBACK: Hi. My name is Yolanda
4	Badback.
5	MR. ANDERSON: Ma'am, would you sit down.
6	MS. BADBACK: I don't want to have a seat,
7	sir.
8	MR. ANDERSON: Okay. If you could speak
9	into the microphone, though, just so that
10	MS. BADBACK: My name is Yolanda Badback.
11	MR. ANDERSON: Thanks.
12	MS. BADBACK: I'm a resident of the White
13	Mesa Ute Reservation there just 4 1/2 miles south of
14	the mill there.
15	I don't understand why the three individuals
16	up there are sitting on the board while they're
17	employed with the mill. You guys tell me that. Why?
18	Why are they sitting up there? If this is a hearing
19	to renew the license, them three shouldn't be sitting
20	up there.
21	MR. ANDERSON: They're here to answer
22	questions. They're the
23	MS. BADBACK: But, you know, as answering
24	questions, there's eventually a lot of chairs here
25	that they could be able to sit at, just like the rest

of us here.

MR. ANDERSON: The important thing to remember is that this is a process to consider a license. And they're the applicant for the license.

MS. BADBACK: I know, but, you know, sitting up there makes it look like, oh, they're with you individuals here that are sitting up there on the board. You know, that hurts. That hurts to see a person that comes from a reservation come here to Salt Lake to see you guys sitting up there and sitting there like bigshots and whatnot and listening to our complaints every time we complain and complain.

And you guys tell me, how many tribal members are employed at the mill as of today that you guys had promised back in the past, that the tribal reservation, Ute Mountain Ute Tribe will be the first ones to be employed at the mill. Tell me today, as of today, how many tribal members are employed at the mill?

As when I'm sitting back here looking at you guys and listening to everybody asking their questions and whatnot, all I see is you guys looking at each other like, okay, who's going to answer this question? Let me zip through my notes first, let me

1 read what -- what I had studied before I came here. 2 That's the reason why you guys ask for all these 3 questions ahead of time so you guys can study and then have the answer for the people that show up here 4 5 to these hearings. Use your head. Don't go and start looking 6 7 at notes and saying, oh, this is how it is. don't do that. If you guys have your degree in all 8 these stuff, you use it from the top of your head. 9 10 You don't go and study and look at the documents and 11 having these people to have their questions or their 12 answers or whatever to -- to be here within like 13 what, two, three weeks ahead of time. And yes, we do live off of well water too as 14 15 well. And you guys did when the mill had first -had been in process. You guys have ruined our 16 17 ancestors. Buried up our ancestors. 18 I don't know if you guys would like it if I came here, went to your ancest -- your ancestors and 19 20 buried them up, pushed them aside and put a site 21 there. You guys might not like it. 22 Yeah, Blanding is probably a little bit 23 further up ahead of the mill, but we're south of the mill. I've been fighting for this since back in 24

1980s when my uncle was fighting for this mill. I

25

1 took upon this thing to go forward to help my people 2 to try to stop this. 3 I wish each and every one of you guys have a heart and go home and realize what you guys are doing 4 5 to the tribal members. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. 6 7 Anyone else like to make a comment? 8 MS. BRADY: 9 I do. 10 So my name's Melisa Brady, and I am a Utah 11 native. 12 And it's true. I can see into your hearts 13 and into your souls, as you can see into mine. And it's very important that each and every one of you 14 15 realize that we are all connected. And think long and hard about the power that 16 17 you have and the position that you are in making 18 decisions. All of us count. You are on the board, 19 and you're -- you're in the positions that you're in. 20 I'm not going to make any judgments, but I would very 21 much like to remind you how important it is what you 22 do with your energy. And to think about your family, 23 your sons, your daughters, your wives, yourself as this mine is 5 miles from your house. 24 25 If I understand correctly, there's no fence

1 around No. 3, Cell No. 3. Okay. So animals can get 2 to this. We're talking about your -- what are you 3 going to eat? Are you going to eat that? These animals, there are animals who have been opened up 4 5 and they're foamy inside. They're sick because they're getting this -- this waste or this -- however 6 you describe it. You don't call it waste. You call 7 it another technical term to hide it. It's poison. 8 So good solution is clean energy. We all 9 10 make decisions every single day. We can all improve, 11 me included. You can smirk. You can, you know, talk 12 later. But realize, this is you we're talking about. Yourself. And we all will stand before the Creator. 13 And what you do matters, and it is not too late to 14 15 change and to start making good decisions and to listen to your heart. 16 17 It's not about money. It's very serious. 18 This is a turning point and you men and you, my dear lady, have power. We all do. And we are here to ask 19 20 you to do what's right. 21 Think about your kids. Don't separate 22 yourself and be like, oh, it's just the desert. 23 Nothing's there. Well, there's 250 natives left. it wasn't for them, we wouldn't be here. We would be 24 25 dead. They are the ones that made it possible for us

1 The pioneers, thank you, Native to survive. 2 Americans for bestowing upon us your wisdom so that 3 we could survive. Think about it. Seriously. 4 I made some notes here. There's no limit. 5 I don't understand that. I don't -- I don't know who 6 7 all of you are, but I know that you participate in these decisions. So intelligently thinking about 8 this, if I understand it correctly, trucks can come 9 10 and deliver waste or particles and, you know, things, 11 chemicals, and there's no limit before it can be 12 processed? Are you saying that stuff can just be 13 delivered and delivered and delivered and it's just sitting there? And, you know, what if you don't 14 15 identify a broken sack and there is one because it's buried, but there's no limit? Okay. Can you please 16 17 change that? 18 Make sure there's a fence so that no animals, no animals -- I mean, rodents, come on, 19 20 they're tiny. And then, you know, it's a food chain. 21 I just want you to really think about it as if it 22 were you. Put yourself in that position. I'm almost 23 done. And please, dear God, put it in the -- it's 24 25 not just the cops that need to know, the city that

1	needs to know. Have some respect and let the natives
2	know. Make a beautiful connection.
3	Thank you.
4	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for your comment.
5	Anyone else like to make a comment?
6	* * * *
7	MS. WHISKERS: Well, good afternoon. My
8	name is Thelma Whiskers, and I'm a tribal member and
9	I live in White Mesa. And good to see you, every one
10	of you here to talk about White Mesa Mill.
11	And I was just listening to every one of you
12	that comment about the White Mesa Mill. And I've
13	been fighting for this for so many years, since my
14	brother passed away. Then from there, I kind of I
15	didn't want to fight against it.
16	So someone was just telling me, come on.
17	Take over. Take over what your brothers was doing.
18	So here I am. Me and my family.
19	And I am a mother. I'm a single mother.
20	And my my husband passed away about 40-some years,
21	47 years ago. And I got children. I got
22	grandchildren. I got great-great-grandkids.
23	So I've been living in White Mesa for so
24	many years, and I care for my people who are in White
25	Mesa, especially my grandkids, my children. I talk

to them for them to understand.

And years, years before that, we used to have a lot of herbs around by the Mesa Mill. Native tea and a herb for the -- for the coughs, when you got a coughing, stuffy nose, all that. I know all of that herbs. That's where I used to get all my herbs to get my grandkids or my children to get better.

And now, to these days, everything is destroyed. Everything is not there where all the herbs used to grow. Nothing. And I had to go all the way down into New Mexico or Arizona to get a herb from down there. Before the winter comes, I dry them. When my grandkids or my kids are sick or if they have a cold, I boil that herb for them.

And you people here are sitting up there. I wish you guys would understand because I care for my people, White Mesa. We're not that many anymore. My tribal members, there are just -- they're sick. The young people. They're young people, and they still got little kids from baby. The parents are sick. That's the reason why I'm really fighting against this mill, for not to have it close to our reservation.

And this water here, every one of us here, we drink this water. It is important. It's our

1 life. It goes through into our blood veins. Makes 2 us happy. Make us feel good. But us people -- I 3 mean, my people, we just got well water. And they say that water don't taste good. They don't -- my 4 5 tribal people, they don't drink water from the White They buy water. They go up town, and they get 6 7 about two, three case of water. That's how it is now. And the water is alive for us natives. Now 8 everybody are holding bottles of water. They drink 9 10 it wherever they go. 11 I wish we would have a good, clean water and 12 good air how it used to be. But now, huh-uh. 13 because that mill is close to our reservation. I feel bad. That's the reason why I'm 14 15 standing here and I'm fighting against it. I tell my people, my tribe, the young ones, my grandkids, hey, 16 17 come on. Stand up. Let's fight for this mill up 18 here. We don't want it to be close to our 19 reservation. 20 What do you people think of? Oh, shall we 21 just leave it? Shall we let this people just run 22 over us? Maybe there will be -- if they still -- if 23 the mill still going, maybe we'll be only but three, four houses in the Mesa. And I don't want to see 24 25 that. I want to see my grandkids grow up. I want

1 them to be healthy. I want them to know. That's why 2 I talk to my kids, my grandkids, my 3 great-great-grand -- I want to see them finish their school. Get the education. 4 5 That's where the bus goes all the way to Blanding to take our kids to go to school, for them 6 7 to get their education. Maybe some of these days one of my grandkids will be sitting like this. He might 8 go for the money. He might go, oh, I want to be 9 10 He might think that way. 11 But no. I don't want none of my grandkids 12 to be sitting like this. 13 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, ma'am. 14 MS. WHISKERS: Make a lot of money. 15 MR. ANDERSON: That concludes the time that's available. Thank you. 16 17 MS. WHISKERS: Yeah, thank you. 18 MR. ANDERSON: Anyone else? Well, if no one else is up for a comment, 19 20 then that will conclude the question-and-answer 21 period as provided for in the rules. 22 I would remind you that the next public 23 hearing is set on January -- or June 15th, excuse me, at 5 p.m. in Blanding. In addition, the Division 24 25 will continue to receive written public comments

1	through July 31st, and they can be submitted to the
2	Division at this address.
3	So thank you. That concludes the hearing.
4	(This hearing was concluded at
5	5:13 p.m.)
6	* * * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF UTAH )
4	COUNTY OF UTAH )
5	
6	I, EMILY A. GIBB, a Certified Shorthand
7	Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter, hereby
8	certify:
9	
10	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken
11	before me at the time and place set forth in the
12	caption hereof; that the proceedings were taken down
13	by me in shorthand and thereafter my notes were
14	transcribed through computer-aided transcription; and
15	the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true,
16	and accurate record of such testimony adduced and
17	oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
18	I have subscribed my name on this 30th
19	day of June, 2017.
20	7 mily auto
21	Emily A. Gibb, RPR, CSR, CCR
22	Emily A. Gibb, Kik, Cok, CCk
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$1.7..2015

	<b>11th</b> 15:14 35:13	<b>1996</b> 19:18,24 20:7,24
<b>\$</b>	<b>12</b> 36:17 59:6	21:13,14,22 22:17 23:12 107:1,21,24 108:2
<b>\$1.7</b> 73:19	<b>1200</b> 93:5	107.1,21,24 100.2
	<b>1250</b> 83:25	2
	<b>12th</b> 81:10	<b>2</b> 40.0 00.4 04.4 <b>7</b> 40
<b>-02-0095</b> 44:15	<b>13</b> 37:25 116:16	<b>2</b> 13:9 23:4 24:17,19 25:10,23 27:13 33:6
<b>-00o-</b> 4:2	<b>13th</b> 59:17	53:22 54:4 57:10,24
	<b>14</b> 10:18,19 40:20 60:7	72:2 73:24 81:12 85:17 86:6,10 90:4 122:1,3,8,
	<b>15</b> 10:19 38:18,24 39:3,4	
<b>0.5</b> 122:18	41:7 60:14 93:15	133:4 136:25
	<b>15</b> 10:18	<b>2,000</b> 83:23
1	<b>15th</b> 7:21 13:14 42:2	<b>2.1</b> 104:7
4 10:0 25:11 26:0 12	<del>-</del> 165:23	<b>2.6</b> 52:5
<b>1</b> 10:9 25:11 26:8,12 33:2,9 58:9,13,15 59:2	<b>16</b> 43:18 111:24	<b>20</b> 39:3,4 54:9 56:7
68:10 72:2 73:4 77:8	<b>16.2</b> 33:6	66:25 122:25
82:11 84:11 89:24 90:1 123:4 146:11	<b>16th</b> 61:14	<b>20.82</b> 73:20
<b>1.4</b> 74:16	<b>17</b> 10:19 30:6 45:12	<b>200</b> 67:12
_	62:13	<b>2004</b> 104:19
<b>1/2</b> 156:13	<b>18</b> 10:19 64:5	<b>2005</b> 74:19,23
<b>10</b> 17:19 22:4 27:8,9 38:17,24 53:3,14 54:8	<b>180</b> 50:2 104:4	<b>2006</b> 111:23
55:15 123:9,25 154:6	<b>19</b> 10:16,19 65:22	<b>2007</b> 33:6 51:12,14
<b>10.21</b> 35:19	<b>19-3</b> 10:20	62:21
<b>10.5</b> 118:9	<b>191</b> 83:21 102:23 130:2	<b>2008</b> 62:25 86:11
<b>10.8</b> 144:20	<b>192</b> 121:7 127:15	<b>2009</b> 96:22 100:13
<b>100</b> 48:23 49:13	<b>1964</b> 12:6,12	<b>2010</b> 33:6
<b>11</b> 58:7 132:10 133:5	<b>1979</b> 49:7 114:21	<b>2011</b> 31:17 65:1 73:9
<b>11.9</b> 53:4	<b>1980s</b> 113:15 114:1	105:24 106:17,19
<b>1100</b> 67:9	158:25	<b>2011-5231</b> 51:21
<b>11e.(2)</b> 4:13 27:10,11	<b>1981</b> 116:16	<b>2012</b> 51:20 52:24 74:19, 23
44:10,16,23 59:1 87:7	<b>1988</b> 115:4,7 116:13	<b>2014</b> 53:3,14 123:19
88:9 101:11 124:15,18,	<b>1992</b> 127:5	<b>2017</b> 00.0, 17 120.10
88:9 101:11 124:15,18, 19,21,24 125:14 128:2	<b>1995</b> 127:5	<b>2015</b> 51:12

## WHITE MESA HEARING - 6/8/17

Index: 2016..57,000

31:12,22 81:10 96:22 111:19

7:23 8:2 15:14 105:3

35:16 36:23

**2021(3)(C)** 104:13

52:13

67:24

10:19 69:2 105:3 131:7,17

**22.58** 73:20

53:11,12

69:18

53:11

10:19 70:13

40:21 41:6,19 71:3

160:23

73:1

129:19,22 130:1

73:10,17

74:5 132:10

75:22 111:19,23

3 13:22 39:17 41:12,18 49:3 55:10 56:10,23 58:2 72:2 73:10 83:2,3 84:13 87:8,18 88:6,16, 19,22 89:5,7,14 90:7,9, 14,15,17 119:23 122:22 123:2,3 132:11,14,21,24 133:4,5 135:19 137:1 160:1

**3.2B** 107:6

76:17

131:18

8:2 76:23

31st 7:23

77:13,23

77:24 131:7,17

79:15

80:3

**35(3)(h)** 41:11

93:5

81:6

48:5 81:11

81:24 138:19 139:2

83:7

52:13

3rd 123:12

14:2 35:16 110:14 156:13

**4(d)** 17:20

**4.1** 74:16 110:15

**4.1.4** 111:15

**4.2** 130:25

**4.5** 13:12

17:19 22:4 27:8,9 54:8 85:3,16 87:4 123:9, 25 127:15

**40-some** 162:20

86:13,16

87:1 104:12

89:14

90:25

92:7

94:11 162:21

96:19

**485,000** 74:10

98:10

130:1

**4A** 39:17 58:7 82:25 87:6,21 88:5,23 89:24 90:7,10,12,18,22 107:2 132:12,15,19,23 133:4 135:19 136:25

**4B** 39:17 58:8 59:15 82:25 87:7,25 88:3,6 89:25 90:1,18,22 92:17 93:21 107:2 117:3 132:15

6:1,5 7:21 10:16 25:6 54:6 131:6 146:11 154:6 159:24 165:24

**5,000** 49:15 50:2 104:2

**5.1** 17:12 18:11 30:5 38:5 107:8

98:22 131:24 132:1

132:1

100:14

100:25

**530-R-04-030** 101:5

131:17

52:11

**57,000** 74:12

		accurately 120:13
6	9	achieved 18:24
<b>6</b> 14:18 27:13 52:21 54:8	<b>9</b> 16:14 55:15 58:5 97:8	acidity 100:17
97:7 123:10 124:1	<b>9.5</b> 35:15	acknowledge 14:19
<b>6.10</b> 142:12	<b>9.7</b> 113:13,14 115:16	acronyms 78:20
<b>6.10.7</b> 144:14		act 5:1 10:15,20 12:5,12
<b>6.2</b> 127:13	A	13:4 15:8 87:5 101:9
<b>6.5</b> 129:16	<b>Aaron</b> 17:4 46:18	104:22 105:12 127:8,17 128:13,21,24 129:3,5,8
<b>6.6</b> 52:5	abandoned 83:11 84:14	acting 86:17
<b>6.7</b> 137:20	abbreviation 36:14	action 4:17 10:5 12:22,
<b>6.8</b> 141:5	ability 54:22,24 131:22	25 15:6,16,18 52:12,16
<b>6.9</b> 141:15	Absolutely 12:23	59:18,20,22,23 60:1,7 61:17 64:16 66:9 87:13
<b>61</b> 87:4	absorbed 99:16	96:10,14 101:7 105:9,20
<b>68</b> 131:3	<b>absorption</b> 98:23 99:15,	106:18 110:25
	25 100:18	actions 4:8,11 5:3,14
	accept 7:22 146:12	60:10 81:19 101:2,6 110:20,22 145:9
<b>7</b> 14:23 38:17,24 53:21	acceptability 139:5	active 56:24 77:10 81:22
81:17 <b>70</b> 10:19	<b>acceptable</b> 60:24 80:19 143:6,12,22	activities 69:9 70:21 91:13,22 94:8 97:24
<b>7028</b> 18:8	accepted 50:25 94:22	116:24
<b>75</b> 151:11	139:23	actual 47:25 56:23 75:13
<b>79</b> 52:11	accepting 81:12	135:25
	access 35:7 103:2	add 18:3 51:3 150:11
8	accommodations 130:7	added 37:13 53:3 107:3
<b>8</b> 15:10 55:15 58:5	accomplished 38:8	addition 7:2,22 67:16
<b>80</b> 50:1 104:4	accordance 27:12 74:15	79:2 96:10,13,14 98:6 106:5,15 107:18 112:7
<b>800</b> 132:1	83:13 84:22 123:9 130:3,14	165:24
<b>83</b> 114:22	account 33:15,23 34:9,	additional 19:2 23:9
<b>835</b> 131:17	15 38:5,14 51:6 100:18	39:4 53:8 54:23 57:21
<b>87,800</b> 74:11	150:14 151:17	59:7,10,12 68:19 69:15 73:15 146:10,13
- , · · · ·	accountable 20:19 23:7	address 56:16 121:18,
	accurate 115:6 117:7	21 126:3 150:24 151:18

Index: addressed..annual

152:7,9,10,11,17 154:10 ahead 47:7 58:6 87:15 Americans 161:2 92:25 93:1 105:4 126:25 addressed 52:2 amount 26:23 39:13 158:3,13,23 40:1 43:7 46:3 56:4 addresses 55:14 aiding 58:20 95:11 57:18 61:16 71:10,14,24 addressing 76:25 73:18 75:23 131:9,24 air 14:2 48:14 53:8,12 149:13 137:21 138:11 141:5,6, 83:20 87:5 164:12 10,12 151:1 152:7 adequate 82:23 alarm 148:16 amounts 32:8 135:17 adequately 18:21 **alive** 164:8 141:9 adjacent 14:21 allowed 5:12 15:17 analyses 76:8 adjusted 38:11 27:21 50:9 75:24 76:3 **analysis** 21:17 99:11,12 87:6 141:23 adjustments 20:9 104:9,12,24 105:6,13 alluded 83:6 106:22 112:17 113:1,5 administrative 5:6,7 132:2 134:23 140:2 6:20 66:14 126:5 altered 65:13 143:25 144:5 adopted 5:4 41:8 126:5, alternate 23:20 34:14 analytical 49:10 15 50:24 51:6 125:2,6 126:18 127:4,7,24 128:1 analyzed 99:8 advance 5:25 7:11 131:21 133:3,7,8,18,24 ancest 158:19 adversely 65:25 67:3 134:15,21,24 135:1 advisement 148:24 136:13 137:3,11,13,23 ancestors 158:17,19 138:1,13 139:7,16,22 and/or 136:14 **affect** 32:1,2,5 119:25 140:19,20 141:8,22 **Anderson** 4:4,5 8:7 9:15 affected 32:10 142:8,16,18,25 143:12 13:20 16:23 17:9 46:19, 144:18 145:15,23 affecting 65:25 67:3 23 47:10 85:8.11.13 alternately 98:18 afternoon 4:12 5:19 6:1 87:15 102:14 126:4 9:23 162:7 146:8 147:22 148:19 **alternative** 4:18 50:16 74:8 75:25 141:16,19 152:14,19 153:5,10 afternoon's 4:6 155:25 156:5,8,11,21 alternatives 142:3 agencies 79:5 157:2 159:6 162:4 amended 62:4 165:13.15.18 agency 11:17 14:25 16:1,9 amendment 31:25 Angel 9:19,21,23,24 43:19,24 44:4 74:15 10:21,24 11:5,9,15,24 agenda 10:4 124:12 126:17 130:25 12:2,10,16,23 13:1,8,13, **agree** 126:8 133:13 134:10 142:15, 21 14:1,8,11,15,18,23 22 agreement 5:2 11:6 15:7,22 16:9,13,20,23 19:21 20:5,11,15,16 amendments 142:17 **animals** 160:1,4 161:19 22:1,17 23:3,6,22 24:4, America 13:3 announced 13:15 9,11 25:3 65:7 104:21, 23 107:14 126:16 American 41:1 annual 33:5 35:16 57:13 71:5 107:18 138:18,21, agreements 23:7 115:1

Index: annually..assumption

23 annually 36:22 62:9	<b>applies</b> 13:5 15:8 44:4 55:14	<b>areas</b> 26:22 52:4,17 66:6 93:19,22 94:9
71:15	apply 10:10 12:24 44:24	argument 126:23
<b>anomalies</b> 68:11,18 69:12	45:16 46:1 125:13,18 127:20,24 128:4	argumentative 13:19
	applying 45:20	<b>arid</b> 18:1
anomalous 70:25 anomaly 68:6 69:7 70:19	approach 85:17	<b>Arizona</b> 34:13 109:17 129:18 153:18,20
answering 5:18 104:16	appropriately 107:13	163:11
156:23	approval 20:17 107:23	armor 19:23 21:6 85:23
answers 8:6 108:12	<b>approve</b> 59:7,9 115:21	arranged 80:25
158:12	approved 19:24,25	arrangements 80:24
anticipate 32:10 57:25	20:14 21:8 22:23 23:12, 14 24:23 37:7 50:16,17	arrived 142:19
anticipated 7:18	53:13 59:14 85:23 89:21	artisan 84:3
anymore 163:17	107:1 125:2 133:18,23	asks 33:1
<b>apologize</b> 32:22 49:18 140:9 141:1	134:4 136:14,20,21 137:7,16	aspects 91:19
apparently 112:19	approximately 67:9 68:9	asphalt 137:9
132:15 144:17	83:23,25 93:5,15 95:19	assembled 61:24
<b>appeal</b> 126:12	100:11 131:6	<b>assess</b> 48:9 81:18 98:23
appearances 8:4	<b>April</b> 15:14 116:16	assessed 50:12
appeared 70:3	<b>aquifer</b> 66:1,12 67:4,6,8, 9,14,15 69:22 83:9 84:2,	assesses 48:3
appears 37:1 54:11	4,20 85:1 92:15,19	<b>assessing</b> 23:9 100:18
97:10 122:18	94:15 95:7,8 96:3 99:6	assessment 17:13 48:3
<b>Appendix</b> 17:19 22:6	aquifers 67:22	101:3 105:10,14,16 106:12 107:18 115:17
25:21 27:8,9 28:2,4 30:5,15 54:8 123:9	archaeological 14:20 115:4	116:25
124:1	archaeologist 115:17	assessments 97:15
<b>applicable</b> 10:25 11:11 12:13 23:13,21 24:2	117:1	98:15
52:3 76:10 101:12,13,22	archeological 115:8	assist 63:13
127:7 130:14 144:2	area 25:11 26:8 52:18	<b>assume</b> 26:7 131:23 132:3,4,13
applicant 144:1 157:4	58:16 65:14 82:7 91:5, 15 92:16 93:11,20,25	assumed 74:10
application 23:18 59:12	94:1,2,4 96:17 103:1	assuming 29:14 109:5
75:6,19 130:25	107:15 112:24 115:9	110:3
<b>applied</b> 12:18 23:16 104:19	121:11 148:18 149:22	assumption 38:23,25

Index: assumptions..BIA

44:18 139:8 basin 58:18 67:12,18,19 В 84:2,25 assumptions 71:10 **basins** 75:3 **assure** 145:12 **baby** 163:20 basis 5:8 24:21 62:17 assured 61:12 back 22:5 31:17 58:21 64:18 70:17 71:8 92:19, 59:24 60:25 96:24 **atmosphere** 53:24 55:12 21 93:8 95:16 106:12 105:23 106:8,16 107:1, 58:11 112:6 120:6 121:23 10 113:25 136:5 151:22 122:9 134:12 138:18,23 **Atomic** 5:1 104:22 157:16,21 158:24 149:5 105:11 127:7.17 128:13. background 52:7 63:10, 21,24 129:3,5,8 beach 90:10 12 64:15 attached 14:24 beautiful 162:2 backgrounds 52:6 attachment 38:4 40:9 bedrock 99:8 **backup** 38:4 72:22 attend 147:4 began 15:21 23:5 31:16 **bad** 164:14 94:9 attention 10:7 Badback 156:3,4,6,10, **Begay** 16:5 attorney 8:8,10 9:7 16:4 12.23 157:5 17:5 105:2 begin 53:10 58:2 **balance** 71:6,8 audience 146:13,15 Beginning 40:23 54:2 **bangers** 82:19 156:1 begins 42:8 62:8 150:5 **bank** 155:6 authorities 77:20 80:12 behalf 9:24 10:2 86:24 barium-radium 110:17 authority 127:10 153:4 barrier 24:19 29:24 authorization 115:24 **Behle** 9:7 30:17,18 54:3 56:11,12 authorized 90:3 beneath 46:8 65:25 57:18.23 73:24 67:3,13 69:20 automatic 20:6,17 **barriers** 54:19 82:4 beneficial 57:22 58:3 avail 113:21 **base** 57:11 66:19 availability 47:17 based 10:22 34:11,12 benefit 42:20 43:15 44:11 50:7 61:24 64:18 average 49:20 67:11 85:24 68:17 73:14 75:5 96:12 104:3 120:17 benefits 82:8 98:16 99:10,12 100:4 averaged 50:1 111:19 116:9 139:7 bentonitic 67:13 avoid 27:14 147:22 150:25 bestowing 161:2 aware 12:4 30:19 75:20 **basically** 11:7 19:6 20:6 **beta** 32:17 99:1 114:25 120:9 28:3 29:15 41:19 43:8. 121:11 128:8 25 46:10 49:21 56:20 **Bevell** 43:24 44:4 59:23 80:18 86:21 107:3 BHV-6 83:21 115:20,21,22 116:6 121:22 140:8 149:4 **BIA** 80:20 154:8 151:21 152:1 154:2

**big** 131:18 **Bradley** 9:19,24 C **bigger** 153:19 **Brady** 159:9,10 bigshots 157:11 **break** 6:13,14 19:5,8 calcite 99:6 28:15,18,22,25 29:6,11. Biobarrier 30:23 calculate 71:8 18 46:19 79:13 85:6,8,9 biointrusion 19:11,13 calculated 39:13 71:6 breakdown 144:11 29:24 30:4,9,10 72:3 Bret 8:9 149:8 153:24 **birds** 82:2 calculating 61:16 bring 151:22 155:3 bit 4:21 19:8 25:20 87:2 calculation 71:9 158:22 **bringing** 87:9 151:8 calculations 50:7 51:11. 155:12 **Blanding** 4:15 7:21,25 13 13:15 62:22 80:18 96:1 **broad** 98:25 131:19 calendar 48:23 49:14,16 112:22 147:1,5 154:5 broader 132:5 86:2,5 158:22 165:6,24 **broken** 161:15 call 79:2 80:16,20 bless 152:22 137:23 138:6 148:4 brother 154:11 162:14 **blood** 164:1 154:5 160:7 **brothers** 162:17 **blowing** 151:14 called 30:22 43:24 **Brushy** 67:12,18,19 **blurry** 141:1 calls 11:14 45:6 58:14 84:2,25 60:16 87:11 125:21 **board** 5:4 62:14 125:23 **buffer** 150:3 128:16 129:9 134:18 126:6 156:16 157:8 **building** 83:19 150:4 159:18 Cameco 110:14 111:24 112:3 built 22:18 46:19 84:22 **boards** 137:9 cannons 82:16,19 **bunch** 106:9 **body** 33:5 82:12 **Canyon** 6:10,11 17:1,5 **buried** 158:17,20 161:16 **boil** 163:14 67:8 94:15 98:24 99:6, **Burro** 67:8 94:15 98:24 **bonds** 62:9 17 100:19 109:2,11 99:6,17 100:19 **bottles** 164:9 **cap** 56:22 60:1 61:2 65:2 **bus** 165:5 **bottom** 42:13 43:16 capacity 89:7,9,11 98:24 **buses** 78:7 56:20 71:18 100:19 131:8,9 **buy** 164:6 **bound** 137:10 capillary 19:5,8 28:15, byproduct 4:13,19 27:5, 18,22,25 29:6,11,18 boundaries 150:5 10,15,22 59:2 88:10 capped 53:22 55:10 boundary 13:22,25 14:4, 124:15,18,19,22,24 58:10 9,13,21 48:20 49:12 125:15 127:16 134:3 50:6 66:11 83:18,20 **CAPS** 64:16 139:8 141:20,21,24,25 103:25 capturing 72:9 **bounding** 75:10 137:13 care 40:2 106:23 121:23

Index: carefully..class

152:23,24 154:20 155:16 162:24 163:16 carefully 22:1 **carries** 151:15 160:1 **carry** 24:9 case 18:3 30:1 34:15 44:22 98:8,18 125:22 126:13,14,15,17 134:11 164:7 case-by-case 121:22 149:5 cased 83:24 cases 126:21 casing 84:1,14 141:13 cataloging 89:22 cells' 76:18 catastrophe 154:4 catch 6:2 catchment 58:18 caused 66:6 73:6 causing 95:3 144:23 **cease** 109:5 127:15 ceased 109:6 110:3 ceases 122:22 **cell** 23:4 24:17,19 25:10, 23 42:8 43:2 46:15 53:22 54:4,11,14 55:10, 21 56:1,3,6,10,17,20,23, 24,25 57:8,10,24 58:2,9, 13,15 59:2,15 71:20 73:4,24 77:8 81:12,22 82:11,25 83:2,3 84:11 85:17,19 86:6,10 87:6,8, 18,25 88:3,5,6,16,19,21, 22,23 89:5,7,14,24 90:1,

4,10,12,14,15,17,18,22

92:17 93:21 117:3

characterization 62:7 119:23 120:1 122:1,3,8, 11,22 123:2,3,4,5,6,9, 75:8 14,16,20 132:11,12,14, characterized 37:10 15,21,23,24 135:19 100:21 137:1 141:22 150:7 charged 73:12 **check** 75:16 cells 27:12 39:17 42:7 47:20 55:14,23 58:7,22 **checked** 123:18 59:7,10,13 61:3 63:1,4 chemicals 130:17 65:3,5 66:3 68:9,25 161:11 69:10 71:19,25 72:2,6, 11,15,17,19,20 77:11 chemistry 70:16 82:24 88:14 89:1,23 children 162:21,25 90:7 92:9 94:7 96:23 163:7 98:8,20 100:8 107:2 128:2 133:4 136:25 chloride 66:8 96:21 97:1,12,13,14,16 98:14 99:12 **chloroform** 65:2,7 66:7 **cement** 137:8 choice 45:3 Center 78:22 79:3,8,9 **choose** 119:20 **centers** 89:18 circuit 109:7 **cetera** 49:24 circumstances 45:15,18 **CFR** 17:19 22:4 27:8.9 59:9 54:8 87:4 123:9,25 cited 22:5 41:24 104:20 city 80:17 161:25 **chain** 161:20 Civil 12:5,11 13:4 15:7 **chairs** 156:24 clapping 156:1 **CHAN** 126:22 clarification 58:12 86:3 chance 32:22 55:1 147:11 **change** 37:21 111:18 clarified 136:18 160:15 161:17 clarifiers 75:3 **changed** 36:4 63:20 clarify 35:22 89:6 changing 63:24 69:21 clarifying 12:17,19 Chapter 10:16 **clarity** 136:21 characteristic 74:21 **class** 66:13 characteristics 70:25

133:22 134:2

Index: classes..compacted

classes 66:19

clause 35:25 36:15

**clauses** 36:13

**clay** 67:13

**clean** 87:5 121:5 160:9 164:11

**cleaned** 46:14 60:21 66:8 77:10

**cleanup** 59:21 60:12,24 62:8,10,11 101:6 121:8, 12,14,17

cleanups 128:3

**clear** 6:22 7:8 20:13 37:2 38:21

clerical 35:25

**climate** 21:19

climatic 17:25

**Clive** 141:20

**close** 13:22 39:1 70:23 72:14 82:10 84:12 85:23 88:6 89:5 108:22 163:22 164:13,18

**closed** 56:18 57:1 58:24 86:10 90:4

closer 69:9 87:9

closest 13:9,17 68:10

**closing** 39:23

closure 20:2 35:19 36:9 42:8 47:25 52:2 54:13 55:16 77:3 81:12 85:18 87:10 89:2 121:10,13 123:4

**Clow** 16:4 46:25 47:3,11 48:1 49:2 50:10 51:9,16 52:20 53:20 55:8 56:9 57:9,16 58:4,23 59:1,4,

6,16 60:6,13 61:11,14 62:12 63:20 64:4 65:6, 15,21 66:20,24 67:23 68:21 69:1,17 70:12 71:1,12 72:1,16,23 73:1, 16 74:4 75:11,21 76:16, 22 77:12,23 78:15 79:7, 13,15 80:1,15,19 81:4, 11,23 82:11,15,21 83:2, 5 84:5,16 85:2,15 86:6, 12,23 87:1,16 88:8,15, 24 89:13,20 90:16,25 91:10,25 92:6,24 93:3, 12,16 94:3,11 95:12,19 96:18 98:1,9,21 99:16 100:9,14,24 101:13,19 102:9

Clow's 103:14

**CO2** 82:16

**code** 5:6,7 66:14,20

codified 104:24

coefficients 98:10

cold 163:14

**Colin** 16:4

collapse 84:15

collect 31:18

**collected** 24:5 52:17 69:14 74:14,19

collecting 23:8 124:5

collection 21:17

Colorado 34:14

**column** 33:20 133:6 135:9 136:4,7,11,19

columns 33:12,13,16

combination 30:2

combined 97:19

commence 55:17

commenced 109:4

commencement 116:23

**commences** 110:25 115:14

comment 7:20,24 15:20 16:16 34:20 81:21 105:22 106:9,16,17,25 107:23 108:11 127:12 135:21 136:3 146:16,24 147:12,24 148:23 149:10 152:17 153:14, 21 154:14 155:24 159:7 162:4,5,12 165:19

commenter 102:14

comments 7:19,23 8:1 28:23 102:7 103:14 106:1,6 117:7,9 145:2,3 146:12,19,23 147:7,20, 21 153:13,17 165:25

**commission** 19:25 44:15 78:16,23 79:3

commission's 44:20

commodities 47:19

**common** 128:25

commonly 43:24

**communication** 67:16, 22 77:14

**communities** 10:3 48:4 49:6 50:14 78:4,8 80:5

**community** 10:3 13:9, 12,16,17 15:12 16:2 64:9 77:14 78:4,13 80:5 103:11 153:19 154:6,19

community's 153:4

compacted 30:3

Index: compaction..constitution

		maoni compactioniconoticatio
compaction 61:1	computer 102:20	<b>confirm</b> 11:17 54:12 64:6 67:25 70:15 92:18
company 9:14 19:17	concentration 133:6	
24:8 25:2 28:11,13 37:25 39:19 40:5,12	135:5 136:7 143:23 150:17	<b>confirmed</b> 63:6,8 70:20 73:11 91:12 92:3 95:2,3
60:11 103:5		126:18
company's 39:22	concentrations 63:9,12, 14 69:13,16 75:5 76:4,5	confused 35:21
comparative 136:17	96:22 97:4,6 98:6,20 100:8 134:24 135:1	confusion 21:12
compared 131:10	136:12,16,24 137:17	connected 85:22 92:18
comparing 97:7	143:17,22 150:10	159:15
<b>comparison</b> 70:8 135:8	<b>concern</b> 18:19 19:9 24:7 149:13	<b>connection</b> 67:6 80:6 105:9 143:23 162:2
compile 31:19		consent 19:21 20:4,11,
complain 157:12,13	concerned 13:16	16 22:1,17 23:3,6,22
complaints 157:12	<b>concerns</b> 15:18 17:14, 16 144:10	24:3,9,11 25:3 65:6 66:9 107:14,19
<b>complete</b> 10:21,24 36:15 38:1,17 54:4,25	<b>conclude</b> 6:1 165:20	Conservation 101:8
55:1 61:21 62:11 86:1	<b>concluded</b> 25:1 68:15 75:8	conservative 99:22
115:6 116:2		conservatively 132:3
<b>completed</b> 36:2 48:9	<b>concludes</b> 146:8 165:15	consideration 11:19
62:19 103:16 116:10	conclusion 11:14 43:22	118:12 137:6 149:10
completely 24:19 62:7	44:6 87:12 125:21 129:10	considerations 130:24
87:8 151:19 152:8	conclusions 63:18 64:1	<b>considered</b> 10:25 11:11
completion 74:2	139:5	88:12 96:23 125:2 133:2
compliance 64:14 70:22	<b>condition</b> 35:14,15,19	136:19 137:3,18
122:25 139:2	53:4 96:15 113:13,14	consolidate 57:5
complicated 99:24	115:16 116:15 117:6	consolidating 57:7
complication 97:12	118:8 125:1 144:20	consolidation 57:20
complies 101:17	<b>conditions</b> 17:25 20:5 46:12 59:8 63:20,22,23	constantly 43:12
<b>comply</b> 101:3,14,16	conduct 111:22	constituent 69:4 137:22
comported 41:23		138:12 141:14
composite 74:18	<b>conducted</b> 15:11 16:1 29:1 48:3,8 66:16 67:19	constituents 10:2 68:1
compound 7:3 134:17	76:9 91:4 92:14 93:19	117:21 132:19 133:11 135:18 136:8 138:20,25
comprehensive 137:7	99:2,3 103:9,15	139:2,11,16 140:1
compressing 54:24	conducting 96:6,7	141:7,11 142:12
compromised 55:2	confidence 74:5	constitution 13:7

Index: constraints..cover

		muex. constraintscove
constraints 45:11	contention 11:10	corporation 154:21
<b>constructed</b> 83:13 107:3	context 20:23 135:3	correct 12:13 13:24
117:2	contingency 40:21,22	18:22 24:16 29:20 42:9 58:25 89:19 123:21
constructing 18:9	41:20	147:18
<b>construction</b> 54:15 55:4 56:21 59:9 92:16 115:14	continual 120:11	<b>corrective</b> 59:17,20,22
117:3 122:11	<b>continually</b> 57:6 63:24 69:21 96:11 120:8,10	60:1,7,9 61:17 64:16
<b>consultant</b> 9:14 43:21	,	66:9 81:19 96:10,14 101:6,7
consulted 40:25	<b>continue</b> 49:7 59:19,21 60:8,10,11 85:14 109:10	correctly 18:18 20:5,12
<b>contact</b> 16:3,18 120:16	110:7 122:2,6,9 165:25	24:15 26:6 29:4 30:15
contained 7:4 57:13,15	continued 62:18	41:19 42:5 44:18 159:25 161:9
61:4	<b>continues</b> 65:9 66:12	
container 117:17	contour 64:19	<b>cost</b> 37:6 38:3 40:7 61:24
containers 117:17,20	contouring 56:15	costs 35:17 36:19 37:7
containment 77:5	contours 77:8	38:10,12 40:11,14 61:15
contaminant 28:12,17,	<b>contractor</b> 5:17 9:1 86:18,22	62:4
24 36:13 60:3 99:4,20		Cottonwood 92:18
100:23	contribute 34:7	coughing 163:5
contaminants 14:12 59:24 61:3 62:1 84:20	<b>control</b> 4:10 5:4 8:15 10:18,20 27:18 79:20 89:25 90:2 106:4 114:8	coughs 163:4
93:6		<b>counsel</b> 8:11 9:8,10
contaminate 46:7	controlling 79:23	count 88:13 159:18
155:11	controls 81:7 117:13	Countermeasures 81:7
contaminated 27:17 46:13 60:19,21 77:8		<b>country</b> 155:13
81:14,20 155:3	convince 18:13	County 4:15 80:15,17
contaminating 155:8,9	convinced 18:22	103:6
		couple 6:21 14:24 61:7
contamination 35:18	convoluted 125:18	70:1
45:22 59:25 64:2 66:5,7,	convoluted 125:18 coordinate 77:21 154:8	70:1
45:22 59:25 64:2 66:5,7, 10 68:13 70:24 84:4	<b>coordinate</b> 77:21 154:8	
45:22 59:25 64:2 66:5,7,		70:1  court 4:20 6:19,24 79:14 86:3  cover 17:15,21,22,24
45:22 59:25 64:2 66:5,7, 10 68:13 70:24 84:4 101:4 121:7,11	coordinate 77:21 154:8 coordinating 80:14 coordination 80:23	70:1  court 4:20 6:19,24 79:14 86:3  cover 17:15,21,22,24 18:1,14,20 19:4,18,19,
45:22 59:25 64:2 66:5,7, 10 68:13 70:24 84:4 101:4 121:7,11 contemplated 36:14	coordinate 77:21 154:8 coordinating 80:14	70:1  court 4:20 6:19,24 79:14 86:3  cover 17:15,21,22,24 18:1,14,20 19:4,18,19, 23,24,25 20:7,9,10,25 21:1,13,14,15,22 22:7,
45:22 59:25 64:2 66:5,7, 10 68:13 70:24 84:4 101:4 121:7,11 contemplated 36:14 contemplates 39:23	coordinate 77:21 154:8 coordinating 80:14 coordination 80:23 cops 161:25	70:1  court 4:20 6:19,24 79:14 86:3  cover 17:15,21,22,24 18:1,14,20 19:4,18,19, 23,24,25 20:7,9,10,25

Index: covered..definitions

**cutoff** 143:9,13 dear 160:18 161:24 26:11 28:15,18,20,21 29:6,8,11,16,19,22,23 **cycle** 37:3 debris 89:11 90:8,24 30:3,14 31:6 39:6,16 119:12 42:10 54:15 55:2,22 D **December** 53:14 65:1 85:23 90:6 107:4 122:11 73:9 81:10 123:19 **covered** 18:16 24:18,19 **damage** 87:23 decide 23:19 24:1 30:4 73:25 damaged 46:2 87:23 decided 106:4.6 covers 18:10 28:13 144:21 29:17 decision 10:22 11:1,12, damaging 90:13 19,20 12:13,18 23:25 coyotes 82:1 damn 155:16 24:5 114:8 142:4,5 Craig 4:5 8:7 damp 46:11 decision-making 10:7 created 64:22 data 18:11 19:1,2 21:17 decisions 10:11 62:18 creating 96:15 22:22 23:9,19,25 24:5 159:18 160:10,15 161:8 25:22 37:23 62:19 64:18 Creator 152:22 160:13 declared 56:18 57:1 70:1,4,9 72:13,22 95:17 credit 74:2 decline 95:15 97:11 114:6 119:12 **criminal** 154:2,21,24 120:8 132:11,18,21,22, decommissioned 90:24 24,25 136:7,11,25 criteria 19:20 22:7 119:12 137:20 138:10 141:5 23:14,21 24:2 decontaminated 58:17 database 78:18 114:6 **Criterion** 17:20 27:13 decrease 98:3 54:8 123:10 124:1 date 36:4,24 37:1 63:8,9 decreases 94:23,24 95:4 64:3 116:19 123:12 cross 68:8,21,25 93:10 152:11 decreasing 97:4 cross-examination 5:3 dated 81:10 105:3 **deemed** 23:13 **cubic** 74:10 dates 140:6 **deep** 67:4,6,9,17 83:11, cultural 113:13 22 144:4 daughters 159:23 culturally 14:20 deer 82:1.24 **Dave** 9:9 **cumulative** 49:4 50:12 defaulted 39:19 **David** 81:4 134:8,15,21 139:15,25 **defense** 72:17,19 day 96:1 131:3,6 160:10 curious 24:12 25:14 **define** 92:14 31:14 33:7 42:4 days 14:24 163:8 165:7 **defined** 102:5 124:19.23 **current** 37:6 38:4,9 **de** 46:5 125:5,7 138:5 40:24 59:11 63:2,13 **dead** 160:25 76:23 81:6,9,16 90:20 definition 44:19,20 deal 4:12 41:15 132:21 114:19,22 118:11 128:11,12,21 129:2,4,8 133:16 143:2 dealing 62:1 141:17 definitional 125:18 custom 75:1 dealt 130:24 definitions 125:12 127:3

Index: degraded..discontinuation

		maox. aogradoanaiocominadaon
degraded 65:24 66:23	<b>describes</b> 27:13 75:12 145:9	<b>developed</b> 28:11 34:10 112:16 128:3 146:4
degrading 66:3 69:21		
degree 26:8 155:18	desert 160:22	developing 45:2
158:8	design 19:18 20:7,25	development 9:2
delaying 31:22	21:1,13,15 22:23 28:14, 16,20,22 29:6,11,22,23	devices 120:10,14 121:1
deleted 133:10	30:7,13 107:3 116:17,	dewater 55:20 56:2
delineate 93:22	18,20	dewatered 42:22 74:18,
deliver 161:10	designated 89:14	22 75:3
delivered 161:13	designing 18:9	dewatering 38:15,16
demonstrate 122:10	destroyed 163:9	42:14 43:4 74:25 75:1, 14
demonstration 20:18 21:17 30:8	<b>detail</b> 10:7 74:17 75:16 105:1	difference 25:15 29:16 33:17,20
Denison 62:21	<b>detailed</b> 105:6 119:4	differential 55:2
density 18:23 19:10	144:5	differently 11:16
department 12:4 40:3	details 105:17 130:24	difficult 113:19,23
62:23 78:25 79:25 94:13	detect 68:12 70:23	direct 53:4 77:18 80:10
114:7 130:4,14	detected 69:5,22	81:18 109:8 141:19,23
depend 150:9	detection 71:19 72:2,8	142:3
dependent 47:16,18	76:19	direction 30:12 64:19,23
depending 6:11 55:25	detections 72:6	65:9,15,20 91:4 93:7
56:1 80:24 149:5	deter 29:24 82:6	directions 64:21,24 65:4,13,17
<b>depends</b> 55:19 108:18, 20 149:14	determination 95:5	
depict 64:20	127:19,22,23 128:6,7 143:10	<b>directly</b> 7:15 12:21,24 15:3 16:9,11 40:15 41:8
•	determinations 127:10	45:1 67:13 84:9,11
<b>deploy</b> 120:15	determine 21:23 22:3	87:12 109:23
deployed 120:16	47:16 103:23 122:19	director 9:25 35:20 36:9
deposit 90:23	determined 23:18 35:20	47:4 53:14 114:7 115:22
depth 30:4 83:24	36:9 41:3 44:9 49:3 52:8	director's 122:10
<b>DEQ</b> 10:10 14:18 15:1,	86:9 94:1 98:11 111:10	disappointed 153:24
11 94:20	115:3 124:14 126:23	discharge 4:14 42:5
<b>DEQ's</b> 11:10 114:4	<b>determining</b> 71:13 81:21	60:22 68:10 71:21 72:5, 12 101:2,14,15,16
describe 15:10 24:21	<b>develop</b> 9:4 151:17 152:16	discontinuation 64:25
32:12 33:7 160:7	132.10	73:8

Index: discovered..DW

discovered 61:22 110:21,23 **discovery** 37:9 62:5 discrete 7:6 discussed 70:19 85:24 91:11 96:4 124:13 125:17 **discussing** 4:12 80:22 113:8 discussion 17:11 123:12 dispersed 112:24 dispersible 46:11 disposal 27:4,15,20 28:4 50:17 58:13,19 81:19 87:5,19,21,24 88:21 89:15 90:19 109:8 111:14,23 118:4,11 119:6,11 126:22 139:15, 22 141:19,20,21,23 142:4 disposed 27:12,19,24 28:7 45:1 60:19,23 81:15 109:24 119:23

133:3 134:5,16 136:24 137:2,7,22 138:12 141:6,10,13

disseminate 16:10

disseminated 16:7

dissolution 95:11

distinctly 69:4

diversity 18:23 19:10 30:2

division 4:9 5:16 7:22 8:11,14,17,20,22,24 9:1 10:10 12:4 15:15 19:16 20:7,24 21:14 22:15,16 23:2,24 25:1,16 27:4

31:13 36:17 43:21 49:3 50:11 51:14,18 52:22 59:9 61:15 62:3 64:5 65:22 66:25 67:20,24 69:2,18,25 70:13 71:5, 13 73:2 74:6 75:8 76:10 78:2,10 83:14 84:23 86:25 91:2 93:4 94:12 104:7 105:8 109:15 110:15 111:11,21 112:16 113:17 114:3,7 115:3,22 117:13 118:18 119:8,16 122:23 124:1 127:18 135:8,13,24 137:20 139:14,21 140:16,22 141:4,5 142:3 144:1,8 145:11 146:22 165:24

**Division's** 5:16 17:12 28:3,23 62:18 92:7 96:20 101:1

divisions 11:10 17:16 94:12,20

docs 118:20

document 34:18,21 44:14 51:10 101:21,25 102:1 105:12 113:20 114:6,18 117:14,20 119:10

documenting 110:24

**documents** 9:5 11:22 15:16,19 18:8 86:16 106:9 113:15,17,19 114:2,12,18 158:10

domestic 94:16

doors 153:8 154:17

dose 48:22,24 49:13,20, 21 50:8

doses 33:5

downgradient 66:1.21 69:20 95:1,12,14

downtimes 34:2

draft 113:12

drainage 77:8

draining 82:9

drawings 107:3 119:5,7

draws 139:4

**DRC** 106:2

dress 155:19

**dressed** 155:19

drew 114:10

drilled 83:7,22 84:6,8, 11,12 93:12

driller 84:23

drilling 67:17,18 93:17

drink 155:7 163:25 164:5,9

drive 87:20 90:11,23

driven 86:1,4

**dropped** 73:10

dry 93:14,19,22 94:4,9 95:22 163:12

drying 86:6 87:9

due 5:24 7:17 13:2 17:25 36:4,24 45:11 55:2 57:19 63:9 65:11 68:2 98:19

**dumping** 142:20

duration 150:10

**Dutchie** 148:8,9 149:1, 17 150:15 151:4,20,25 152:13,18,20 153:7

**DW** 93:13

		· ·
	elements 60:1	enhanced 53:18
E	elevated 69:3	enrichment 44:13
eagles 82:5	<b>elevations</b> 64:13 73:9	<b>ensure</b> 51:18 52:23 80:4
earlier 23:5 50:18 61:23	eliminating 56:15	enter 23:2
80:9 83:6 85:24 86:8 107:14 143:3,16	email 14:24	entering 20:15 25:3
early 54:2 146:14	<b>emergency</b> 76:23 77:5,	<b>enters</b> 123:3
easily 38:22	6,15,19 80:11,15 154:5, 10,13	<b>entire</b> 48:5 58:16,19 74:9 112:9 130:5
east 64:23 65:4,8 69:10	<b>emission</b> 123:1 150:7	entitled 105:13
70:2 84:9,11 93:5 94:7	<b>emissions</b> 14:2 120:13	entrance 102:23 112:20
east/southeast 65:3	122:3,4	envelope 134:3
eastern 83:20	<b>emit</b> 140:12	environment 51:23
easy 114:4 118:20 119:18	<b>employed</b> 17:22 18:2 20:15 156:17 157:15,18,	60:23 76:7,12 90:18 107:12 134:6 143:19,25
eat 155:5,7 160:3	19	environmental 8:17,20,
<b>edge</b> 30:20	encountered 118:12,17	21,24 10:1 14:6 17:13
<b>edges</b> 30:24 72:15	end 32:21 108:11 124:8	47:4 48:2,7,12 53:7,13, 16,18 76:14 94:13
education 155:18 165:4,	endangering 134:6	103:15 104:8,12,24
7	<b>energy</b> 4:16,17 5:1,17 9:8,11,13 17:13 36:18	105:10,13,15 106:11,22
<b>effect</b> 125:13	40:3 45:25 52:1 64:11,	112:17 113:5 123:15,18 132:5 139:21 140:2,15,
<b>effective</b> 49:20 72:9	20 77:17 79:22 80:22	17,18
<b>effects</b> 30:20 48:18 57:23 58:3 140:14	81:3 85:17 86:17,19 87:6 88:4 89:3 91:23	<b>EP</b> 127:14
effort 134:7	102:21 103:3,4 104:22 105:12 109:3 110:20,22	<b>EPA</b> 44:23 52:12 101:5 127:8,10,14,19,23
efforts 77:21	112:4,9 115:24 127:7,17	128:3,5,6
<b>EFR</b> 51:18 52:22 61:15	128:13,21,24 129:3,5,8	<b>EPA's</b> 44:18 121:7
64:6 65:23 67:1,25 73:2 78:3,9 91:22	142:8 145:19 159:22 160:9	Ephraim 148:8
eighth 29:21	enforce 125:13	epidemiological 103:8,
elected 30:12	enforcement 24:13,20	
electronic 114:5	engineer 8:20	episode 134:13
electronically 35:6	engineering 84:18	equivalent 49:20
<b>element</b> 21:10 30:9 39:9	119:4,7	eroded 26:23
72:12 91:8 94:20	enhance 58:19	<b>erosion</b> 17:23 26:16,23 54:19,21

error 35:25 102:6 127:23 **explanation** 69:15 94:23 escalated 38:14 evil 154:2 exposed 49:22 **essentially** 42:9 98:5 exact 60:4 132:7 exposure 33:5 establish 26:17 **extend** 20:16 **examples** 63:16 70:6 **established** 17:22 18:20 exceed 5:22 extensive 5:24 48:25 54:8 81:1 125:23 exceedance 50:6 extent 22:24 87:11 **estimate** 35:16 37:6,11 150:10 exceedances 103:25 38:9 39:18 40:10.11.22 external 49:23 **exceeded** 48:19 49:11 61:24 122:13 131:19,23 51:8 121:17 extra 76:13 **estimates** 38:3 39:20 exceeds 123:2 extracted 99:8 40:7 **exception** 41:24 107:2 **extraction** 27:17,19,23 evaluate 81:3 103:9 **excuse** 63:2 101:8 extracts 74:22 **evaluated** 27:4 61:22 165:23 110:19 111:1 116:25 **extremely** 18:5 91:15 133:23 **excused** 147:19 98:7 **evaluation** 17:12 33:2 executive 9:13,25 51:10 57:13 63:13 66:16 F **exemption** 43:23,25 74:7,16 75:12 105:25 106:7,11,13 107:17 **exercise** 38:24 39:12 facilities 91:5 101:7 133:15 exist 18:6 93:11 facility 35:19 36:8 39:1, evaporation 59:5 24 69:10 75:2,4 87:6 existed 18:11 48:9 89:19 94:25 95:1,13 evaporative 23:24 82:11 existence 77:2 96:7 97:7 141:20 evapotranspiration **existing** 27:20 58:22 fact 26:1 28:25 44:11 18:21 77:7 135:1 69:19 109:6 112:25 evapotranspirative 128:16 129:20 130:7 exists 33:4 17:15 18:10,14,20 19:19 factor 40:15,16 41:20 20:9 23:17 25:9.18 expanded 92:10 88:7 28:14 **expect** 26:4 27:1 98:7 factors 55:19 73:17 evening 6:3 152:21 **expectation** 21:12 42:21 110:16 event 38:25 62:21 72:21 **expected** 47:12 50:7 **facts** 10:7 77:5 154:3 53:17 59:18 112:12 fails 19:19 24:23 **events** 95:22 **experience** 77:6 131:21 fair 74:2 eventually 156:24 explain 23:11 69:2,18 fairly 38:22 72:9 94:21 128:18 everyone's 6:24 fall 72:4 **evidence** 63:1,2,3 64:10 **explained** 15:3 16:12

17:1 58:5

70:6 95:6 97:17,22

Index: fallback..formal

fallback 20:6,7	field 74:12	fine 46:22
falls 122:22	Fields 102:15,16,17	finish 165:3
familiar 126:13	103:7 104:6 106:19 107:21 108:4,7,16,25	finished 146:14
family 159:22 162:18	110:1,7,10,12,19 111:5,	<b>firing</b> 82:16
Fansteel 125:22 126:14,	10,16 112:15 113:11	<b>firm</b> 9:7
15	114:15 115:3 116:1,5,15 117:5,12,25 118:8,20	<b>firmly</b> 60:18
<b>fast</b> 42:14 43:11,12,13 60:4	119:3,21 120:3,19,24	flat 98:5
faster 42:23	121:4,25 122:13,21 123:6,11 124:10 125:4,	flights 6:2
	7,10,25 126:8 127:9	flow 64:11,19,21,24
feasibility 75:1	128:5,9,23 129:4,7,14	65:4,13,17 68:4
February 105:3	130:6,16 131:15 132:8 133:5 134:7,14,19 135:6	flowing 64:7
<b>federal</b> 5:1 11:3 15:1 18:7 27:13,24 104:20	136:6,23 137:8,11,19	fluctuate 47:19
125:11 127:4,6 130:3,13	138:2,10,22 139:4,20	fluid 42:11 43:10,14
feed 4:18 34:6,14 50:16,	140:18,24 141:2,4,15 142:10 143:9 144:4,15	89:25 90:2
24 74:8 75:25 125:2,6 126:18 127:24 128:1	145:1,6,17,25 146:4	fluids 42:12 43:1,2
131:21 133:7,8,19,24	fight 162:15 164:17	<b>fluoride</b> 69:4,12,13 96:21 97:1,3 98:2,3,14
134:15,21,24 135:2	fighting 158:24,25	99:13
136:13 137:4,11,23 138:1,13 139:7,16,22	162:13 163:21 164:15	flux 122:8,12 123:16
140:19,20 141:8 142:8,	figure 33:4	<b>foamy</b> 160:5
16,18,25 143:12 144:18 145:23	figured 86:10	<b>folks</b> 25:7
	fill 55:23 58:1 78:19 87:6	follow 4:23 11:22 77:7
<b>feeds</b> 51:6 127:4,7 137:13 145:16	filled 47:21 55:17	104:21 113:8 144:19
feel 114:15 119:21 133:3	filling 47:23 87:8	follow-up 20:22 86:14
164:2,14	filtrate 75:13	<b>food</b> 161:20
feet 67:9,12 73:10 74:10,	final 23:25 28:20 31:6	football 74:11
11 83:23,25 93:5	47:25 54:13 55:22 57:2 58:15,23 61:4 85:18	footnote 133:5 136:18
felt 31:21	87:10 102:7 119:22	footnotes 137:15
fence 159:25 161:18	121:12 122:14 145:8	foreseeable 88:25
fenced 103:1	find 21:19 113:19 119:1	forever 88:24
fences 82:4	finding 56:3	form 105:10
fencing 82:23 83:4	<b>findings</b> 63:18 68:17 97:23 110:16	formal 24:20 102:7
ferric 99:6	31.23 1 IV. IV	148:24 149:9

Index: formalizing..grab

formalizing 107:11

**formally** 105:13

**formation** 67:10 98:24 100:19

forward 40:14 159:1

**found** 5:6 66:17 76:10 99:12 128:12,20,23 131:20 144:2

four-hour 108:20

fourth 50:11

fraction 25:25

frame 56:10

freestanding 56:15

frequency 96:13 112:1, 14

frequently 94:17 96:9

FRNS 127:11

front 95:17 135:10

Frydenlund 9:9 55:13 56:13 58:5 81:5 109:22 110:5,9,11 130:23 131:20

Fuel's 145:19

Fuels 4:16,18,20 5:17 9:8,11,13 17:13 36:18 43:19,22 44:1,7,10 45:5, 9,14 46:1 50:23 64:11, 20 74:8 75:22,24 77:17 79:22 80:22 81:3 85:18 86:17,19 87:6 88:4 89:4 91:23 102:21 103:3,4 106:14 109:4 110:22 112:4,9 115:24 124:13, 14 125:1 128:10 129:17 132:9,14 142:5,8,9 144:9 Fuels' 52:1 110:20

**fulfilled** 104:8,14

full 11:18 17:21,23 56:10,18 62:6 108:13 131:2,3,8,9

fully 18:16 19:24 21:7 22:11,23 55:18 56:6,25 70:10

funding 15:2

**future** 24:6 38:2,18 40:8 53:1 61:8 63:13 88:25 110:23 111:7 113:3 132:16

G

gain 54:20

gall 154:7

**Galloway** 8:23 31:15 32:7,16 33:9,22 34:9,21 35:1,4,8 51:5,15 78:22 79:9 149:16 150:12,16 151:16,24 152:4 153:23

**Gallup** 130:8,19

gap 131:18

Gary 8:25 86:20

gate 102:23

general 7:19 9:10 19:9 64:15 133:2 136:15 137:5 151:1

general's 8:8,10 105:2

generally 62:6 105:16

gentle 18:5

geochemical 99:11

100:22

Geology 62:24

Geophysics 62:24

**geosynthetic** 29:24 30:10,17,18

get all 163:6

**give** 36:18 43:15 80:10 85:17 95:20 115:24 145:3 154:20

**Goble** 8:12 10:13,23 11:2,6,18,21 12:1 13:11, 24,25 14:22 15:14 16:3, 12,19,22 27:7 28:6 35:10 45:23 46:10,18,22 48:7 49:9 50:19 51:24 53:2 59:11 83:10 84:7, 22 86:20,24 87:17 88:11,18 89:3,9 93:14 101:10,15 102:4,11 103:13 105:19 106:24 108:1,6,15,17 111:15,17 114:2 115:2,7 116:3,6, 21 117:11 118:24 121:16 122:7,16 123:5, 7,21 137:25 138:8,15,24 139:18,24 140:20,25 141:3,12 142:2 144:13, 16 145:5,19 146:7 147:9,13,16 149:4,25 153:22

God 161:24

**good** 9:23 29:16 30:11 72:18,20 85:5,7,10 146:18 152:21 160:9,15 162:7,9 164:2,4,11,12

gotcha 22:20 121:4

govern 23:3

government 18:7

governments 130:10

**grab** 74:18

Index: Grace..helps

**Grace** 143:1 22 102:2,3,5 106:13 happened 112:19 148:11 grade 25:11 grouted 84:1 happy 108:23 114:14 grow 163:10 164:25 graded 67:2 164:2 gradient 68:9,21,25 growth 26:8,10 hard 40:24 108:12 93:10 quess 16:14,17 21:11 135:16 149:6 159:16 **GRAMA** 35:9 114:13 29:18 84:17 101:19 Harold 9:12 56:16 84:7 108:20 114:23 134:20 grand 6:10,11 17:1,5 86:7 153:21 136:6 138:7 43:8 haul 130:8,19 guidance 11:21 18:8,9 grandchildren 162:22 101:21,25 102:1 128:10 Havasupai 155:12 grandkids 162:25 163:7, quide 102:1 115:9 **hazard** 51:22 13 164:16,25 165:2,8,11 116:10,12 **hazardous** 43:23,25 **Grant** 62:23 **guides** 11:22 44:19 77:16 79:1 **graphs** 57:14 **head** 61:11 91:10 149:2 **guys** 24:13 106:10 gravel 25:12,25 26:7,14 109:21 148:10,15,18 151:9 158:6,9 149:2 151:13 152:20,21, Greasewood 153:20 health 10:1 49:1 76:7,11 23,25 153:3,7,9,24 90:18 94:14 103:8,10 Great 11:24 154:15,16,20,25 155:14 134:6 143:18.24 156:17 157:10,14,16,22, great-great-grand 165:3 healthy 165:1 23 158:2,3,8,15,16,18, great-great-grandkids 21 159:3,4 163:16 hear 46:25 162:22 **Gwyn** 8:23 51:3 78:20 heard 6:9 greater 48:22 49:13 50:8 150:11 153:23 139:9.10 hearing 4:5,8,21,22,24 5:13,23 6:6,18 7:20,25 **Green** 10:5 Н 13:14 85:7 109:14 Greenaction 6:10 10:1 126:1,2,7 146:9,24 halfway 85:7 147:5 148:22 156:18 **groomed** 155:15 165:23 **hand** 147:6 ground 4:13 6:21 27:19 **hearings** 5:22 158:5 83:23,25 Handbook 101:5 groundwater 10:13,14 heart 21:11 155:21 handful 45:15 35:18 36:19 37:8 42:5 159:4 160:16 **handle** 154:4,13 45:21 46:8 59:18.23.24 heartless 154:1,21 handling 77:1 145:10 60:8,15 61:18 64:7,12, hearts 159:12 18,19,21 65:4,12,24 hands 148:4 66:5,7,13,15,17,20,21, **held** 106:15 happen 24:22 25:15 23 67:3 68:4,6,22 69:19 38:16 39:15 40:8 45:5 **helped** 9:4,5 70:16,24 71:16,20 72:23 88:24 150:24 73:3,5 91:3,19 96:6,8,20 helps 26:15 57:5 98:12 101:1,4,5,15,16,

Index: herb..included

**herb** 163:4,11,14 herbs 163:3,6,10 **hey** 164:16 hide 160:8 high 17:18 98:19 100:8 108:2 146:1 higher 50:14 98:18 121:12 145:23 highly 30:3 Highway 102:23 129:19. 22 130:1 **highways** 78:6 130:3,13 historic 84:21 **historical** 66:5 114:18, 23 115:19 historically 83:8,10 **hold** 20:18 23:7 **holding** 164:9 home 159:4 honest 32:17 honestly 108:2 149:25

hope 10:6 26:15 108:9 123:12 152:23

hopeful 6:3 21:19

hoping 5:25 35:22

**hours** 5:22,24 146:11

house 119:16 159:24

houses 164:24

huh-uh 164:12

**human** 76:7,11 94:14 134:6 143:18,24

**Hurst** 62:23 **hurts** 157:8

**husband** 162:20 hydraulic 67:5 hydraulically 68:8 **hydrologic** 91:3 92:8

hydrous 99:5

ı

**I-40** 129:18 130:1 **I-70** 129:21 i.e. 96:21

**ICTM** 28:16,18 29:3

idea 32:4

identical 106:25

identified 51:20,25 52:24 53:18 66:18 92:16 95:2 111:2 115:13

identify 66:13 91:23 161:15

**Illinois** 118:3

imagine 35:8

immediately 37:13 54:17 58:3 69:20 121:21,24

impact 48:8 50:25 75:7 103:15 135:4

**impacted** 69:8 70:20 91:22 94:9 115:10

impacting 91:13

**impacts** 48:3,10 49:4 50:12 51:19.24 52:23 65:12 68:3 81:25 93:23 103:10 112:17 113:2 132:2,4,5 134:8,12,15, 21 139:15,21

**implement** 22:15,24 85:18

implementation 101:1, 21 102:2

**implemented** 4:25 53:15 101:23 111:9

important 6:22 144:7 157:2 159:14,21 163:25

impossible 132:6

impoundment 18:2 55:17,19 88:1,13

impoundment's 45:1

impoundments 42:22 71:15 132:17 133:4 134:5,12 135:5 137:3 139:17

impression 120:20

**Impressive** 41:13

**improve** 111:3 160:10

improvement 37:24

In-situ 27:16,22

inadvertently 36:3

incident 78:19 79:8 113:7,9 149:5 150:18,22

incidents 78:5 79:17 80:6 111:2 150:2

include 7:15 29:22,23 30:16 35:17 36:19 38:19 39:14,21 40:15,16 62:4 106:19 113:8 133:4 138:22

included 25:21,24,25 28:19,22 29:6 33:16 34:16 40:8 52:7 53:7 68:14 74:17 75:18,20 107:16 129:19 133:15, 16,21 143:1,2,7 160:11

Index: includes..invited

includes 4:17 30:8 37:7 influenced 91:19 92:1 intend 109:10 110:7 64:14 122:24 influencing 30:21 including 14:2 18:8 41:1 intended 25:23 information 15:23 16:7, 48:14 54:2 55:19 56:14 10 31:18 50:20 57:12 intention 20:13 27:9,11 69:12 81:19 70:10 71:24 81:15 100:4 28:7 93:21 incorporate 132:25 115:18 117:18 118:6.18 interest 58:4 71:2 77:25 119:16,19,22 120:25 incorporated 102:22 interested 146:22 123:15 132:11 138:17, 105:5 107:13 135:3 20 139:20 interim 56:19 57:4 81:12 increase 40:17 98:2 informed 101:20 110:21 interject 85:4 increased 57:19 95:7 **ingest** 49:23 internal 49:22 96:12,13 **inhale** 49:23 international 49:1 increases 96:19 103:22 inherit 40:18 increasing 69:3 97:5,10 interposed 126:6 inhibit 26:7 increasingly 65:24 67:2 interpretation 28:2 initial 75:1 105:22 independent 106:7 131:24 interpretations 62:20 **Indians** 155:16 **input** 31:19 34:23 interrogatories 107:10 indicating 35:2 insensitive 154:2 Interstate 83:21 indication 66:2 128:24 insert 36:4 introduce 95:23 indicator 66:22 96:20,25 **inside** 160:5 introduced 86:20 95:10 97:16,17,21 98:12,15 99:13 insignificant 131:10,11 introducing 43:2 **indirect** 143:20 inspect 118:7 introduction 86:21 indirectly 76:6 inspected 112:2 introductions 9:15 individual 9:19 48:21 inspection 110:16 introductory 7:13 42:3 49:12,17 147:24 111:18 112:14 119:13. intrusion 31:4 15 individually 76:18 **invalid** 126:23 **inspections** 111:11,19, individuals 94:15 22 112:2,8 145:13,15 **investigate** 73:3 93:22 147:23 156:15 157:7 installation 54:4 investigation 91:3 92:8, induced 57:20 11 93:13 96:4 installed 25:10 54:3 72:7 industry 40:25 **Investigations** 51:21 installing 93:20 **infiltration** 28:12,16,23 investment 40:17 29:5 46:6 61:5 73:6 instances 111:13 99:3,19 100:22 invite 8:3 insufficient 23:19 inflation 38:6,10,14,20 **invited** 146:16 intelligently 161:8 39:14,21 40:7,16

#### WHITE MESA HEARING - 6/8/17

Index: involved..leaking

involved 107:24 150:13, land 102:24,25 152:24 **Juan** 4:15 80:15,17 14 103:5 lands 130:9,11 involves 78:24 judgments 159:20 language 36:8 involving 77:15 78:5 July 7:23 8:2 53:3 62:20. large 14:19 27:20 71:10 79:17 126:21 21 115:4,7 123:12 82:5,11 ions 99:9 **June** 7:21 13:14 165:23 larger 132:3,4 irrelevant 43:8 Justice 10:1 Larrick 16:4 **ISL** 87:19,21 88:9,17,21 **justify** 73:17 lasting 122:20 89:10,15 90:19 110:14 late 141:2,3 160:14 111:22 112:18 118:11, K 13 119:5,11 141:25 Lateral 65:1 keeping 144:11 isolates 67:15 laterally 30:19 Keller 47:5 **isotopes** 74:24 76:17 latest 54:5 **key** 32:13 98:12 **ISR** 90:12.23 Latimer 9:7 kick 55:24 issue 19:6 54:10 126:12 **law** 9:7 12:2 104:20 148:24 149:15 128:19 **kicked** 57:21 issue's 125:22 laws 10:9,25 11:11,19, **kids** 160:21 163:13,20 25 12:12,17 165:2,6 issued 107:22 126:17 kind 7:12 32:20 46:4 layer 25:12 29:2 30:3 issues 19:4 105:6 56:19,20,21,23 57:4,11 57:7 60:23 62:13 67:21 124:11 126:25 150:25 72:16 80:24,25 106:21 76:13 **Ivan** 148:1 112:8 113:1,9 119:14 layers 19:4 30:3 54:15, 135:4 151:7 162:14 21 55:22 61:2 85:25 J kinds 151:6 90:6 leach 27:16 **January** 165:23 **Kip** 62:23 **knocking** 154:17 leachate 74:22 **Jersey** 133:8 leaching 74:21 **Johnson** 8:16 14:5,10, knowing 120:2 14,16 44:9,22 45:3,8 knowledge 68:24 **lead** 8:18 76:20 78:9,18 79:11 leading 87:2 82:3,13,18,25 83:3 L 110:18 111:1,8 113:4 leak 71:19 72:1,6.7 117:22 118:5,15,22,25 76:18 111:19,24 118:16 laboratory 98:22 99:2 120:14,22 121:3 124:25 150:7 125:5,9 127:3,25 128:8, lack 19:4 leakage 63:1,4,6 20 129:2,6 145:15 **lady** 160:19 leaked 66:3 **Jon** 9:3 139:18,24 143:3 **Lake** 154:15 157:10 153:22 leaking 45:18 46:2 79:17 110:20 144:21

leaks 72:9 110:23,24

leapfrogging 37:2

lease 27:22

leave 14:3 15:8 164:21

leaves 14:9 90:14

leaving 85:24

**led** 110:16

left 14:12 36:2 160:23

**legal** 9:7 11:14 87:12 104:16 105:1,6 125:21 126:25 128:17 129:9

Leland 16:4

**letter** 14:23,24 115:20 116:16 123:13,23

**letters** 114:22

letting 153:8

level 52:12,16 60:17 74:5 126:19 145:24 151:7

levels 17:23 50:6 54:6 68:1 69:4 96:19 121:13 139:10

license 4:13 10:11,17 27:21 32:1 35:15,18 36:1,7,25 43:19 51:10 53:2,3,4 74:15 75:6 104:9 105:23 112:10 113:12,14,20 114:16,17, 20 115:16 116:15 117:5 118:8 124:12,25 130:25 133:10,13,17 134:10 142:14,17,22 143:2 144:20 154:22 156:19 157:4

**licensee** 17:17 18:12 20:19 22:9,13 23:7,16

26:21 30:1 33:24 36:22 42:19 43:13 45:16 48:19 53:6,10,15 54:18 55:6 59:13 73:23 74:2 76:9 85:22 113:24 115:6 117:14,19 119:10,19 120:3 121:5 122:2,10 123:14 138:16 144:19 145:10

licensing 4:8,11,17 5:14 12:22,25 15:6,16,18 87:13 105:9,20

lies 142:5

life 47:13,15 48:6 164:1

light 25:14 50:14

limit 48:22,24 50:4,8 104:1,5 143:14 144:8,25 161:5,11,16

limitations 5:20

**limited** 4:24 5:14 76:5 115:10 147:2

limits 14:7,17 32:8 48:20 49:11,15 51:7 54:7 59:23 75:23 76:3 103:25 117:13 143:16,21 144:17

**liner** 61:4 72:10 87:24 90:13,15,22

liners 58:14 71:18,25

lines 95:5 97:22

**liquid** 75:13 77:1,9 87:7 88:17

liquids 77:7

list 10:21,24 11:18 12:3 13:6 17:10 60:20 77:14 115:4,7,11 116:1,3,12 135:11 146:18 listed 59:22 66:13 75:6

**listen** 108:12 152:25 153:8 160:16

**listening** 154:1 157:11, 22 162:11

liter 97:8

literally 40:6

literature 41:2,24 98:16,

live 158:14 162:9

living 49:5 50:13 162:23

load 119:11

**local** 50:15 77:20 80:12

localized 91:15,23

locate 92:21 93:9 113:18

located 41:11 52:1 68:8, 12 70:14,22 72:14 83:16,18,20 92:4 93:4 94:6 119:24

**location** 61:25 89:15 91:18 93:9 119:11

locations 70:18

long 38:13 39:16,18 40:24 48:17 53:21 55:9 56:1 57:9 58:9 59:17 61:4 62:6 86:6 109:9 110:1 140:12 159:16

long-term 40:2 106:23

longer 25:20 52:25 53:22 55:10 58:10 77:2 110:3 122:22

looked 29:4 32:18 41:2 62:9 96:24 134:20,23 139:14

lose 54:22

Index: lost..meet

**lost** 71:15,18,25

**lot** 22:2 34:2 38:1 39:17 96:6,7 144:4,6 153:19 156:24 163:3 165:14

loud 82:5,17

**low** 67:11 95:8 96:2 97:6 98:7,17

lower 29:7,10,14 52:16 85:1 137:17

**Luellen** 9:3 74:13 75:16 132:24 133:14 134:10, 23 136:11 137:5,10,13 153:22

### M

**ma** 153:12

machines 82:6

made 20:10 22:10 24:6 64:1 108:10 111:4 114:8 116:2 117:8 127:19,22, 23 128:5,6 130:7 143:10 147:23,25 160:25 161:5

mailed 118:22

main 33:8

major 5:3,20

**majority** 25:16 51:24 57:10

make 7:12 11:20 18:16 20:13 23:25 31:4 37:24 54:18 77:18 85:6 90:15 95:4 105:4 107:12 112:9 119:19 127:10 143:22 146:21 147:24 148:23 153:15 159:7,20 160:10 161:18 162:2,5 164:2 165:14

makes 31:8 36:16 42:12

113:22 157:6 164:1

**making** 44:12 82:17 85:5,10 127:12 130:6 159:17 160:15

**makings** 127:11

man 154:15

managed 60:15 104:18

management 4:9 8:14 53:16 80:22 81:3 106:3,

manager 8:13

manner 60:24

manual 53:7,13

**maps** 64:19

**March** 31:12,22 35:16 36:18,23 111:19,23

margin 65:3 97:14

**market** 155:6

mass 43:11

**masses** 99:5

master's 155:18

mat 30:10

material 25:22,24,25
26:1 27:5,10,16,22
43:20,22 44:1,7,10,11,
16,23 45:5,9,14,17,20,
24 46:11 50:15 54:23
57:21 59:2 73:24,25
74:8,19 75:7,25 81:13,
21 88:10 89:8 112:3,19
124:15,18,19,20,22,24
125:1,14,15 128:2 131:6
132:14 133:7,8,9,14,18
134:16,22,25 137:11,24
138:1,13 139:8,16
141:8,17,20,21,24,25
142:1,4,6,20,25 144:18

145:20 146:2 150:14

materials 4:19 8:13 10:17 26:4 35:15 41:2 50:16 58:14,15 67:10,15 74:14 75:9 78:6 79:1,18 112:18 117:21 127:16, 21 129:25 130:2,11,12 131:21 133:3,16,20,23, 24 134:3,4,9,11 135:2,6, 7,11,15,18 136:1,13 138:4 139:10 141:6,10 142:22 143:3,6 145:23 150:17

**matter** 138:7

matters 5:14 160:14

maximize 60:2

maximum 76:3 143:21

**Maywood** 133:8,12,14 142:19 143:1

meaning 7:3 20:8 25:11 105:10,11 129:1

means 28:16 35:23 63:3 99:22 128:25

meant 30:25 70:7 88:15

measure 64:12 73:1 122:4

measured 71:19 100:21

measurement 122:14

measures 51:17 52:21 64:5 65:22 66:25 67:24 68:19 73:15 79:16 80:2, 3 121:2 123:2

mechanism 23:6 24:14, 20,21 96:3

media 48:13

**meet** 5:5 18:14 19:19 20:25 21:1 23:20 24:8

Index: meet all..mind

48:16 66:12,18 140:12 meet all 23:13 76:10 meeting 7:21 108:20 122:12 meets 22:7 24:1 **Melisa** 159:10 member 48:21 49:12 67:12,18 84:2,25 162:8 members 7:23 15:12 16:1,8,10,15 77:21 80:14 147:18 157:15,19 159:5 163:18 memo 109:1 memorandum 105:1 memorandums 114:21 **memory** 41:13 men 160:18 mention 23:5 129:20,24 132:16 mentioned 5:15 6:7 12:20 86:7 143:3 146:10 **mentions** 135:10 merit 80:21

142:25 143:15

Mesa 4:14 10:2,11
13:10,11,16 15:12 16:2,
11 27:6,21 28:5 47:13
49:5 50:1,13 51:19
52:23 59:8 62:22 64:9
71:6 73:19 75:25 76:24
77:13 78:3,7,13 79:19,
22 80:4,7 83:8,17 89:20
101:10 103:12 104:2,9
109:11 111:25 112:19
115:5 130:18,20 133:19
141:24 142:14 148:9

Merrell 8:25 76:2 86:20

149:23,24 151:15 152:23 153:2,4,19 154:6,19 155:10 156:13 162:9,10,12,23,25 163:3,17 164:6,24

met 29:11 48:17 59:21 140:13

mess 26:21

**metals** 68:1 74:20 99:9, 23 100:1,2,5

**meter** 54:6,9 56:8 122:25

meters 93:5 methodology 101:3

metric 29:7

Metropolis 118:2

**Mexico** 163:11

Michael 9:6 47:5 102:12

micrograms 97:8

microphone 9:18 156:9

mid-2000s 74:25

migrate 99:14

migrating 93:7

**migration** 52:9 84:20 85:1 144:24

Mike 153:22

**MILDOS** 31:11,14,15 51:7,11 106:7

mile 68:10 83:19 95:19

miles 13:12 152:3 154:6 156:13 159:24

**milestones** 47:21 55:16, 24 56:14

**mill** 4:15 10:12 13:10 14:4,21 27:6,20,21 28:5

34:1.6 39:11 45:2 47:13. 14,15,25 48:4,9,10,13, 16 49:5,6,10 50:1,13,17, 25 51:19 52:23 59:8,18 62:22 64:8,23 65:5,25 66:1,6,10 67:3 68:3,6, 10,13,16,18 69:8,20 70:9,21,23 71:6,14 73:19 76:1,24 77:1,4,5 78:13 79:19,22 80:7 81:8,13,20,25 82:3,10 83:8,17,19 84:9,21 88:20 89:11,20 91:4,13, 22 94:7,8,17,25 95:1,13 96:5 97:23 98:24 101:10 102:23 103:1,2,10,11, 16,24 104:2,9,10,19 109:4,11,23 110:17,21, 22 111:2,11,25 112:20 117:15,23 118:3,7 119:13 120:5 121:9.13 125:15 130:2,8,18,19,21 131:2,8,12 132:3,4 133:9,19,20,25 135:15 136:10,14 137:24,25 138:14 139:23 141:24 142:14,19 148:12,16 149:7 150:4,9 151:8,14 152:2 154:4,14,22 156:14,17 157:15,18,20 158:15,23,24,25 162:10, 12 163:3,22 164:13,17, 23

mill's 8:13 48:5 151:5 mill-related 97:18 million 52:5,11,13,14 73:19,20

**millirem** 48:23 49:13,15 50:2,3 104:3,4

mills 121:8 128:3

mind 26:3 54:10 124:16

Index: mine..needed

mine 109:2,4,10,11 159:13,24 mines 47:17 62:21 **minimal** 48:18 minimization 71:22 72:5,13 minimize 61:5 minimum 41:20 140:14 minimus 46:5 mining 44:1 minutes 147:9,10 misspellings 120:4 misstates 135:23 136:2 misunderstood 120:25 mitigative 123:1 mixture 25:13 **Mm-hmm** 33:21 **Moab** 102:17 112:21 mobile 100:3,5 **mobility** 98:11,18 model 28:11,16,17,24 29:1,5,8,15 31:17 32:3, 5.11.18.19 33:22 34:11. 17 100:10 modeled 28:13 modeling 31:14,16 32:6, 13 34:23 51:7 99:4,5,11, 20 100:2,6,22,23 moderately 97:9 modification 31:25 moment 5:15 87:22 money 37:12 39:2 40:12, 13,18 62:10 154:25 155:4,5,13 160:17

165:9,14 monitor 57:6 65:3 72:1 120:4,7,10 122:3 monitored 68:17 76:18 82:14 **monitoring** 14:6 48:13 53:8,12,19 54:11 55:6 63:9,12,14 64:15 65:1,7 66:14,16,18 68:2,5,6,11 69:7,9,11,13,23 70:9,14, 18,22 72:14,22 73:10 76:15 83:21 91:13,16,20 92:4,17,22 93:4,9,10,21 94:6,17 95:21 96:6,11, 13,25 97:7,11,13 120:10 122:24 123:13.15.18 monitors 57:6 **months** 62:5 Monticello 112:22 Morrison 67:10 mother 162:19 motion 85:6 146:21 motivated 24:12 mound 42:24 mounding 64:21 65:12, 13 68:23 73:3,5 92:1 **Mountain** 6:14.15.16 13:11 15:15 46:23 67:7 69:23 70:2,5 77:18 80:11,23 129:23 130:10 153:3 155:10 157:17 **MOUS** 114:23 **move** 12:14 13:8 19:15 20:21 23:1 31:9 41:6 43:11 58:7 88:4 124:11 127:13 129:13 moving 7:7,14 46:20

65:9.15 151:13 mrem 33:6 multipart 62:13 multiple 62:16 95:5 97:22 multitiered 77:24 multiyear 49:1 103:22 **MW-17** 69:11 70:14 93:6 **MW-22** 68:2,5,8,12,14, 18,21 69:5,7,14 70:15, 16,19,25 91:5,14,16,18, 21 92:5 95:19 **MW-27** 73:3,5,12 **MW-31** 96:22.25 97:3 Ν name's 17:4 159:10 narrative 7:13 **narrow** 32:14 **Nation** 129:22,23 **National** 78:22 79:3,7,9 **native** 159:11 161:1 163:3 natives 160:23 162:1 164:8 natural 52:8 127:21 **nature** 19:5 52:24 58:6 62:2 135:20 Navajo 67:4,9 83:9 84:2, 19 129:22 130:9 155:11 **nearby** 49:5 50:13 80:5 needed 29:1,2 77:21 80:14 95:5 121:23

Index: negligible..operated

negligible 17:23	10	objections 126:6
negotiated 20:20 23:8	notifications 77:20	obligations 24:8
neighboring 78:4	111:3	<b>observed</b> 53:5 63:8
<b>NEPA</b> 104:19,21	<b>notified</b> 78:24,25 79:4,6, 10 94:12,21 113:6	115:12 116:14
network 68:7 93:21	130:10	observes 17:13
newer 32:3,5 72:20	<b>notify</b> 78:2,3,10,11 79:7	observing 33:11
nicely 155:15	80:12 112:5 118:17	occur 24:22 38:13 39:18 40:19 43:4 52:25 54:19
<b>ninth</b> 31:10	<b>notifying</b> 78:12 80:13	57:10 93:25 94:1 111:6
nitrate 66:8 97:14	noting 57:17	occurred 63:7 64:2
<b>nods</b> 61:11 91:10	NRC 11:7,21 18:3 23:12	72:10 111:6
noise 82:17	41:3,20 44:9 48:8 78:11, 16 79:2,4 103:16 104:18	occurring 52:25 91:16
noise-making 82:5	107:1,22 108:3 114:24	<b>occurs</b> 56:12
non-ore 135:7,11,15	124:14 126:12,22 127:5, 9 128:10,13	October 105:23
non-radiological 117:21	NRC's 23:14 110:16	off-site 27:4 28:4 51:19
132:19 133:22 134:2 136:8 137:21 138:12	Nuclear 19:24 78:15,23	52:4,15,23 53:17 77:15 121:6
139:11,25	79:2	offered 95:8
nonconventional 88:1,	number 5:24 6:2 7:2,17	offhand 95:18
13	14:19 15:10 31:18 36:17 40:25 55:19 56:14 60:18	office 8:8,10 80:18 105:2
nonrestricted 83:18	79:1 96:12 117:16	115:18,19
normal 112:1,13 131:11	131:2,12,16 132:3,4	officer 4:6 9:13 126:2
north 83:17 151:12	142:17 145:9	officially 56:18 86:10
northern 84:13	numbers 132:7	<b>Oklahoma</b> 75:4 130:22
<b>nose</b> 163:5	NUREG-1620 41:25	older 72:10,17,19
<b>note</b> 94:23 97:21 99:10	NUREG-1757 41:9,12,18	on-site 27:12 81:18
<b>noted</b> 7:17 93:20 96:5	NUREG/CR 18:8	121:6
<b>notes</b> 22:5 108:12 157:25 158:7 161:5	0	Ongoing 54:11
		open 19:1 43:3 147:20
Nothing's 160:23	<b>object</b> 11:13 12:7 13:18 87:11 125:20 126:1	open-ended 152:15
notice 15:11,25 16:10,15 107:22	128:15 129:9 134:17	opened 160:4
noticed 132:10	135:22	opening 7:16 13:14 146:22 153:7
notification 77:18 80:4,	objection 45:6 126:9 129:12	operated 4:16 49:7 77:4
	120.12	

Index: operation..percent

operation 41:5 42:10 49:8 56:25 76:6 78:22 88:14 103:10,17 112:11 121:9 122:22 130:18,21 operational 47:12,15 48:6 117:12 operations 27:16,19,23

operations 27:16,19,23 68:3 81:13 94:7 131:3,4, 13

opportunities 16:16opportunity 5:2 26:17 102:10,18 107:22 147:3opposed 135:21

option 27:5

options 60:19,20 61:7,8

order 6:9,22 16:25 27:14 31:24 89:24 94:21 95:4 107:19 137:16

orders 66:10

ore 44:5,8,19,20 45:17, 21 46:6,8,13 47:17 52:9 75:2 84:9 124:17,20,22 125:3,4,5,14,16 127:21 128:11,12,21,25 129:1, 2,8 130:16,21 131:3 138:5 141:17

ores 34:13 50:15 133:6

organ 33:5

organization 9:25

original 63:16 84:10 115:11

originally 153:18

out-of-compliance

97:24

outernal 49:22

outlier 42:1

outlined 22:4

**output** 34:24

outreach 15:10,25 16:11

outward 152:2

oversight 11:8 124:7

overview 112:8

owned 4:16 103:3

owns 103:5

oxidation 94:22

**oxide** 99:6

oxygen 95:9,23

Ρ

**p.m.** 6:1,5 7:21 165:24

package 105:24

**pad** 45:17,21 46:6,8,13 84:9

panel 146:11 147:19

parameter 69:15 97:16

parameters 22:3,4 23:23 24:4 62:1 68:2 96:20,25 97:1,17,19,21,25 98:12, 15,16 99:14

parenthetically 18:3

parents 163:20

Parsons 9:7

part 6:20 7:7 30:7 50:20 53:25 57:3 71:12 75:1 77:19 81:17 84:17 87:4 93:3 99:4 104:17 105:24 106:18,20 107:7 112:7 114:17,20 118:21 121:7 126:11 127:15 146:1

partial 56:5

partially 50:18

participants 6:2

participate 161:7

participated 9:1

particles 161:10

**parties** 6:7 8:4

parts 52:5,11,13 71:3

pass 130:8

passed 162:14,20

past 48:5 126:12 157:16

path 64:11

patience 146:6

patrols 80:20

Paul 17:4,10 18:18,25 19:3,12,15 20:4,21 21:11,22 22:8,12 23:1 24:7,25 25:5 26:5,19 27:3 28:1,9 29:3,13,21 30:13,22 31:2,8 32:4,12, 24 33:21 34:6,18,23 35:3,6,12 36:8,11,16 37:5,15,22,25 38:12 39:8 40:5,20 41:8,13,17 42:2,16,21 43:6,18 44:17,25 45:4,11 46:7, 16

pay 102:24

pays 103:4

**penetrates** 67:18,19

penetration 31:1

**people** 49:5 50:13 95:25 112:24 146:18 147:4,7 152:24 156:1 158:4,11 159:1 162:24 163:15,17, 19 164:2,3,5,16,20,21

percent 25:11 26:8,12

Index: percentage..portions

10011100010101		
40:21 41:6,7,19 131:24 132:1 151:11	personnel 81:18 118:16	123:15,18
	persons 5:10	planned 117:3
percentage 131:8	pertinent 117:18	planning 41:4
perching 67:14	<b>ph</b> 94:23,24 95:4,14,21,	plans 37:25 59:6,22
perfectly 32:16	24 96:5	64:17 66:9
perforate 90:22	<b>phased</b> 85:16 87:5	plant 26:7,10 30:2 41:5
perform 21:13 29:8	Phil 8:12 84:17 105:17	61:1 90:8
37:12 51:14	151:24 152:4 153:22	plants 26:16,22
performance 19:20	phrased 87:3	plateau 34:14
20:25 23:9,13 26:4,10, 13 27:2 60:2 120:1	phrasing 35:21	platform 56:21 58:1
performed 48:11 50:23	physical 71:24 82:4	pleases 62:14
52:19 98:23 113:4	86:1,4	<b>plot</b> 85:17
perimeter 148:13,17 149:21 152:1	<b>picocuries</b> 54:6,9 56:7 122:25	<b>plume</b> 37:10 61:22 62:5 65:9 66:8 97:15
period 15:21 49:6 61:14	picture 141:9	<b>plume's</b> 61:25
69:24 105:22 106:17	piezometers 64:15	pocketbook 155:23
108:11 120:17,19,20 131:7 146:9 165:21	pile 18:6 42:13	point 16:3,18 20:3 22:25
permanently 53:22	piles 14:3 151:13	31:24 38:1 58:12 61:10
55:10 58:10	pioneers 161:1	64:14 89:24 90:3 124:21 143:10,13 147:11
permeability 67:11 95:8	place 4:7 24:14 54:12	160:18
96:3	56:10 82:1 89:19 90:12 103:21 122:15 124:21	pointing 33:10 35:24
permission 115:23	150:6	poison 160:8
permit 4:14 10:13,22	placement 57:3,18,23,	police 80:20 154:17
42:5 66:17 71:20 72:6 101:2,14,16,17,22 102:2	25 73:25 119:5	Policies 101:6
145:21	<b>places</b> 143:21	policy 27:14,24
permit/license 16:17	placing 42:10 54:20	pond 59:5 77:2,4
permits 106:13	55:21 56:12	ponds 47:24 63:17
permitted 66:15	<b>plan</b> 17:11,18 18:11 20:1 25:21 30:5 38:5,13	64:22,25 65:13,19 68:23
permitting 5:3 10:11	39:22 45:8 47:22 53:16,	73:6,9,13 82:9
15:13	19 56:13 58:14 60:2	portion 56:22,24 92:23
person 157:9	76:24 77:19 80:11 81:7, 8,10,16 88:4 96:14	93:2 148:22
personally 126:13	104:10 106:20,21,24	<b>portions</b> 56:22 92:15 99:7
	107:6,24 111:18 119:22	55.7

Index: pose..processes

pose 51:22 150:23	preferential 68:4	printed 34:20
posed 17:7	preferentially 64:7 147:3	-
<b>poses</b> 53:22 55:10 58:10	preferred 64:11	74:25 79:22 126:19 144:9
<b>position</b> 109:16,20 126:21 159:17 161:22	prepared 62:22 64:20 105:2 133:1	private 94:14 103:3
positions 159:19	presence 14:19	proactively 116:13,14
possibility 45:4	present 5:18 15:17 65:2	probability 17:18
possibly 121:12 132:15	68:16 90:20 102:7	problem 108:17
150:2	presented 12:15,16	<b>problems</b> 110:19 118:12
posted 118:21	116:12 142:7	<b>procedural</b> 5:5 109:13
potential 33:10 53:17	Preservation 115:19	procedure 37:20 74:21
68:13 70:23 77:15 81:19 84:20 89:23 93:6 94:14	preserving 87:13 89:8	80:25
95:9 112:17 113:2	president 9:10	<b>procedures</b> 4:23 5:8 59:13,14 76:25 112:11
115:10 150:6,23	pressure 84:3	113:7 123:16,20 124:3
potentially 95:10 96:23	presuming 89:17	145:12
115:9	pretty 25:5 28:7 32:14	proceed 20:2 115:23,25
<b>power</b> 159:16 160:19	34:4 51:1 150:19	proceeding 5:9
<b>ppm</b> 52:5	<b>prevent</b> 30:10,25 65:23 67:1,21 79:16 144:23	proceedings 4:6
practical 62:8 71:11	preventing 58:20	process 10:8 15:13
practice 40:25 45:20	Prevention 81:7	16:17 20:20 21:5,8
111:11		31:16 43:1,2 44:13 45:2 58:2 61:1 71:23 75:14
pre-identified 63:11	previous 44:6.60:6	88:11 89:25 124:12
pre-reclamation 47:12 48:6 49:8	<b>previous</b> 41:6 69:6 81:21 91:11 107:5	125:13,19 128:9 136:1 137:25 138:1 142:18
pre-wildlife 65:18	116:22 136:13	154:22 157:3 158:16
precautions 130:5	previously 5:11 7:17	processed 33:25 34:12,
•	12:8 66:18 70:19 73:25 90:21 116:24 126:21	13 45:9 66:6 68:16
precipitation 43:3 58:21	135:13 137:18	71:14 90:2 109:23
precision 132:7	<b>price</b> 47:18	117:15 124:23 125:3,14, 16 133:20 134:4 135:7
predetermined 47:15	primarily 89:10	136:14,20,21 137:6,16
predict 99:5	primary 18:19 25:8	138:6 142:23 143:4,7 145:21 161:12 processes 27:18 75:2 86:2,5 150:8
predicted 29:4 99:14,21 100:2	30:14 73:23	
preexisting 63:10	principle 61:20 73:21	

processing 34:7 44:3,5, 7 50:17 109:7 118:3 124:18 127:20 132:13, 20 133:19,24 135:16 137:12,23 138:3,13 139:6,7,22 144:10 145:10 produced 32:9 44:3,5 productive 145:7 profile 143:5,11

program 31:16,19,20 48:13 53:9 76:15 126:18

programs 47:4

prohibit 28:6 prohibits 28:4

**project** 8:17 9:4 20:18 21:18 115:5

projected 48:5 49:4 65:8

proliferation 27:14

promise 30:7

promised 157:16

promote 18:21

prompt 80:4

promptly 78:2,3

propane 82:19

**proper** 113:9

property 48:20 49:11 50:6 52:1 66:11 83:8 101:10 102:24 103:3,4,5 150:4,5

**proposal** 22:10 24:17 152:16

propose 152:5

**proposed** 4:9 5:19 17:15 18:10 23:20 24:10 28:21

29:22,23 71:9 85:16 133:12 142:14

proposing 19:17 36:17

propounded 5:19

**protect** 31:3 84:19 102:2 107:11

protected 94:18

protecting 66:21 72:24 143:18

**protection** 10:14 26:16, 24 53:7 54:22 76:12 90:15,17 101:5 102:5 120:1

protections 81:24

**protective** 76:7 143:24 145:9

**provide** 5:2 17:18 18:8 20:6 26:16 28:24 35:11 50:20 70:5,12 76:25 108:19 134:1 138:16 147:3,7

**provided** 6:8 11:18 18:7, 13 76:14 105:3 126:4 165:21

provision 5:7 40:4

provisions 37:16

proximity 72:15

public 7:19,20,24,25 15:11,19,25 16:16 18:12 35:7 48:18,21,22 49:13, 21 51:22 77:15,22 78:6 80:7,14 90:17 103:21,24 105:21,22 106:1,6,8,16, 17,25 107:22,23 113:18, 23 114:4 119:20 140:13, 14 146:24 147:12,20 148:22 153:13 165:22, 25 published 75:19 127:5

**pull** 43:13,14

pulled 33:4 42:15

**pulling** 43:16,17

pump 43:7 60:2 96:16

pumped 60:14 96:11

**pumping** 42:23 59:18 60:7 61:17 65:16 94:16 95:7,22,25 96:8

**pumps** 42:7

**purpose** 4:21 23:22 26:14 143:5

purposes 117:24 136:17

pursuant 12:8

**pushed** 158:20

put 24:17 37:11 41:3 46:14 57:11 60:25 71:4 72:18 100:3 103:16,21 105:25 114:13 117:9 120:22 121:1 124:2 144:22 147:5 155:5,6 158:20 161:22,24

puts 57:4

**putting** 31:23 88:8,9,16, 17,18

**pyrite** 94:22 95:11,24 96:4

#### Q

qualifies 105:15 quality 10:15 59:24

65:24,25 66:4 67:2 69:19,21 94:13

quantify 132:6

**quantities** 79:12 131:23

Index: quarter..RCRA

134:24 136:1

**quarter** 83:18 120:23 121:2,3

**quarterly** 64:17,18 96:8 111:22 112:1,6,8 120:5, 22 121:1

question 6:12 7:4,6,7,8, 14,15 9:17 10:9 11:15 12:7,16,22 13:18,22 14:2,8,18,23 15:1,20,23 17:10 18:17 19:16 20:22 22:20 25:1,6 26:15 27:3 28:9 29:21 31:10 32:24 33:1 35:13 37:14,25 39:9,10,20 40:5,20 42:2 43:18 45:12,13 47:11 48:2 49:3 50:11 51:17 52:21 53:21 58:7 59:6, 17 60:7,14,16 61:14 62:13,14 63:16,25 64:5 65:22 66:25 67:24 69:2. 6,18 70:7,11,13 71:2,9, 12 73:1,17 74:5 75:17, 22 76:17,23 77:13,23,24 79:15 80:3,9 81:6,11,24 83:7 85:3,16,21 86:13, 14,16 87:1 89:14,18 90:25 91:9 92:7,22,23 94:20 96:19 98:10,22 99:1 100:14,25 102:21 104:16 109:12,25 110:14 111:15 116:22 117:22 118:9,14 119:10 121:18 122:2,21 124:16 125:10,21 126:1 127:1, 13 128:16,17 129:15 134:17 135:21 136:4,5,6 138:9 139:14,19 140:6 142:9 144:13 145:8 148:10,25 151:19,22 152:8,15 157:25

question-and-answer

4:8,24 109:14 146:9 147:14 165:20

**questioners** 6:23 7:5 9:16

questions 5:11,12,13, 18,24 6:4,8 7:2,4,10,18 8:5 9:20,24 12:15 15:17 17:7 19:7 55:15 58:5 62:16 71:4 91:12 102:19 104:7 108:8 109:1,17 110:15 144:5 145:1,4 153:14 156:22,24 157:23 158:3,11

quibble 28:1

quick 89:22

quickly 31:10

Quoting 17:19

## R

**R312** 10:18

**R313-12** 10:19

**R313-17-4** 5:6

**R313-22** 41:11

**R313-24-3** 104:25

**R317-6** 10:15 101:17 102:5

**R317-6-3** 66:14

**Rad** 104:2

radiation 4:10 5:4 8:14 10:18,20 48:25 49:16 106:3 114:8 145:21

radio 154:16

radioactive 10:17 35:14 50:14 78:6 79:17,18,20 133:16

radiologic 49:4 50:12 81:25

radiological 77:16 78:5 79:16 80:6 117:20 132:19 133:22 134:2 135:18 136:8 137:21 138:11 139:11,25 142:11 143:5,11 144:5

radionuclide 121:6 143:17,21,23

radionuclides 53:23 55:11 58:11

radium 53:11 138:22 139:3

radon 8:13 24:19 33:16 53:12,23 54:3,5,10,18 55:11 56:4,7,11,12 57:18,23 58:11 73:24 120:5,8,10,13,15 121:2 122:3,7,12 123:1,16

raised 148:4

Randall 8:9 11:13 12:7, 14,21,24 13:6 15:5 23:11 24:16 25:4 47:1 85:4,9 86:4 104:15 109:12 126:10,20 128:15 129:11 135:22 146:21 147:10,18 148:21 149:8 153:25

**Randalls** 153:25

range 131:25 132:6 137:17 149:23,24

ranged 52:5,10

ranges 97:7 104:4 136:13,15

rationale 92:8,10,12 93:17

**RCRA** 74:20 101:6,11,18

Index: reach..regulatory

reach 81:4 84:4 151:25	receiving 111:12	reduced 56:4
reached 43:21 44:6	recent 73:18 78:4 91:8 97:11 116:20 122:17	reduction 73:18
reaching 79:22		<b>Reemay</b> 30:22
read 21:25 158:1	recess 85:12	refer 136:7,11 140:6
readily 113:17,21,22 114:19	recharge 43:5 91:8,15, 24 92:3 96:16	reference 13:15 36:1 113:20 116:20
reading 42:4 45:13	recharged 43:12	referenced 11:3 17:20
49:17 102:20 117:9	recirculate 42:12	107:18 113:15,16
ready 88:6	recitation 41:14	114:16 116:16
realistically 33:23	reclaim 55:18	referred 36:1 132:11 137:15
realize 25:19 32:14	reclaimed 47:20 56:7	referring 78:17 99:19
159:4,15 160:12	reclaiming 39:23	104:11 111:20 114:5
realm 75:20	reclamation 17:11 18:11	115:8 126:20
realtime 116:7,8	20:1 23:4 25:21 30:5 37:6 38:1,5 46:15 47:22	refers 41:11 133:6,7 136:25
reason 7:5 13:13 21:9 23:15 24:3 27:8 42:17	55:22,25 56:5,13 57:2,3	reflect 117:7 120:12
93:18 116:11 158:2	58:14,16,23 60:8,11,15 61:17 73:18 85:17	reflected 81:15 100:5
163:21 164:14	104:10 106:20,21,23,24	
reasonable 75:9 147:6	107:6,8,23 119:22	reframe 12:10
reasons 33:8 88:7 90:21	recognized 5:12 6:15	reg 125:11 127:15
143:8	recommendations	regenerating 42:25
receded 65:10	62:20	region 84:3
receding 65:16	recommends 41:21	regions 18:1
receipt 90:3	record 6:20,22 7:8,11	Registers 127:5,6
receive 4:18 15:1 27:22	8:5 10:4 18:12 87:13 105:5 106:18 107:7	regular 36:24
34:3 37:3 59:1 75:17 89:1,21 90:5 111:14	105:5 106:18 107:7 120:15 147:20,25 148:6	regularly 78:6
135:25 142:8,17 165:25	recorded 6:18 9:18	regulated 71:23 79:24
received 7:20 8:1 15:20	69:15	regulation 125:8,12 128:14
33:24 34:2,11,12 35:9 45:25 48:22 49:13 50:8	records 57:13,14	-
51:1,2 75:25 106:1,6	Recovery 101:9	regulations 10:9 12:18 44:23 58:17 79:6 125:18
117:15 133:9 136:9	recurring 36:23	127:8,11
140:1 142:13 143:4	<b>redo</b> 31:24	regulatory 11:7,22 14:7,
receives 119:12	reduce 17:22 62:10	17 19:25 25:2 48:15,20 49:11,15 50:3 70:17

#### WHITE MESA HEARING - 6/8/17

Index: related..requiring

76:3,11 78:15,23 79:3 101:2 140:10,11 143:16 144:3

related 36:12 62:16 101:2 111:22 115:5 124:12

relates 33:13 136:4

relating 4:14

relation 134:25

**relative** 56:9 58:7 61:15 75:22 81:11

relaxing 18:4

**release** 53:17 77:6,9 98:8

released 60:22

**releases** 77:1,16

relevant 5:14 15:2,4,5 87:12 109:18 119:22 132:22 133:12 142:14, 21,24

reliance 62:18

rely 146:1

relying 30:2

remainder 55:3

remaining 39:25 41:5 47:12 48:6 54:15,21 56:21 89:7 90:6

remedial 40:1 110:25

remediate 35:17

remediated 51:21

remediating 37:8

remediation 36:19 37:12 52:18 62:4 101:4

remember 84:7 105:21 153:13 157:3

remind 56:6 159:21 165:22

**remote** 27:18

removal 59:25 60:3 63:17

remove 62:2

removed 58:15 75:13 91:8 92:23

renew 156:19

renewal 4:12 16:17 31:23 66:17 104:10 105:23

renewed 36:25

repair 26:22

repairs 54:18

replenishing 42:14

report 28:14 29:3 45:14 51:20,21,25 52:25 53:5 57:15 63:5,8 74:7,16 75:12 91:14,17 96:4,5 105:25 106:14 120:8 122:3,5 133:15 138:21 140:17,21

reportable 79:12

reported 74:7 75:5

reporter 4:20 6:19,21,24 17:3 47:2 79:13,14 86:3 148:6

reporting 79:3 122:24

reports 28:17 55:6 124:6 140:3

representative 25:17 74:9 75:10 133:2

representatives 5:17

represented 31:5

representing 136:15

represents 47:5

request 4:17 7:14 35:9, 10 74:15 75:6 114:13 124:12 134:10 142:7

requests 124:2

require 19:17 33:14 36:21 37:9 54:14 61:9 70:18 78:3 87:21 92:13, 20 117:14 118:5 119:4 121:14 122:24 144:8

required 17:17 21:1 26:20 32:2 35:18 39:2 45:16 46:1 48:16 53:6, 10,15 61:21 64:12 68:19 69:14,16 70:14 71:5 76:21 77:17 78:14 80:10 91:2 103:19 104:21 112:4,5,10 115:15,16 116:25 117:19,23 119:7, 10 120:3 121:17 122:4 123:8,13 124:9 138:16 139:1 140:11 144:19,22

requirement 5:5 18:4 37:19 48:11 50:22 76:6 104:11,14 120:7 121:5 122:23 123:8 142:2 143:18,20 144:17

requirements 4:25 5:8 18:15 25:2 29:12 41:21 67:21 72:5 76:11 78:9, 11 83:13 84:23 91:22 104:8 113:25 125:12 130:4,15 144:3

**requires** 5:1 67:21 80:12 104:22 143:25

requiring 20:24 52:22 64:6 65:23 67:1,25 73:2 92:8 93:4

Index: research..ruined

restricted 82:7 **Ridge** 93:14 research 116:17,18,20 reservation 80:20 155:2, restrictions 117:13 rights 12:5,11 13:4 15:8 39:12 83:14 84:24 10,11,12 156:13 157:9, result 37:18 52:8 17 163:23 164:13,19 **Rights'** 67:20 result's 124:8 reservations 155:3 rigorous 48:12 72:20 resulted 54:6 resident 148:9 153:2 risk 45:19 resulting 77:9 156:12 risks 94:14 results 31:19 32:5,7,10 residential 52:14,18 33:18 34:23 48:19 49:10 road 102:24,25 103:2,3 residents 78:7 53:5 62:19 66:15 75:12 roadway 102:22 112:23 residues 27:17 81:14.20 100:2.6 **Roberts** 9:12 40:10 134:5 retain 115:16 47:14 56:17 57:12 58:1, resist 30:4 retardation 98:11,17 12,25 59:3,5 77:2,3,17 resolve 17:14 79:20 80:8,17,21 84:8 returning 59:23 86:9 89:6 103:2 129:25 resolved 54:11 125:22 reversion 19:23 130:12 145:20 146:3 Resource 101:8 153:21 revert 19:17 124:22 resources 4:16 9:14 rock 17:22 18:1 19:23 reverts 125:16 64:12,20 91:23 102:22 21:6 85:23 review 21:4,8,10 69:25 103:4 110:14 113:14 rodents 82:1 161:19 70:3 98:4 106:20 140:3. respect 13:2 104:8 16,18,22 **role** 9:4 162:1 reviewed 23:17 39:4 rollover 11:7 respond 70:11 152:16 76:9 86:24 134:11 root 26:18,25 29:24 responded 154:3 140:22 144:1 30:17 respondents 6:23 reviewing 28:23 roots 31:1 responding 116:14 reviews 86:16 140:16 round 54:5 57:21 response 7:6,9 12:20 revised 53:2,3,6,8,13 **rounds** 107:9 53:4 63:24 76:24 77:19 **Revision** 17:12 30:5 79:8,9 80:9,11,16 route 129:17,20 130:5,8, 38:5 103:13 116:21 147:19 19,22 revisions 35:14 148:25 149:9 routes 131:10,14 revisit 23:15 responses 108:13,16 routine 112:13 113:10 145:3 reword 87:2 100:16 routinely 119:15 responsibility 79:21 Reynolds 153:24 RSMEANS 41:1 80:13 rhetoric 13:3 **RSR** 90:8 rest 25:17 156:25 rich 165:10 ruined 158:16 restabilizing 65:18

Index: rule..semi-arid

rule 87:4,25 104:24 105:11 127:11

ruled 44:15,23 125:22 126:22

rules 5:5,10,21 6:8,21 10:14,18 11:3,4,8 12:9 17:21 41:10 43:25 44:19 101:17 102:4,5 109:13 125:23 126:5 165:21

run 31:20 33:22 152:14 164:21

running 34:1

runoff 58:21

Rushing 8:21 28:21 29:10,20 59:20 60:9 63:5,22 64:10 65:11,17 66:2,22 67:5 68:5,24 69:6,25 70:17 71:7 72:4, 18,25 73:5 81:9,17 91:7, 11 92:2,12 93:1,8,18 94:5,19 95:16 96:2,24 98:3,13,25 99:18 100:12,20 153:22

**RUSING** 71:17

**Russ** 8:19 107:15 153:23

**Ryan** 8:16

## S

S-E-C-Y 44:15 S-E-Q-U-O-Y-A-H 4:20 sack 45:18 46:2 161:15 sacks 45:25 144:11,20 safe 87:22 90:15 144:12 148:13,14,17 149:7 151:22 152:3 154:12 safely 87:21

safer 90:17

**safety** 74:7,16 75:11 76:13 88:7 105:24 106:13 133:15 148:12 149:21

Salt 154:15 157:10

**sample** 48:19 74:6,9 138:23

**sampled** 138:19,24 139:1

**samples** 52:5,6,7,10,15, 17 74:13,17,19,23,24 75:2 124:5

**sampling** 53:9,11 62:21 95:22 96:8 122:8,17 123:24,25 124:9 138:21 140:21

**San** 4:15 80:15,17 103:5

sand 114:11

**sands** 57:7 89:8,12

Sarah 102:15,16 105:20 108:3 114:13 119:9 130:23 137:14 141:1 146:7

sat 154:1

satisfaction 122:11

satisfied 110:15

satisfy 4:25 144:2

scanned 114:11

scanning 114:9

scenario 52:14 151:17,

21

scenarios 149:11,12 150:23 152:5,6,12,16 **schedule** 46:20,21 47:16,23,24

**scheduled** 4:7 5:23 146:11,25

scheme 43:8

**school** 78:7 108:2 165:4,

Scientific 51:20

scientist 8:17,22,24

**scope** 5:13

**Scott** 16:3 47:3 50:21 85:13 102:11 103:14

screamers 82:19

**screen** 96:17

screens 95:10 96:17

**seal** 84:25

**seals** 67:21

**Search** 114:4 119:18

seat 156:6

secondary 73:24

secretary 9:11

**section** 8:13 19:18 22:5 25:8,17 26:2,11 30:14, 16,19 31:3,5 104:13 129:16 130:25

Sections 74:16

**SECY** 44:14

seep 92:18

**selected** 40:21 98:16

selection 74:22,23 98:11

self-sustaining 17:21,24

semantics 138:7

semi-arid 18:1

# WHITE MESA HEARING - 6/8/17

Index: semiannual..situation

semiannual 122:9 124:5	91:3 92:15,19 95:8	<b>side</b> 64:23 69:10
semiannually 69:14	<b>share</b> 108:23	significant 14:20 29:17
send 114:14 115:21	<b>Sharee</b> 153:18	56:22
senior 9:9 108:1	sheet 147:22	significantly 52:15 56:5
sense 31:8 36:16 42:12	Sheriff's 80:18	signs 98:2
sensitivity 28:25	<b>shined</b> 155:15	<b>similar</b> 9:4 50:24 58:6 60:9 77:23 91:19 92:10
separate 10:5 39:10	<b>shipment</b> 110:2,13	139:9,10
160:21	117:17 136:1	<b>Similarly</b> 52:21 55:9
separated 67:8	shipment's 112:4	simple 38:7
September 123:19	<b>shipments</b> 79:17,21,24 110:2,21 111:24 112:2	simplify 62:15 78:1 91:1
sequencing 57:2	117:14,16 118:2,13	100:16
<b>Sequoyah</b> 4:19 43:19,22 44:1,7,10 45:5,9,14	135:14,25	simplifying 71:2
50:23 74:8,14 75:22,24	<b>shipped</b> 110:6 112:18	single 33:5 160:10
106:14 124:13,14 125:1	shipper 79:21	162:19
128:10 129:17,25 131:6, 13 132:9,14 139:6	<b>shipping</b> 109:4,10 110:3 130:17	sir 10:23 11:2 13:1,3 16:19 148:5,8 151:24
142:5,9 144:9 145:20	<b>shoes</b> 155:15	152:14 156:7
<b>SER</b> 9:2,4 75:20 129:19		sirens 154:17
•	<b>short</b> 6:13 40:20 85:12	
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16,	<b>short</b> 6:13 40:20 85:12 120:20 131:7	sit 156:5,25
131:16 132:10 133:1,21	120:20 131:7 <b>shorten</b> 62:15 77:25	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16,	120:20 131:7 <b>shorten</b> 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11	sit 156:5,25
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8	120:20 131:7 <b>shorten</b> 62:15 77:25  91:1 94:11 <b>show</b> 49:10 68:11 69:11	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15	120:20 131:7 <b>shorten</b> 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14 set 7:21 16:25 19:20	120:20 131:7 <b>shorten</b> 62:15 77:25  91:1 94:11 <b>show</b> 49:10 68:11 69:11  70:25 133:21 147:6	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14	120:20 131:7  shorten 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11  show 49:10 68:11 69:11 70:25 133:21 147:6 158:4  showed 51:7 122:17  showing 28:25 64:19	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24 120:13 121:18,19,20,22
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14 set 7:21 16:25 19:20 23:23 24:4 47:21,23,24	120:20 131:7  shorten 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11  show 49:10 68:11 69:11 70:25 133:21 147:6 158:4  showed 51:7 122:17  showing 28:25 64:19 97:3,5,9 98:1,3 143:5	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24 120:13 121:18,19,20,22 140:3 158:20 sites 14:20 27:15 115:4,
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14 set 7:21 16:25 19:20 23:23 24:4 47:21,23,24 165:23 sets 5:7 56:13 settlement 54:25 55:1,7	120:20 131:7  shorten 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11  show 49:10 68:11 69:11 70:25 133:21 147:6 158:4  showed 51:7 122:17  showing 28:25 64:19	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24 120:13 121:18,19,20,22 140:3 158:20 sites 14:20 27:15 115:4, 8,10
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14 set 7:21 16:25 19:20 23:23 24:4 47:21,23,24 165:23 sets 5:7 56:13 settlement 54:25 55:1,7 57:6,22 85:25	120:20 131:7  shorten 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11  show 49:10 68:11 69:11 70:25 133:21 147:6 158:4  showed 51:7 122:17  showing 28:25 64:19 97:3,5,9 98:1,3 143:5  shown 29:2 94:9	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24 120:13 121:18,19,20,22 140:3 158:20 sites 14:20 27:15 115:4, 8,10 sitewide 94:23 sits 45:17 sitting 113:8 155:14
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14 set 7:21 16:25 19:20 23:23 24:4 47:21,23,24 165:23 sets 5:7 56:13 settlement 54:25 55:1,7	120:20 131:7  shorten 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11  show 49:10 68:11 69:11 70:25 133:21 147:6 158:4  showed 51:7 122:17  showing 28:25 64:19 97:3,5,9 98:1,3 143:5  shown 29:2 94:9  shows 30:7 33:2 69:23	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24 120:13 121:18,19,20,22 140:3 158:20 sites 14:20 27:15 115:4, 8,10 sitewide 94:23 sits 45:17 sitting 113:8 155:14 156:16,18,19 157:5,7,
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14 set 7:21 16:25 19:20 23:23 24:4 47:21,23,24 165:23 sets 5:7 56:13 settlement 54:25 55:1,7 57:6,22 85:25 settling 55:3 56:11	120:20 131:7  shorten 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11  show 49:10 68:11 69:11 70:25 133:21 147:6 158:4  showed 51:7 122:17  showing 28:25 64:19 97:3,5,9 98:1,3 143:5  shown 29:2 94:9  shows 30:7 33:2 69:23  SHPO 115:23	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24 120:13 121:18,19,20,22 140:3 158:20 sites 14:20 27:15 115:4, 8,10 sitewide 94:23 sits 45:17 sitting 113:8 155:14
131:16 132:10 133:1,21 136:4,17 139:4 141:16, 18,22 143:2 145:8 series 65:8 93:13,14,15 serve 143:4 session 147:14 set 7:21 16:25 19:20 23:23 24:4 47:21,23,24 165:23 sets 5:7 56:13 settlement 54:25 55:1,7 57:6,22 85:25 settling 55:3 56:11 57:10 86:7	120:20 131:7  shorten 62:15 77:25 91:1 94:11  show 49:10 68:11 69:11 70:25 133:21 147:6 158:4  showed 51:7 122:17  showing 28:25 64:19 97:3,5,9 98:1,3 143:5  shown 29:2 94:9  shows 30:7 33:2 69:23  SHPO 115:23  shut 39:11	sit 156:5,25 site 27:20,25 28:8 54:18 63:15,22,24 64:13 65:14 82:10 83:12 84:10 89:11 101:11,18 111:13 113:14 116:10,14,24 120:13 121:18,19,20,22 140:3 158:20 sites 14:20 27:15 115:4, 8,10 sitewide 94:23 sits 45:17 sitting 113:8 155:14 156:16,18,19 157:5,7, 10,11,21 161:14 163:15

Index: situations..standard

117:25 149:18	146:1	<b>specifically</b> 32:25 68:15
<b>situations</b> 118:1 151:2,3	<b>SOPS</b> 111:4,6	145:22
<b>sixth</b> 27:3	<b>sort</b> 6:25 30:16 44:2	<b>specifics</b> 63:23 105:18
<b>size</b> 61:25 74:9,11	sorts 151:7	specifies 81:17
<b>skip</b> 42:2 45:12 129:14	<b>soul</b> 155:22	speculate 22:25 108:24
slight 97:5	<b>souls</b> 159:13	122:16 149:6,14 150:1, 9,25
slightly 39:10	sounds 24:12 91:7	speculating 32:20
slimes 43:1	<b>source</b> 14:3 53:23 55:11	speculation 45:7 60:5,
slimes-drain 42:6,23 43:7	58:10 67:16 70:15 82:10 91:24 92:3 94:16 97:15, 18,24 98:15 100:21	17 61:9 89:3 129:10 134:18
<b>slope</b> 26:11	117:18	<b>spill</b> 81:6,18 110:17 121:10
<b>sloped</b> 25:11 26:8	sources 18:12 40:25	spilled 112:20,23
<b>slopes</b> 18:2,5	63:10 141:7,8,11	spills 79:11 81:14
<b>sludge</b> 74:18,25 75:3 110:17	<b>south</b> 65:19 95:20 151:12 156:13 158:23	110:23,24 112:18 113:3
<b>small</b> 26:23 27:14,15,18	southeast 25:10 65:4	split 71:3
40:1 43:7 131:8 153:18	92:11,13,20 93:17 94:2, 8	<b>spray</b> 46:4 144:23
154:19	-	<b>Springs</b> 153:20
<b>smart</b> 155:20	southeasterly 64:8,11 68:4 91:4	<b>square</b> 54:6,9 74:11
<b>smirk</b> 160:11	southerly 64:22	<b>squared</b> 56:8 122:25
social 48:10	southern 65:19	<b>stability</b> 57:8 58:19
<b>Society</b> 41:1 114:24	southwest 92:9,14,16	<b>stabilizing</b> 64:24 97:10
<b>soil</b> 30:3 46:8 48:14 53:8	93:13,19,20,25 94:1,10	stable 95:21,24
soils 77:9 81:14,20	southwesterly 65:20	stack 14:3
solely 109:6	<b>SPCC</b> 81:7,10,16,17	staff 5:16 17:5 23:17
Solomon 62:23	speak 26:22 126:10	110:21,22 113:17,22,23 114:3
solution 21:20,21,23	148:14 153:4,8 156:8	staff's 17:14
27:17 69:8 82:13 88:11 99:8 138:19 160:9	<b>spec</b> 44:12	
solutions 100:17	special 114:17 145:14	stage 41:4
somebody's 36:3	specific 32:14 35:13	<b>stand</b> 150:19 160:13 164:17
sons 159:23	76:2 103:11 105:19 134:11 143:17 145:13,	standalone 92:3
<b>SOP</b> 118:11,21 145:22	18 150:22	standard 33:14 50:2
110.11,21 170.22		
1		

#### WHITE MESA HEARING - 6/8/17

Index: standards..summarizing

56:6 59:25 60:22 112:11 121:8,17 122:12 123:1,2 143:13

standards 20:25 21:3 32:9 33:14 48:15,17 59:21 60:12 61:13 66:13 71:22 103:20 127:15,16, 20,24 128:2 140:10,11, 12

standing 164:15

standpipes 72:16

**start** 28:10 57:1 58:2 104:15 112:12 123:24, 25 140:9 146:20 147:9 158:6 160:15

**started** 4:5 61:23 105:21 110:2 114:5

state 8:4 11:6 17:2,20 18:4,13 40:12,13,18,24 47:1,6 86:18,22 91:15 100:1 101:25 102:23 114:23 115:18 125:11 126:11,16,17,19 129:18, 22 130:3,13 143:10 146:17 148:5 152:6

State's 126:21

**stated** 12:11,12 49:9 50:5 90:21 103:13,20 104:1 119:9 122:7 128:22

**statement** 7:13,16 48:8 61:19 100:4 103:15 106:12 151:1

**statements** 6:25 70:6

**states** 5:2 12:5 13:3,4,5, 7 91:17 104:21,23 131:16

station 53:12 83:21

stations 53:8

statues 82:5

status 97:19 114:17

**statute** 125:8

**statutes** 125:11,17

stay 46:21

steel 83:24

**step** 9:17

**stipulated** 65:6 107:14, 19

**Stipulation** 19:21 20:16 22:1 23:3.6 25:3

stock 155:6

stockpiles 25:22

**stop** 159:2

**storage** 46:13 84:9 144:12,17,25

**stored** 144:9

stricken 36:6

**strip** 34:14

structural 84:18

studied 158:1

**studies** 49:1 103:8.22

**study** 30:8 34:19 63:1,18 64:1,3 68:14 73:8,11 91:12 92:2,13,14,20 93:19 94:10 99:1,25 103:18 158:3,10

**stuff** 42:3 149:19 151:12 155:4 158:9 161:12

**stuffy** 163:5

**stupid** 154:23

subject 101:7

**submit** 7:25 9:17 22:14 32:2 53:6 123:14 145:2 146:18 149:9

**submittal** 9:5 37:3 39:4,

submittals 107:9

**submitted** 5:11,25 6:8 7:3,11 9:19,24 12:8 21:9 37:6 62:24 70:1 86:17 100:15 115:5 119:6,8,15 138:20

submitting 124:6

**Subpart** 87:4,5,25 88:12 122:23 123:24 127:15

subparts 24:25

substance 105:9

substances 77:16

substantial 70:4

substantially 32:8

subtitle 101:8

**success** 17:19

successful 122:12

**sudden** 63:21

**sufficient** 7:19 23:25 61:20 73:22 90:14

sufficiently 55:21

**suit** 155:19

suitable 87:20

**suited** 103:23

**suits** 155:14

**sulfate** 96:21 97:2,9 98:14 99:13

summarize 43:20

summarizing 31:10

Index: summary..testimony

**summary** 62:19 160:2,12 Т summer 54:2 talks 118:17 131:1 Summerville 67:10 table 9:17 33:2,9,12 tasks 38:1,16 39:14,17 51:11 63:7 132:10,11, 40:7 **Super** 45:18,25 144:20 12,25 133:5 146:16 taste 164:4 superior 21:20,24 tail 119:7 taxes 102:24 103:4 superseded 114:22 tailing 27:12 43:2 46:15 tea 163:4 **supply** 83:11,22 47:20 54:14 57:8 59:15 tears 72:10 61:3 63:1,4 65:3,5 66:3 support 22:22 63:4 68:9,25 69:8,10 71:18, 92:10 97:18,23 99:3 technical 17:12 21:3 25 72:15 76:18 77:10 25:5,6 33:2 42:17 51:9 supporting 70:6 81:22 82:24 87:18,25 62:17 71:7 92:19,21 **suppose** 42:18 109:18 88:3,5,6,14,15,19,22,23 93:8 106:10,11 107:16, 89:1,8,12 92:17 94:7 17 160:8 supposed 25:9 109:14 96:23 98:8,20 100:8,17 123:14 124:4 technically 63:18 109:13 107:2,5 117:3 122:8,11 surcharge 57:4 128:1 **techno** 51:9 **surety** 35:16 36:19,22, tailings 27:10,20 28:5 **technology** 71:21,22 24 37:4,13 38:4,23,24, 29:5,25 42:11 43:11 72:5,8,13 25 39:13,14,23 40:18,22 54:24 55:17,18,20 57:5, telling 162:16 41:21 61:17,20 62:3 14 58:13,19,22 59:12 73:18,22 74:1 tells 118:16 63:6 68:15,22 69:5 70:20 73:13 84:21 87:7, surface 42:24 48:14 temporary 26:24 8 88:2,8,16,19,21,22 83:23,25 84:1 ten 39:15 49:19,25 104:4 89:7 90:3,7,10 92:9 95:3 surrounding 10:3 48:4 100:20 106:23 132:16 **Ten-minute** 85:8,9 78:8 133:2,3 135:1 137:3 tenth 33:1 **survey** 115:9 117:4 138:19 139:12 141:13 term 70:8 128:25 129:1 150:7 **survive** 161:1,3 160:8 takes 26:25 38:15 55:18, suspended 123:23 terminology 67:7 20 56:1 62:6 Sustained 13:20 terms 10:25 11:25 20:19 taking 17:6 44:12 52:22 23:8 136:12 **system** 17:15 18:2 64:6 65:23 67:1,25 73:2 20:14,17 21:6 22:7,21 test 19:18 25:7,8,16,22 talk 6:23 127:6 160:11 23:10 26:25 29:19 54:16 26:2 30:14,16,19,21 162:10,25 165:2 55:2 58:20 90:6 91:20 31:3,5 85:16 107:15 talked 107:14 141:16 145:22 117:19 143:15 **systems** 21:4 26:18 tested 21:23 74:14,20,23 71:19 72:2,9 76:19 talking 7:1 30:23 46:3 testimony 135:23,24 61:23 105:20 116:6 136:2 131:5 135:17 148:11

Index: testing..tribe

**testing** 23:23 24:9,22 32:17 41:1 54:5 74:21 75:7,12 98:23 99:2,7

**Thelma** 162:8

theoretically 95:23

theory 95:24

therefrom 134:5

thick 30:2

thin 90:10

thing 54:20 105:7 123:8 132:9 138:25 157:2 159:1

things 6:4 19:5 33:10,15 39:25 43:9 56:15 62:2 95:22 107:12 115:13 137:9 144:7 150:18,20 151:18 161:10

thinking 161:8

thinks 25:16

**thorium** 53:11 74:24 76:17 145:24 146:1

thorium-230 75:23 76:4

thorium-232 75:24 76:4

thoroughfares 80:7

thought 47:8

**Thursday** 146:25

time 4:7 6:12 7:1,19 17:6 38:13 39:16,18 45:11,25 49:6 52:19 55:18,20 56:9 58:5 59:11 61:15 69:24 77:25 85:5,10 104:18 106:3,4 110:6 113:10 114:8 115:13,15 116:4,8,11 119:14 120:17,19,21 122:19 124:21 125:24 131:7 133:1 140:16 142:16

144:6,8,18,25 146:10, 13,17 147:1,6 148:12 151:11 152:14 153:6 157:12 158:3,13 165:15

timeline 60:4 86:2,5

**times** 33:25 39:4,5 61:20 73:22 116:9 120:12

timetable 6:5 55:5,25

timewise 47:8

tiny 161:20

**Title** 10:16 12:5,11,19 13:4 15:7

today 5:21 6:6 7:20 17:6 47:9 85:23 102:10 135:13 142:24 146:9 147:7 157:15,18,19

today's 5:13,23

told 82:19

Tom 8:21 153:22

tomorrow 39:11,19

tonnages 134:25

**top** 18:6 25:12 42:11,12, 24 43:17 84:1 149:2 151:9 158:9

Topham 8:19 17:17
18:22 19:1,7,13,22
20:13 21:2,16,25 22:9,
22 23:5 25:19 26:14,20
30:1,18,24 31:7 35:24
36:10,12,21 37:9,20,23
38:7,21 39:22 40:10,23
41:10,15,23 42:9,18,25
43:10 53:25 56:3 57:17
60:16 61:12,19 73:21
85:20 86:15 89:17,22
90:20 119:9,25 153:23

**topic** 105:2

**topsoil** 25:12,13,24,25 26:11

**total** 49:19 74:20,24 131:8 136:12 137:20 138:11 141:5,6,9,10,12

totally 36:6 86:1

touched 91:6

town 164:6

toxic 149:19

toxicity 74:21

tracer 99:22 100:7

track 135:14

training 145:14,18

transcribed 6:19

transcript 6:19 108:9,14

**transport** 28:12,17,24 99:4,20 100:10,23 130:11

**transportation** 78:5,24, 25 79:25 130:4,15,23

**transported** 63:6 130:1, 2,13

travel 130:19

traveled 78:7

treat 123:3.4

tree 77:14

**trend** 64:8 97:4,5,10 98:5

trends 63:14

**tribal** 15:11 16:1,7,10,15 130:9,10,11 157:14,16, 19 159:5 162:8 163:18 164:5

**tribe** 6:15,17 13:12 15:15,17,21 47:4 70:2,5

Index: Tribes..utilizes

77:19 80:11,23 95:21 157:17 164:16 **Tribes** 69:23 triggered 52:13 truck 46:4 90:12 112:21 trucking 110:4 131:11 truckloads 131:18 trucks 90:23 130:16.17. 20 131:2,3,6,9,12,13,16, 17 161:9 true 159:12 **Trust** 6:10,11 17:1,5 **Tso** 153:17,18 **tubing** 83:24 turn 40:12 turned 40:2 42:7 turning 160:18 **TV** 154:16 type 7:15 34:6 52:24 71:8,9 72:7 80:22 112:3 117:17 118:18 types 28:13 48:13 151:18 **typical** 145:24 typically 62:3,10 97:15 131:23 **typo** 100:15 U

**U.S.** 78:24 79:25 **U.S.C.** 104:12 **UAC** 104:25 **Uh-huh** 22:8

ultimately 20:8 22:16 31:6 **UMTRCA** 127:8 uncle 158:25 uncontrolled 77:1 underlying 67:15 understand 22:21 25:8 29:9 32:15.25 33:3 39:8 41:18 42:6 43:23 44:2,6 70:7 120:18 127:1 132:22 156:15 159:25 161:6,9 163:1,16 understanding 20:11 22:13 24:15 31:11 41:22 44:17 108:13 109:3 128:18 understood 18:18 19:3 20:4 26:6 29:3 30:13 62:7 undertake 104:23 uneducated 155:17 **United** 12:5,11 13:2,4,6 **University** 62:24,25 63:5 64:1 68:14 73:7,11 91:12,14,16 92:2 unnatural 69:3 unresolved 17:16 unsatisfied 20:8 22:16 unsaturated 92:15 99:7 unstable 90:11

70:15 unusually 69:3 update 36:18,22 37:4 116:12

unusual 4:22 24:10 68:1

updated 39:5 81:8,9 114:25 116:2,9 117:6 118:15

**updates** 114:25

upgradient 68:12 70:23, 24 83:17,19 94:25

upper 137:17

**uranium** 4:14 6:17 8:13 10:12 11:8 13:10 27:5. 21 45:10 47:18 50:15 52:4 62:22 74:14,24 96:21 97:2,4 98:4,5,6, 14,19 99:16,20 100:7 102:15,17 105:3 121:8, 11 127:16 128:3 151:7 154:22

URS 8:25 9:3 43:21 86:13,16,17,21

**USA** 62:21 102:22

**USGS** 51:20,25 52:8,24 53:5

**Utah** 4:9 5:6 8:7,10 10:15,20 13:2,7 47:6 62:22,24,25 63:5 64:1 66:13,20 68:14 73:7,11 86:18,22 91:12,14,17 92:2 94:13 102:17 104:23,24 126:12 129:19 159:10

**Ute** 6:14,15,16 10:2 13:11 15:12,15 16:2 46:23 67:7 69:22,23 70:2,5 77:13,18 80:11, 23 129:23 130:9 153:3,4 156:13 157:17

**Utes** 6:15,16 46:23

utilized 57:1 utilizes 145:22 ٧

valid 63:19 64:2

values 74:6 98:16,17

vanadium 52:10

**variability** 33:1,3,8 34:5, 7 69:24 70:4,8 131:22

variables 150:12

varies 4:22

vary 55:25

varying 33:6

vast 25:15

**vegetation** 18:19,23 19:6,10 48:15

vegetative 17:21,24 53:9

veins 164:1

Verification 63:11

verified 73:7,13 91:21

verify 93:6 117:20

verifying 76:14

version 31:11,13,21

32:3

versus 44:13 50:2 150:4

vertical 30:25 67:11

vetted 21:7 22:11

**VI** 12:5,11,19 13:4 15:7

**vice** 9:10

vicinity 92:4 120:5

view 19:22 28:3

violated 25:2

virtually 69:23 70:8

105:8,14

**volume** 41:12,18 71:17 74:10 84:23 141:13

**volumes** 134:25

volunteer 109:19

W

W.R. 143:1

**wait** 7:6 54:24,25 55:3 56:11 121:13

waiting 121:9

wanted 24:13 35:10 42:19 51:3 104:13 109:9 110:1 127:18 128:11

warranted 68:20 69:16 73:15 91:24

waste 4:9 8:14 27:11,15
43:23,25 44:2,4,7,19
79:18,20 88:17,20
89:10,21 90:12 110:14
111:12,14 117:15 118:2,
13 119:5,23 124:13,14,
17,23 127:20,24,25
129:18 130:22 132:13
133:12 135:6,25 137:23
138:1,2,3,5,7 139:6,9
141:25 142:12,13,20,22
144:9 145:11 160:6,7
161:10

wastes 27:18 44:1 87:7, 9 89:16 90:19 138:4

wastewater 63:7 68:15 70:21 73:14 95:3 100:21 138:21 140:3,21

**watch** 6:17 102:15,17 105:4 122:19

water 4:13 10:15 17:23 42:14,24 43:16 45:16,20 46:1,3,4,5 48:14 54:21

56:15 57:19 60:19,21,25 61:2 63:7 66:3 67:17,20 68:16 71:5,8,13,15,17, 24 73:9,12 74:23 82:9, 12 83:7,11,14,22 84:23, 24 109:2,4,10,17,22,23 110:4,6 129:4 144:22,23 158:14 163:24,25 164:3, 4,5,6,7,8,9,11

watered 42:22

waters 155:8,9,11

**ways** 21:3 30:11 60:24 95:9 107:11 111:2 136:9,24

weather 150:13,15,16 151:10

weathering 52:9

Weber 148:1,2

website 107:7,20

week 146:25

weeks 131:7,17 158:13

weight 117:18

well-by-well 95:16

wells 63:12 64:13,14,15, 16,17 65:1,2,8 66:15,16, 18 68:11 69:9,23 70:3,9, 14,18,22,24 72:14 83:7, 11,15,22 84:5,21 91:20 93:10,12 94:3,6,17,24 95:21 96:1,7,11,12,14 97:7 139:2

west 70:2

wet 94:4

whatnot 157:11,23

whatsoever 14:12 25:4

Whiskers 162:7,8 165:14,17

Index: White..zone

White 4:14 10:2,11 13:10,11,16 15:12 16:2, 11 27:6,21 28:5 47:13 49:5 50:1,13 51:19 52:23 59:8 62:22 64:8 71:6 73:19 75:25 76:24 77:13 78:3,7,12,13 79:18,22 80:4,7 83:8,17 89:20 101:10 103:12 104:2,9 109:11 111:25 112:18 115:5 130:18,20 133:19 141:23 142:14 148:9 149:23,24 151:15 152:23 153:2,4,19 154:5,19 155:9,10 156:12 162:9,10,12,23, 24 163:17 164:5

wide 34:4 112:24

**wildlife** 63:17 64:22,25 65:12 68:23 73:6,9,12 82:1,6,9

**wildly** 47:19

wind 17:22 46:12 54:21 151:11,14,15

winter 163:12

**wisdom** 161:2

wise 6:12 61:10

withdrawn 57:19

wives 159:23

wondered 112:16 113:1 114:21,24 119:8 120:11 122:5 129:23 132:18 133:11 134:19 141:18 142:11 145:11

**wondering** 12:3 15:3 17:16 45:19 131:15,19

words 10:5 123:3

work 30:11 36:2,5,14

39:22 40:1 49:25 61:21 62:19 72:21 74:1,3 92:17 99:3 106:5 115:17 145:21

worker 49:16 50:1 104:2

workers 48:25 103:11, 21,24 145:14,18

working 122:18 149:19 151:5.6

worth 31:22 57:17

**wrap** 6:4

writing 12:8 152:11

written 5:11 6:8 7:22 8:1 104:23 148:24 165:25

wrong 49:18

wrote 32:24

**WW-2** 83:18

WW-4 83:16

WW-5 83:19

Υ

year 31:12 33:24 34:8,10 35:1 36:18 37:4,7,18 38:9 39:2 48:23 49:14, 16 54:3 110:8 112:9 120:12

year-to-year 33:19

**yearly** 122:5

years 24:1 31:18,23 34:2,3 35:4 38:18,24 39:3,15,24 40:23 48:5 49:19,25 70:1 84:14 85:18 104:4 162:13,20, 21,24 163:2

yellowcake 44:3,12

Yolanda 156:3,10

you-all 35:22 39:12 109:19

young 163:19 164:16

Ζ

**zip** 157:25

**Zody** 9:6 13:18 45:6 87:11 109:20,21 125:20 126:2,15 129:9 134:17 135:20 147:11,14,17 153:22

**zone** 148:13,14 149:7 151:22 152:3 154:12